

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Tarsem Singh Farand,
Press Reporter, New Kacheri Road,
Near Bus Stand,
Mansa.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Secretary, Market Committee,
Mansa.


Complaint Case No. 208 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Tarsem Singh Farand (Complainant)
Sandeep Singh, Mandi Supervisor (for the Respondent) 94639-91360

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Tarsem Singh Farand**, filed this RTI application dated **14.12.2021** and sought information:-



from the PIO o/o **Secretary, Market Committee, Mansa**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **18.4.2022**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2022**.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Complaint Case No. 208 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

2. Both parties are present. As per the respondent PIO represented by Sandeep Singh, Mandi Supervisor, the RT application was received under transfer from the office of District Mandi Officer, vide Letter No. 35 Dated 7.1.2022 and a reply was sent to the complainant vide Letter No. 172 Dated 20.1.2022, informing him that there was no record pertaining to the Old Anaj Mandi, available in his office. The respondent PIO has also submitted a detailed reply to this Commission's Notice of Hearing vide Letter No. 115418 Dated 13.7.2022, with a copy to the complainant, providing a pointwise reply and copies of some information by way of notification regarding the old Anaj Mandi etc. The respondent states that the mandi cited in the RTI application is an old mandi and that there is no record regarding the same in the custody of the Secretary, Punjab Mandi Board.

3. The Commission notes that the respondent PIO acted as per the RTI Act 2005, in furnishing the reply to the complainant on 20.1.2022, in respect to the RTI received in his office on 7.1.2022. There has been no attempt to delay or deny the requested information. This Complaint Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Gagandeep Kumar,
S/o Sh. Satish Kumar,
Sethi Street, Near Civil Hospital Road,
Mansa-151505

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Principal,
Government College, Mansa.

Public Information Officer,
o/o Deputy Commissioner,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
o/o Deputy Commissioner,
Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1796 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)


PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Amandeep, Clerk (for the Respondent) 99157-51872

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **24.11.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information as:-



enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.12.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **11.4.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2022**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Amandeep Singh, Clerk from the Government College, Mansa, states that the RTI application was only recently received in his office from the office of Assistant DPI vide Letter No. 1016 Dated 1.9.2022. As per the respondent, a pointwise reply to the RTI application along with requested information has already been sent to the office of the Assistant DPI, Mansa, vide Letter No. 738 Dated 3.9.2022 along with requested information.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 1796 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

3. The respondent PIO office of Principal Government College, Mansa, is hereby directed to submit a copy of said reply along with attested copies of the information to the Commission forthwith. A copy of the same is also to be sent to the appellant by registered post.

4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Gurpreet Singh,
S/o Sh. Gurmukh Singh,
VPO Rattangarh, Tehsil Morinda,
Ropar.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Regional Manager,
Punjab State Forest Development Corporation Ltd.,
Forest Complex, Sector 68, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
o/o Managing Director,
Punjab State Forest Development Corporation Ltd.,
Forest Complex, Sector 68, Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 2767 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)


PRESENT:

Gurpreet Singh (Appellant) 94174-13600
Jagdeep Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 83608-92454
Gurmeet Singh, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 94782-36127

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 19.7.2022)

1. The RTI application is dated **13.9.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information as:-



enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.1.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.6.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **19.7.2022**.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2767 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

2. Both parties are present. As directed at the last hearing on 19.7.2022, the respondent PIO has furnished the information vide Letter No. 74-76 Dated 18.8.2022, with some 498 pages by way of information along with an index.
3. The Commission however, notes that none of the pages are attested. The appellant also states that there is still some information pending and the respondent PIO has assured him that he will supply the pending information as soon as possible.
4. The Commission directs the respondent PIO to have each and every page, supplied to the appellant, attested as "**Information Supplied Under the RTI Act 2005**", forthwith. The PIO is also granted 4 weeks from the date of receipt of this Order to furnish the pending information, to the appellant by way of duly attested copies. The respondent is also reminded that a Show Cause Notice has already been issued to him vide this Commission's Order of 19.7.2022 and failure to comply could result in a penalty as per the RTI Act 2005.
5. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma,
S/o Sh. Inder Raj Sharma,
Flat No. 203/1, Block-2,
House Fed Complex, Banur,
SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1772 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma (Appellant) 78889-86540
Sham Lal, Chief Sanitary Inspector (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **23.10.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information as:-

Subject; I have posted the proof of my registered parcel letter that I have sent to the Joint Commissioner MC Patiala but the Joint Commissioner has not shown in his enquiry report Where the Superintendent has received my registered letter on dated 07-09-2021

Second I have e-mailed that I have to appear before R.T.I. Commissioner dated 08-09-2020.

