

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**

Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),  
R/o 186, Village Luhara,  
Distt. Ludhiana.



.....Appellant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/ o Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
SCO 172-73, Sector 17-C,  
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3825 of 2019**

**Present:-** Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.  
Shri Dharminder Singh, Steno is present on behalf of Shri Pardeep Singh Dhillon,  
PIO-cum- ATO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Mohali.

**ORDER**

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Mohali.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 25.08.2020 passed by the Commission, the information regarding the deficiencies put up by the appellant, has been sent to him through registered post on 11.09.2020.

The appellant stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 16.09.2020**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Shri Nitin Kumar Garg, (M: 8288863200),  
C/o Police Public Dairy 15A,  
Shastari Nagar, Model Town,  
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Joint Commissioner,  
Food and Drug Chemical Lab,  
Kharar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Director,  
Health and Family Welfare, PB.,  
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 816 of 2020**

Present:- Shri Nitin Kumar Garg, appellant is present.  
Smt. Sangeeta, PIO-cum-Food Safety Officer, Ludhiana-3 is present.

**O R D E R**

Shri Nitin Kumar Garg, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.11.2019 and sought some information from the office of the PIO.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today.

Smt. Sangeeta, PIO-cum-Food Safety Officer, Ludhiana-3 stated that in compliance to the Order dated 02.09.2020 passed by the Commission, the complete information regarding point no. ' A ' of the RTI application has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.09-10 dated 07.09.2020 and a copy of the said letter has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The appellant stated that he has received the information sent by the PIO and he is satisfied with the information.

As the PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 16.09.2020**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**

Shri Sukhwinder Singh (Suptd.), (M: 81461-00375),  
H.No. 1362, Street No. 12,  
Dashmesh Nagar, Ludhiana.



..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1864 of 2020**

Present:- Shri Sukhwinder Singh (Suptd.), appellant is present.  
Dr. Balwinder Singh, PIO-cum-ACS, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana is present.

**O R D E R**

Shri Sukhwinder Singh (Suptd.), appellant filed RTI application dated 09.07.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. A reply/informatoin was sent to him by the PIO vide letter no.1034 dated 10.07.2020. Not satisfied with the reply/information the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.07.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.07.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. I have gone through the RTI application dated 09.07.2020 and also discussed the matter with both the parties.

The PIO stated that the sought for information has already been provided by hand to the appellant vide letter no.1034 dated 10.07.2020, but the appellant was not satisfied with the information and then again vide letter no.1052 dated 13.07.2020 the sought for information was provided by hand to the appellant. He further stated that the whole information was provided to the appellant except the information regarding CCTV cameras installed at the office of the Civil surgeon, Ludhiana sought by the appellant through point no.2 of the RTI application as it cannot be provided U/s 8(g) of the RTI Act, 2005. He stated that the copies of the information has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The appellant stated that he has received the information sent by the PIO, but the information is incomplete as information regarding point no.2 of the RTI application has not been provided to him by the PIO.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 1864 of 2020**

The PIO has provided the whole information to the appellant except the information regarding CCTV cameras installed at the office of the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana which he sought through para no.2 of the RTI application and the same has rightly been declined by the SPIO U/s 8(1)(g) of the RTI Act, 2005. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 16.09.2020**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Shri Jagshir Singh, (M: 81958-00345),  
S/o Shri Gian Singh,  
# 9/20, Mandi Mullanpur,  
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1794 of 2020**

Present:- Shri Jagshir Singh, appellant is absent.  
SI Balwinder Singh/2487 (M: 8872700273), APIO is present on behalf of Shri  
Gurmeet Singh, SPIO-cum-Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

**O R D E R**

Shri Jagshir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 22.06.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.06.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.07.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent APIO has appeared on behalf of the SPIO.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 22.06.2020 and the appellant sought information regarding the sacrilege of the Holy Shri Guru Granth Sahib in the jurisdiction of Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO- Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, which is a copy of letter no.13A-1 dated 11.09.2020 through which the SPIO submitted his reply.

I have gone through the reply sent by the SPIO and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information sought by the appellant is not specific, rather it is vague. The appellant has not asked any specific instance or any specific document or any specific number of FIRs for which he is seeking information. It is further submitted by the SPIO in the reply that the copies of all the FIRs in the jurisdiction of the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar have been uploaded on

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 1794 of 2020**

the official website of the public authority [www.punjabpoliceindia.gov.in](http://www.punjabpoliceindia.gov.in), since the year 2011 to up to date and the SPIO suggested the appellant that he can go through the copies of FIRs on the website and should specify that for which FIR he is seeking information.

I have perused the case file as well as the RTI application filed by the appellant. The appellant is not specific regarding any incidence neither he is specific regarding any FIR nor he has mentioned about the Police Station where the FIR was lodged.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant can go through the website of the department and go through the FIRs and then he should file RTI application for seeking specific information regarding any specific instance or specific document.

**Dated: 16.09.2020**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Shri George Subh, (M: 98141-00191),  
S/o Shri Rustam Masih,  
R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12,  
Tehsil Bholath,  
Distt. Kapurthala.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Inspector General of Police (PAP),  
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Special Director General of Police,  
Armed Battalion, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1777 of 2020**

Present:- Shri George Subh, appellant is present.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o IGP (PAP), Jalandhar.

**O R D E R**

Shri George Subh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.02.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o IGP (PAP), Jalandhar regarding all the police officials working under the IGP (PAP), Jalandhar, belonging to Schedule Caste category.

A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no. 5014 dated 05.03.2020 and the information was declined by the SPIO-cum-IGP (PAP), Jalandhar that the Punjab Armed Police is exempted U/s 24 of the RTI Act, 2005 from the purview of the Right to Information by the Govt. of Punjab vide Notification No.S.O.49/C.A..22/2005/S.24/2016 dated 07.06.2016.

But the appellant filed First Appeal against the Order of the SPIO before the First Appellate Authority on 09.03.2020. The First Appellate Authority rejected the First Appeal of the appellant vide Order dated 03.04.2020. The appellant aggrieved by the decision of the SPIO and the First Appellate Authority filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.07.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is present today, but none has appeared on behalf of the PIO-cum-Inspector General of Police, (Punjab Armed Police), Jalandhar.

I have heard the appellant and also perused the case file.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 25.02.2020 and also discussed about the sought for information with the appellant.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 1777 of 2020**

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 14.09.2020, diarized vide no. 11859, which is a copy of letter no. 13100 dated 11.09.2020 along with a detailed reply of Shri M.F. Farooqui, IPS, SPIO-cum-Inspector General of Police, Punjab Armed Police (PAP), Jalandhar and a copy of Gazette Notification issued by the Govt. of Punjab dated 7<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 through which some security agencies have been exempted from the purview of Right to Information Act, 2005 and at point no. 2 of the Notification Armed Police including Punjab Armed Police has been exempted by the Govt. of Punjab.

I have gone through the detailed reply of the SPIO and satisfied that the Punjab Armed Police (PAP) does not come within the ambit of Right to Information Act, 2005 and is exempted U/s 24(4) of the Act by the Punjab Government vide Notification No. S.O.49/C.A..22/2005/S.24/2016 dated 07.06.2016. The present appeal is devoid of any merit, hence **dismissed and disposed of** accordingly.

**Dated: 16.09.2020**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Shri Rajesh Kumar, (M: 98768-17138),  
S/o Shri Harhi Mal, H.No. 60,  
Aman Nagar, New Model House,  
Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,  
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Director,  
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Deptt., Punjab,  
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1781 of 2020**

Present:- Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant is absent.  
None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o Food Civil Supplies and Consumer  
Affairs, Jalandhar.

**O R D E R**

Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.02.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Jalandhar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.03.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.07.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are absent today.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of memo no.5065 dated 24.08.2020 along with a copy of letter no.4479 dated 10.07.2020. I have gone through the said letters and it is submitted by the PIO that the sought for information comprising of 15 pages has been sent to the appellant vide letter no.2074 dated 11.03.202 through ordinary post, but during the lockdown period due to COVID-19 the said letter might not been delivered to the appellant, so again the sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no.4479 dated 10.07.2020.

The appellant has also sent an e-mail to the Commission and he submitted that he has received the information.

As the PIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 16.09.2020**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Ajay Nand / Ajay Kumar Mehta, (M: 98146-46309),  
# C-118, East Mohan Nagar,  
Chamrang Road, Amritsar.



..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Distt. Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Officer,  
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Controller,  
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Deptt.  
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1798 of 2020**

Present:- Shri Ajay Nand / Ajay Kumar Mehta, appellant is present.  
Smt. Pardeep Kaur, Inspector is present on behalf of Smt. Jasjeet Kaur, PIO-  
cum-Distt. Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Officer, Amritsar.

**O R D E R**

Shri Ajay Nand / Ajay Kumar Mehta, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.01.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o District Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Officer, Amritsar. The PIO wrote letter no.216 dated 16.01.2020 to the appellant and asked him to deposit an amount of Rs.6,230/- as assessed fee for providing the photocopies of the sought for information. Then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.03.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 07.07.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today. I have gone through the RTI application dated 02.01.2020 and also discussed about the matter with both the parties.

The respondent stated that the RTI application filed by the appellant was received in the office of the PIO on 06.01.2020 and vide letter no.216 dated 16.01.2020, the appellant was asked to deposit the assessed fee of Rs.6,230/- with the office of the PIO for providing the sought for information, but till today the appellant has not deposited the assessed fee with the office of the PIO.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the PIO on 14.09.2020, diarized vide no.11931, which is a copy of letter no.3955 dated 08.09.2020 along with a copy of letter no.216 dated 16.01.2020, a copy of letter no.1910 dated 13.05.2020 and a copy of letter no.1911 dated 13.05.2020. I have gone through the said letters and the above mentioned facts have been mentioned in these letters.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 1798 of 2020**

The RTI application filed by the appellant was received in the office of the PIO on 06.01.2020 and the PIO wrote letter no.216 dated 16.01.2020 to the appellant and asked him to deposit the assessed fee of Rs.6,230/- with the office of the PIO for providing the sought for information, but till today the appellant has not deposited the assessed fee with the office of the PIO.

So, under these circumstances, the present appeal case is disposed of and closed with the observation that in case the appellant wants to get the information, then he should deposit the assessed fee of Rs.6,230/- with the office of the PIO with 15 days from today and the PIO is further directed that in case the appellant deposits the assessed fee, then the attested copies of sought for information be provided to the appellant within 15 days from the deposit of assessed fee by the appellant.

With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 16.09.2020**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**