

# Smt Archana Sudha, (9815393333)

D/o Shri Kashmir Singh, C/o Alka Chatrath, # 2055, Sector 15 C, Chandigarh.

Versus

Appellant/Complainant

Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

**Sh. Daljeet Singh Jolly (PIO)** O/o C-DAC, Mohali

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

Appeal Case No.: 373 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

Advocate, Alka Chatrath on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Varinder (SA, O/o DPI) (9463155122) Sh. Daljeet Singh Jolly (PIO, O/o C-DAC) (7696089707)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.08.2020 vide which representative of the appellant, Advocate, Alka Chatrath intimated the Commission that respondent PIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, intimated the appellant that requisite information relates with C-DAC, Mohali. Respondent PIO was absent and C-DAC Mohali was impleaded as a necessary party in this. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 15.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Daljeet Singh Jolly states demanded information has already been supplied to the appellant dated 19.08.2019 and now it is under the custody of the DPI Office.

3. Respondent, Sh. Varinder, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant by hand dated 03.09.2019 after inspection done by the appellant in the respondent's office on 03.09.2019.

4. Representative of the appellant, Advocate, Alka Chatrath intimated the Commission typed copies were supplied and those were also unattested. She requested the Commission to supply the attested copy of the required information.

5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, <u>respondent PIO, O/o DPI (SE)</u>, <u>Punjab, Mohali is directed to send the duly attested copy of reply/information</u> as per queries raised in RTI application through registered post before the next date of hearing under the intimation to the Commission.

6. Appellant is advised to point out deficiency, if any, in writing to the respondent PIO, once she had received from the respondent PIO, with a copy to the Commission either by post or by an email on email ID of the undersigned bench which is given in the header column of this order.

7. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing o which case will be decided on merit basis.

Appeal Case No.: 373 of 2020

Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 8.

A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties. 9.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt Archana Sudha, (9815393333) D/o Shri Kashmir Singh, C/o Alka Chatrath, # 2055, Sector 15 C, Chandigarh.

Versus

Appeal Case No.: 374 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

<sup>y</sup>B, Mohali **Sh. Daljeet Singh Jolly (PIO)(By Name)** 

(Regd. Post) O/o C-DAC, Mohali

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

Respondent

Present: Advocate, Alka Chatrath on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Varinder (SA, O/o DPI) () Sh. Daljeet Singh Jolly (PIO, O/o C-DAC) (7696089707)

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.08.2020 vide which representative of the appellant, Advocate, Alka Chatrath intimated the Commission that respondent PIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, intimated the appellant that requisite information relates with C-DAC, Mohali. Respondent PIO was absent and C-DAC Mohali was impleaded as a necessary party in this. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 15.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Daljeet Singh Jolly states that soft copy of the information was sent to the Education Department. He added that post was not applied by the appellant, Ms. Archana Sudha and it is also submitted in the lower court as well as in the Hon'ble High Court.

3. On this, representative of the appellant, Advocate, Alka Chatrath intimated the Commission that appellant, Ms. Archana Sudha applied online for the post and a registration number was issued to her. She further added that at the time of interview, objection was raised and same registration number which was issued to Ms. Archana Sudha was also issued to Ms. Jyoti Bala, so the requisite information is required.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, <u>respondent PIO</u>, <u>Sh. Daljeet</u> <u>Singh Jolly is directed to supply the duly attested copy of point-wise information as per</u> <u>queries raised in RTI application to the appellant through registered post with a copy to</u> <u>the Commission before the next date of hearing positively. A copy of this order be sent to him by</u> <u>name through registered post.</u>

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing o which case will be decided on merit basis.

Appeal Case No.: 374 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 6.

A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020



#### Smt Neena Gupta,

House No 1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate, Durgi Road, Ludhiana-141013

Versus

Appellant/Complainant

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana

(Regd. Post)	<b>Public Information Officer</b> O/o SHO, Thana Shimlapuri, Ludhiana	Respondent
	Complaint Case No.: 289 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX	
Present:	Sh. Sushil Kumar on behalf of the complainant.	
	For the respondent: ASI, Ranjiv Kumar (O/o (9915240899)	Thana Shimlapuri, Ludhiana)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The complainant filed complaint in the Commission dated 19.05.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Representative of the complainant, Sh. Sushil Kumar states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO.

3. On this, respondent, ASI, Ranjiv Kumar states that approximately 35 RTI applications are filed by the appellant and others in connection with same requisite information. He added that a meeting was conducted by the department and duty is assigned to the SHO to file a report in this regard so required some more time.

4. Plea of the respondent is granted; appellant is advised to **visit the respondent's office** 

on <u>21.10.2020 at 11:00 A.M</u>. to inspect the official record as per queries raised in RTI application and respondent PIO is directed to make sure that appellant inspected the concerned official record and supply the identified pages as per RTI Act, 2005.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing o which case will be decided on merit basis.

Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00
 A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020



Sh. Shushil Kumar

House No 1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate, Durgi Road, Ludhiana-141013

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DGP, PB, Chandigarh.

(Regd. Post)	Public Information Officer O/o Commissioner of Police,		
	Ludhiana	Respondent	
	Complaint Case No.:290 of 2020		
	Heard through CISCO WEBEX		
Present:	Sh. Sushil Kumar on behalf of the complainant.		
	Nobody on behalf of the respondent		

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 21.05.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Representative of the complainant, Sh. Sushil Kumar states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent PIO is not present for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the representative of the complainant, Sh. Sushil Kumar and examining the case file, it is observed that an email dated 14.09.2020 was received by the undersigned bench from email ID <u>crimewing.police@punjab.gov.in</u> comprising a letter no. 476 dated 11.09.2020 through which Assistant Inspector General Police (Crime), Bureau of Investigation, Punjab, Chandigarh assign duty to the office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana to represent this case today but the concerned official failed to do so.

5. In wake of above, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. <u>A copy of this order be also sent to the respondent PIO, O/o the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana through registered post for his ready reference.</u>

Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00
 A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

# Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020

# (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

**Note:** After the hearing was over, respondent, Sh. Ram Parshad (SA) (9855464748) intimated the undersigned bench through telephonic conversation that due to technical issues, he was unable to join meeting through CISCO WEBEX, he was apprised with the proceedings of this hearing.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020



#### Smt Kiran Sharma,

W/o Late Shri Jagdish Sharma, R/o Street No 42, Preet Nagar, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana

	Public Information Officer	
(Regd. Post)	O/o SHO,	
	Thana Shimlapuri,	
	Ludhiana	Respondent
	Complaint Case No.: 301 of 2020	
	Heard through CISCO WEBEX	
Present:	Sh. Sushil Kumar on behalf of the complainant.	
	For the respondent: ASI, Ranjiv Kumar (O/o T	hana Shimlapuri, Ludhiana)
	(9915240899)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
ORDER		

1. The complainant filed complaint in the Commission dated 19.05.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Representative of the complainant, Sh. Sushil Kumar states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO.

3. On this, respondent, ASI, Ranjiv Kumar states that approximately 35 RTI applications are filed by the appellant and others in connection with same requisite information. He added that a meeting was conducted by the department and duty is assigned to the SHO to file a report in this regard so required some more time. He also mentioned that matter is same as in appeal case number 289 of 2020.

4. Plea of the respondent is granted; appellant is advised to **visit the respondent's office** 

on 21.10.2020 at 11:00 A.M. to inspect the official record as per queries raised in RTI application and respondent PIO is directed to make sure that appellant inspected the concerned official record and supply the identified pages as per RTI Act, 2005.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing o which case will be decided on merit basis.

Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00
 A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020



#### Sh Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, 9888490031

Whistle Blower, Distt President Ludhiana- NCAG, H.No. 60-35 -P-376-1, Street No.8, Maha Singh Nagar, PO Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana 141014

Appellant/Complainant

Respondent

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority** O/o O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

#### Appeal Case No.: 1335 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

 Present:
 Sh Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, appellant.

 For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Singh (9915603000)

 ORDER

1. The appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 27.05.2020. Accordingly, the case

is fixed for today.

2. Respondent, ASI, Ramesh Singh states that requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant as it relates with third party. A reply was sent to the appellant to specify the particular information but till date no reply has been received from the appellant.

3. On this, appellant, Sh Amarjit Singh Dhamotia states that he has already informed the respondent PIO regarding the particular information (750/1900 dated 05.02.2019).

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, appellant is advised to **visit the** 

**respondent's office on 05.10.2020 at 11:00 A.M**. to inspect the official record as per queries raised in RTI application and respondent PIO is directed to make sure that appellant inspected the concerned official record and supply the identified pages as per RTI Act, 2005.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing o which case will be decided on merit basis.

Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00
 A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020



Appellant/Complainant

## Sh. Vaneet Jaidka Advocate (9815481611)

S/o Shri Megh Raj Jaidka, R/o Kanungo Street, Near Railway Crossings, Main Bazaar, Moga

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o DGP, PB, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o IGP (Crime) , PB, Chandigarh.

Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 1344 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Vaneet Jaidka, appellant. For the respondent: Assistant Sub-Inspector, Jitender (7973699711) in the PSIC Office

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. The appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 27.05.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Respondent, Assistant Sub-Inspector, Jitender states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant by hand and again sent through post on 11.09.2020. He submits a copy of supplied information vide letter no. 2182 dated 10.09.2020 stating that 57 pages are attached but only 19 pages submitted to the Commission.