Respected Sir,

I want it to bring your kind notice that I have posted two registered letter to the Joint Commissioner MC Patiala Dated 09-09-2021 and also posted reminder to the Joint commissioner MC Patiala dated 30-09-2021 but he has not taken any pain to reply my letter. So I am writing this letter to the Public information Officer of MC Patiala that he should reply my letter under R.T.I. act 2005.

enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24.11.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **11.4.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2022**.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 1772 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

2. Both parties are present. The appellant is present in person. Notably, the respondent PIO represented by Sham Lal, Chief Sanitary Inspector, states that no reply was sent to this RTI application which is only received with this Commission's notice of Hearing on 10.5.2022.

3. The Commission has examined the said RTI application and notes that there is nothing requested therein that fits the definition of "information" under Section 4 of the RTI Act, 2005. This has been explained in detail to the appellant.

4. In view of the above, this Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma,
S/o Sh. Inder Raj Sharma,
Flat No. 203/1, Block-2,
House Fed Complex, Banur,
SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Medical Health Officer,
Municipal Corporation, Patiala.
Public Information Officer,
o/o Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2567 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma (Appellant) 78889-86540
Sham Lal, Chief Sanitary Inspector (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **28.1.2022** vide which the appellant has sought information as:-

subject Your P.I.O. of MC Patiala has given me the name of Sh. Shyam Lal Gupta now Superintendent Engineer at MC Patiala in appeal case.no. 548 of 2021 he has checked the Experience Certificate of Dr. Ankit Narang at the time of allotment of tender .no. 2018_DLG_18232_1 are genuine I or false under R.T.I. act 2005.

Respected Sir,

I have already e-mailed my letter dated 08-06-2021 and two letters by registered postdated 24-08-2021 and 07-09-2021 by name. I have made a request to Sh. Shyam Lal Gupta that he should reply my letter but he has not replied me. So I request you please help me in getting the reply from Sh. Shyam Lal Gupta that he has checked the Experience Certificate that Dr. Ankit Narang has attached with the tender are genuine or false.

But the Certificates uploaded by Dr. Ankit Narang lacks in following and I request you Clarify the following points.

1. Kindly tell me the date on which the Experience Certificates were issued.
2. Kindly tell me the Dispatch no. of each Experience Certificate.
3. Kindly tell me the Joining Date that Dr. Ankit Narang has joined Vet's Society.
4. Kindly tell me the reliving date that Dr. Ankit Narang has left the Vet's Society.
5. Kindly tell me from the Experience Certificate that Dr. Ankit Narang has worked with Vet's Society.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2567 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **14.3.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **26.5.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. The appellant is present in person. The respondent PIO has submitted copy of a reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 750 Dated 14.9.2022, along with a copy of Dr. Ankit Narang's experience certificate as cited in the RTI application.

3. The Commission notes that the RTI application has 5 points and the PIO has failed to submit a pointwise reply to the same. The Commission also notes that the copy of Ankit Narang's experience certificate provided with his reply is not only without attestation but also unreadable. The Commission deems it fit to issue the respondent PIO with this Show Cause Notice.

4. The respondent **PIO-cum-Medical Health Officer, Dr. Jasvir Kaur**, is herewith ordered to **SHOW CAUSE** as to why a penalty should not be imposed upon him under Section 20 (1) of RTI Act, 2005, for causing willful delay/denial of the information requested by the appellant as far back as on **28.1.2022**.

"In addition to the written reply, the respondent PIO is also given an opportunity under Section 20 (1) provision there to, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him *ex parte*." Copies of this order be sent to the parties through registered post.

3. The respondent PIO-cum-Medical Health Officer, Dr. Jasvir Kaur, further directed to submit pointwise reply to the RTI application and duly attested copies of the afore cited experience certificate as available in the record. Said copy must be clear and legible. This must be done within 10 days of receipt of this Order.

4. In view of the above, this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022

Cc: Commissioner, MC, Patiala, for information and further necessary action.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma,
S/o Sh. Inder Raj Sharma,
Flat No. 203/1, Block-2,
House Fed Complex, Banur,
SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Municipal Council,
Samana, Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Municipal Council,
Samana, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2188 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma (Appellant) 78889-86540
Barjinder Singh, PIO-cum-EO (Respondent) 98885-18255

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **9.2.2022** vide which the appellant has sought information as under:

“The municipal council has floated a tender for stray dog sterilization in the Jurisdiction of SAMANA. And Municipal Council has opened tender. Sir I am in need of the documents that CAWA has attached with the tender. Sir I am enclosing Rs. 10/- postal order as fee for giving me the reply under RTI Act, 2005.”

enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **14.3.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.5.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. The appellant is present in person. The respondent PIO-cum-EO, Barjinder Singh, has submitted a reply to this Commission's Notice of Hearing vide Letter No. 1695 Dated 1.7.2022, along with 10 pages of information. The appellant who is present in person, however, states that copies provided to him are not attested and also not legible.

3. In view of this, the respondent PIO-cum-EO, Samana, to provide a fresh reply to the RTI application along with clear, legible copies of the information already supplied after due attestation as **“information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005”**. One copy is to be sent by registered post to the appellant and second copy to be submitted to the Commission within 10 days of receipt of this Order.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2188 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

4. The Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Karamjit Singh,
S/o Sh. Teja Singh,
Village Tarkhan Majra,
Post Office Dhainthal, Samana,
Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 179 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent
Amandeep Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Karamjit Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **4.8.2021** and sought the following information:

ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਣ ਵਾਲੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ

1) ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਡੀ.ਐਸ.ਪੀ. ਸਾਹਿਬ, ਸਮਾਣਾ ਜੀ ਪਾਸ ਮਿਤੀ 22-03-2021 ਨੂੰ ਇੱਕ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਰੇਖਾ ਰਾਣੀ ਖਿਲਾਫ ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕਰਾਉਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਸੀ, ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਪਵਨ ਕੁਮਾਰ, ਏ.ਐਸ.ਆਈ, ਥਾਣਾ ਸਦਰ, ਸਮਾਣਾ ਨੇ ਸਾਡਾ ਅਪਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰਵਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਸੀ, ਮੈਨੂੰ ਉਕਤ ਹੋਏ ਸਮਝੌਤੇ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਅਤੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤਾਂ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਲਿਖੇ ਗਏ ਬਿਆਨਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ, ਪੜ੍ਹਾਤਾਲੀਆ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਅਤੇ ਫਾਇਲ ਨਾਲ ਲੱਗੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।

ਅ ਸਮਾ ਜਿਸ ਨਾਲ ਇਹ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਸਬੰਧ ਰੱਖਦੀ ਉਕਤ ਹੈ

from the PIO o/o **SSP, Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **4.4.2022**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2022**.

2. The complainant is absent without intimation. As per the respondent PIO, represented by Amandeep Singh, SA, the matter cited in the RTI application pertains to a matrimonial dispute

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Complaint Case No. 179 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

which was investigated by DSP Samana. As per his contention, at the time of RTI application was submitted on 4.8.2020, the concerned matter was still under investigation. However, subsequent to the complaint to this Commission, the respondent PIO has furnished the requested information (6 pages) vide Letter No. 1294/RTI Dated 27.5.2022.

3. The respondent PIO is hereby directed to submit copies of reply sent vide Letter No. 1294 Dated 27.5.2022, along with appended information, to the Commission forthwith. The PIO is further directed to submit a written explanation for the delay in addressing this RTI application within 10 days of receipt of this Order.

4. This Complaint Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Mahitabinder Singh Sidhu,
38/71, Mohalla Jejian Top Khana Maur,
Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1630 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:
(Appellant) Absent
Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector (for the Respondent) 89689-68144

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **25.8.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information as:-

ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 120/BLD ਮਿਤੀ 9/6/2021 ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸਾਡੇ (ਰਹਿੰਦਾਰ ਯੋਗ) ਦੋਸ਼
ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਗਲੀ ਤੇ ਗੇਟ ਲਗਾ ਕੇ 15 ਫੁੱਟ ਜਗਾ ਤੇ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ ਕਰਨ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਲਿਖੀਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ (ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਫੋਟੋ ਆਖੀ
ਲਾ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ)
ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ,
ਸਾਡੇ ਸਾਂਝੇ ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰਬਰ B- 38/71, (ਉ ਮਿੰਨ ਨੰ 22001568) ਮਹਲਾ ਜੋਜੀਆ , ਤੇਪਕਾਨਾ ਸੇਤ
ਪਟਿਆਲਾ ਸਥਿਤ , ਜਿਸ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਗਲੀ ਤੇ ਗੇਟ ਲਗਾ ਕੇ 15 ਫੁੱਟ ਜਗਾ ਤੇ ਸ਼੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਚਵਿੰਦਰ ਕੌਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ
ਕਬਜ਼ਾ ਕਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ , ਇਸ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਗਲੀ ਦਾ 15 ਫੁੱਟ ਕਬਜ਼ੇ ਵਾਲੀ ਜਗਾ ਦਾ ਜੋ ਭੀ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ
ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਜ ਹੈ , ਜਿਸ ਤੋਂ ਸਾਬਤ ਹੁੰਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਗਲੀ ਤੇ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ
ਕਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ , ਉਸ ਦੀ ਲਿਖਤ ਜਾਨਕਾਰੀ ਦੇਨ ਦੀ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰੋ ਜੀ।
2. ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਗਲੀ ਦੇ ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰਬਰ B-38/70 ਅਤੇ B-38/71 ਜਿਹੜੇ ਕਿ ਗਲੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਮਣ
ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਹਨ , ਇਕੋ ਇਸ ਗਲੀ ਦਾ ਅਖੀਰ ਹੈ , ਇਹਨਾ ਦੋਨੇ ਘਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਪਿੱਠ 22 ਫੁੱਟ ਉੱਚੀ 2 ਫੁੱਟ ਸੇਟੀ
ਤਕਰੀਬਨ 30 ਫੁੱਟ ਚੌੜੀ (ਜਿੰਨੀ ਚੌੜੀ ਗਲੀ ਹੈ) ਅਤੇ ਸੇ ਸਾਲ ਪੁਰਾਣੀ ਨਿੱਜੀ ਕੰਧ ਨਾਲ ਬੰਦ ਹੈ , ਉਸਤੋਂ
ਅੱਗੇ ਨਾ ਗਲੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ , ਨਾ ਜਾਨ ਆਉਣ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਰਸਤਾ ਹੈ , ਇਹ ਗਲੀ ਸਾਡੇ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਲਿਖੇ ਘਰਾਂ ਤੱਕ
ਹੀ ਸਿਮਤ ਹੈ , ਲੇਕਿਨ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਪੱਤਰ , ਵਿੱਚ ਆਮ ਪਬਲਿਕ ਨੂੰ ਟ੍ਰੈਫਿਕ ਮੁਸ਼ਕਲਾਂ
ਆਉਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਜੋ ਵਰਨਣ ਕਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ , ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਜ
ਹੋਈ ਹੈ , ਉਸ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਦੀ ਸਰਟੀ ਵਾਇਡ ਕਾਪੀ ਦੇਨ ਦੀ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰੋ ਜੀ।

enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **11.12.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.4.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2022**.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 1630 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As per the respondent PIO, represented by Manpreet Kasur, Building Inspector, a reply along with requested information has already been supplied to the appellant vide Letter No. 173/BLD Dated 4.3.2022. A copy of said reply has also been received in the Commission.

3. In view of the above, this Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Naveen Kumar,
12/3, Gurunanak Nagar,
Tripri, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1851 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)


PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Sukhmanmeet Kaur, Building Inspector (for the Respondent) 85590-00151

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **17.11.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information as:-



enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **1.1.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.4.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2022**.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 1851 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Sukhmanmeet Kaur, Building Inspector, states that a reply along with the requested information has been supplied to the appellant vide Letter No. 686/BLD Dated 20.7.2022, by way of a pointwise reply and information by way of copies of notice/notices issued to the construction/building cited in the RTI application.

3. The Commission has examined the RTI application and respondent's reply. This Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Rajesh Gupta,
S/o Late Sh. Krishan Chand,
373-C, St. No.9, Old Bishan Nagar,
Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2121 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)


PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector (for the Respondent) 89689-68144

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **27.1.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information as:-



enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **10.1.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **29.4.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2022**.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2121 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector, states that a reply was sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 135/BLD Dated 4.3.2022, wherein the appellant was informed that the sought information regarding the complaint cited in his RTI application pertains to the Revenue Department. He was also informed that as to the allegation regarding the construction of illegal colonies cited in the RTI application, inspection by the officers of the MC, did not reveal any unusual activity/construction.

3. The Commission has examined the respondent's reply alongside the RTI application and is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. This Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
16.9.2022