3. Appellant, Sh. Vaneet Jaidka states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, <u>appellant</u> <u>is advised to go through the supplied information and point out deficiency, if any, in writing to the</u> <u>respondent PIO with a copy to the Commission, once he had received the information as</u> <u>respondent informed today posted on 11.09.2020.</u>

5. Respondent PIO is also directed to remove the deficiency, if any pointed out by the appellant as per RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to deal the RTI applications within stipulated time period in order to promote transparency and accountability in the working of every public authority.

6. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing o which case will be decided on merit basis.

7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00
 A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

8. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020



#### Sh. Vaneet Jaidka Advocate (9815481611)

S/o Shri Megh Raj Jaidka, R/o Kanungo Street, Near Railway Crossings, Main Bazaar, Moga

Versus

Appellant/Complainant

01000

**Public Information Officer** O/o DGP, PB, Chandigarh.

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o IGP (Crime), PB, Chandigarh.

#### Appeal Case No.: 1345 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

Present: Sh. Vaneet Jaidka, appellant. For the respondent: Assistant Sub-Inspector, Jitender (7973699711) in the PSIC Office

#### ORDER

1. The appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 27.05.2020. Accordingly, the case

is fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Sh. Vaneet Jaidka states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Assistant Sub-Inspector, Jitender states that he is presenting only appeal case no. 1344 of 2020 and do not have any information regarding this present case.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that notice of hearing which was issued to the respondent PIO, O/o DGP, PB, Chandigarh has not returned to the Commission and respondent, Assistant Sub-Inspector, Jitender concerned with the same department as he also presented another appeal case no. 1344 of 2020. A copy of RTI application of this present case is handed over to the respondent, Sh. Jitender during the hearing.

5. Appellant is advised to <u>visit the respondent's office on any working day after</u> <u>coordinating with respondent, Sh. Jitender (7973699711)</u> to inspect the official record as per queries raised in RTI application and respondent PIO is directed to make sure that appellant inspected the concerned official record and supply the identified pages as per RTI Act, 2005.

Contact number of the respondent, Sh. Jitender is shared with the appellant during the heraing and respondent informed he has contact number of the appellant.

6. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing o which case will be decided on merit basis.

7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00
 A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

8. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.



# Sh. Sukhjeet Singh, 9872878544

# 19-B, Yuvraj Residency,
\Near Mata Mansa Devi Mandir,
Nijjar Chajju Majra Road,
Opposite Rama Enclave ,
Tehsil Kharar, Distt Mohali

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer (By Name)(Regd. Post)O/o XEN, MC, Kharar, Distt Mohali.

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o XEN, MC, Kharar, Distt Mohali.

li. Respondent Appeal Case No.: 1369 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Sukhjeet Singh, appellant. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

# <u>ORDER</u>

1. The appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 04.02.2020. Accordingly, the case

is fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Sh. Sukhjeet Singh intimated the Commission that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. Neither the respondent is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the Notice of the Commission.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the documents placed on record, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information as per queries raised by the appellant in RTI application before the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case on the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him through regsitered post for his ready reference.</u>

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00

A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020

ail.gov.in n

Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan (9501513137) House No 7, G K Vihar, Manakwal, Ludhiana.,

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

# Appeal Case No.: 1371 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEXPresent:Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan, appellant.

For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 28.05.2020. Accordingly, the case

is fixed for today.

2. Respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that requisite information whatsoever was available in the official record has already been sent to the appellant on 12.03.2020.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, appellant is advised to **visit the** 

**respondent's office on 06.10.2020 at 11:00 A.M**. to inspect the official record as per queries raised in RTI application and respondent PIO is directed to make sure that appellant inspected the concerned official record and supply the identified pages as per RTI Act, 2005.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing o which case will be decided on merit basis.

Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 17.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00
 A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant/Complainant



Appellant/Complainant

# Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan (9501513137)

House No 7, G K Vihar, Manakwal, Ludhiana.,

Versus

# Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

# First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

#### Appeal Case No.: 1373 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

**Present:** Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan, appellant.

For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000)

# <u>ORDER</u>

1. The appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 28.05.2020. Accordingly, the case

is fixed for today.

2. Respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that reply was sent to the appellant on 05.03.2020. He added that reply has again sent to the appellant on 14.09.2020.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan states that no reply has been supplied by

the respondent PIO.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, appellant is advised to **visit the** 

**respondent's office on 06.10.2020 at 11:00 A.M**. to inspect the official record as per queries raised in RTI application and respondent PIO is directed to make sure that appellant inspected the concerned official record and supply the identified pages as per RTI Act, 2005.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing o which case will be decided on merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 17.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00

A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

# Chandigarh Dated: 15.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent