**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Satnam Singh S/o Sh. Tara Singh,**

**House No. 108, Near Ice Factory,**

**Ward No.1, Fatehgarh Churian,**

**Distt.-Gurdaspur ……. Complainant**

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Block Development and Panchayat Officer,**

**Fatehgarh Churian,**

**Distt.-Gurdaspur (Punjab) ..…Respondent**

 **Complaint Case No. 1245 of 2017**

**Present : Sh. Satnam Singh, the applicant, in person.**

1. **Sh. Avtar Singh Bhagowal, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat - Dhadiala Natt ;**
2. **Sh. Avtar Singh Johal, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – Shahchur ;**
3. **Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – MalookWali ;**
4. **Sh. Gurjinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – Berianwal ; on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**This case was last heard on 17.04.2018 and Panchayat Secretaries of various Gram**

**Panchayats were directed to represent this case in person alongwith relevant official record.**

**The applicant, Sh. Satnam Singh, appeared in person in today’s hearing.**

**Sh. Avtar Singh Bhagowal, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat - Dhadiala Natt ;**

**Sh. Avtar Singh Johal, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – Shahchur ; Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – MalookWali and Sh. Gurinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – Berianwal, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today’s hearing, hand over the requisite information to the applicant, Sh. Satnam Singh, during the hearing in the Commission today.**

**An opportunity is given to the applicant to point out deficiencies in the information**

**supplied to him in writing to the respondent PIO and the respondent is directed to remove the same.**

**After examining the documents placed in the case-file, it is found that on the hearing,**

**held on 17.04.2018, Sh. Paramjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayats – Panwan, Khussar, Roopowali, Jhajjian Kalan, Jhajjian Khurd, Bhole-Ke, Veela Tejan, was directed to represent this case in person alongwith relevant official record but during the hearing he is not present.**

**An opportunity is given to Sh. Paramjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary of Gram**

**Panchayats – Panwan, Khussar, Roopowali, Jhajjian Kalan, Jhajjian Khurd, Bhole-Ke, Veela Tejan, to represent this case in person alongwith relevant official record on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post.**

**The case is adjourned to 6th June, 2018 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post) Sh. Paramjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary,**

 **Gram Panchayat - Jhajjian Kalan, District - Gurdaspur**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Satnam Singh**

**S/o Sh. Tara Singh,**

**House No. 108, Near Ice Factory,**

**Ward No.1, Fatehgarh Churian.**

**District Gurdaspur (Punjab) …. Complainant**

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Block Development and**

**Panchayat Officer,**

**Fatehgarh Churian,**

**District - Gurdaspur (Punjab) ..…Respondent**

 **Complaint Case No. 1246 of 2017**

**Present : Sh. Satnam Singh, the applicant, in person.**

1. **Sh. Avtar Singh Bhagowal, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat - Dhadiala Natt ;**
2. **Sh. Avtar Singh Johal, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – Shahchur ;**
3. **Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – MalookWali ;**
4. **Sh. Gurjinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – Berianwal ; on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**This case was last heard on 17.04.2018.**

**The applicant, Sh. Satnam Singh, appeared in person in today’s hearing.**

**Sh. Avtar Singh Bhagowal, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat - Dhadiala Natt ;**

**Sh. Avtar Singh Johal, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – Shahchur ; Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – MalookWali and Sh. Gurinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat – Berianwal, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today’s hearing, hand over the requisite information to the applicant, Sh. Satnam Singh, during the hearing in the Commission today.**

**An opportunity is given to the applicant to point out deficiencies in the information**

**supplied to him in writing to the respondent PIO and the respondent is directed to remove the same by the next date of hearing.**

**The case is adjourned to 6th June, 2018 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Prem Parkash**

**S/o Sh. Parshotam Dass,**

**House No. 247, Kendriya Vihar,**

**Sector 48-B, Chandigarh (U.T) .…. Complainant**

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Executive Officer,**

**Nagar Council,**

**Batala, Distrtrict - Gurdaspur (Punjab) ..…Respondent**

 **Complaint Case No. 1268 of 2017**

**Present : Sh. Prem Parkash, the applicant, in person.**

**Sh. Vikrant, Accountant, on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**This case was last heard on 17.04.2018.**

**Sh. Vikrant, Accountant, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today’s**

**hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 35 dated 30.04.2018 signed by Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Batala, showing that complete information has already been supplied to the applicant, Sh. Sh. Prem Parkash. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.**

**The respondent PIO has also sent the above said reply, which has been received in**

**the Commission vide Diary No. 9256 dated 04.05.2018, showing that complete information has already been supplied to the applicant, Sh. Prem Parkash. It is also taken on record.**

**The applicant, Sh. Prem Parkash, who appeared in person in today’s hearing,**

**expresses his dissatisfaction over the same.**

**On this, Sh. Vikrant states that whatever information is remaining would be supplied**

**to the applicant positively.**

**With this, the case is disposed of and closed with the directions that the**

**respondent PIO will fulfill the promise made by Sh. Vikrant during the hearing in the Commission today.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Sandeep Kumar S/o Sh. Om Parkash,**

**Street No. 02 Shani Dev Mandir Wali,**

**Patel Nagar, Malout,**

**District - SriMuktsar Sahib -152107 (Punjab) …….Appellant**

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Block Development**

**and Panchayat Officer,**

**Malout, District - SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)**

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o The District Development**

**and Panchayat Officer,**

**Sri MuktsarSahib (Punjab) ..…Respondents**

 **Appeal Case No. 2484 of 2017**

**Present : Sh. Sandeep Kumar, the applicant, in person.**

**None on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**This case was last heard on 17.04.2018.**

**Neither the respondent PIO nor his representative is present in today’s hearing.**

**After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that on the hearing, held**

**on 17.04.2018, Sh. Sukhbir Singh, Tax Collector, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO and handed over the requisite information, consisting of forty six pages, to the applicant, Sh. Sandeep Kumar, during the hearing in the Commission. He has also submitted a reply vide letter no. 148 - 149 dated 13.04.2018 signed by Public Information Officer-cum-Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Malout, alongwith a set of copy of supplied information. It, alongwith a copy of supplied information, is already placed in the case-file.**

**The applicant, Sh. Sandeep Kumar, who appeared in person in today’s hearing, states**

**that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far.**

**The Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Malout, is directed to appear in**

**person before the Commission to represent this case on the next date of hearing alongwith relevant official record so that the facts of this case could be cleared. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post.**

**The concerned respondent-PIO is also directed to file a point wise reply to the queries**

**raised by the applicant in his RTI request as per official record by or on the next date of hearing.**

**The case is adjourned to 11th June, 2018 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**(Rged. Post) The Block Development**

**and Panchayat Officer,**

**Malout, District - SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**H. S. Hundal, Advocate,**

**Chamber No. 82,**

**Lawyers’ Chambers,**

**District Courts, Sector 76, SAS Nagar(Punjab) ……. Complainant**

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Assistant Excise**

**& Taxation Commissioner,**

**Bathinda(Punjab) ..…Respondent**

 **Complaint Case No. 2040 of 2016**

**Present : Sh. H. S. Hundal, the applicant in person.**

1. **Sh. R. K. Malhotra, Assistant Excise and Taxation Inspector, in person ;**
2. **Sh. Jitender Bansal, Excise and Taxation Inspector, for respondent.**

**ORDER**

**This case was last heard on 17.04.2018.**

**Sh. R. K. Malhotra, Assistant Excise and Taxation Inspector, who appeared in person**

**alongwith Sh. Jitender Bansal Excise and Taxation Inspector, in today’s hearing, states that a reply has already been given to the applicant, Sh. H. S. Hundal.**

 **The applicant, Sh. H. S. Hundal, who appeared in person in today’s hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO. He also intimates the Commission that a larger public interest is involved in the disclosure of information which has been sought for by him. He further intimates the Commission that time and again, the respondent PIO is having the plea that the information sought for by him could not supplied to him as it is ‘third party’ information and through a letter signed by Sh. Amarjit Mehta (third party) has refused to supply any kind of information to anyone. He further points out that the respondent PIO has not taken consent of the ‘third party’ concerned within stipulated time as per provisions of the RTI Act as his RTI request is dated 15.11.2016 and he has sent the same to the respondent through registered post on 18.11.2016 and the respondent has taken third party’s consent vide letter no. 222 dated 24.04.2017.**

 **After examining the documents placed in the case-file, it is found that the RTI request filed by the applicant is dated 15.11.2016 and the respondent has taken third party’s consent vide letter no. 222 dated 24.04.2017, which is much more than the stipulated period as per provisions of the RTI Act as Under Section 11 of the RTI Act reads as follows :**

***“ (1)******Where a Central Public Information Officer or a State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, intends to disclose any information or record, or part thereof on a request made under this Act, which relates to or has been***

***supplied by a third party and has been treated as confidential by that third party, the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, shall, within five days from the receipt of the request, give a written notice to such third party of the request and of the fact that the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer,***

***as the case may be, intends to disclose the information or record, or part thereof, and invite the third party to make a submission in writing or orally,***

**Contd…2-**

**Complaint Case No. 2040 of 2016 -2-**

 ***regarding whether the information should be disclosed, and such submission of the third party shall be kept in view while taking a decision about disclosure of information :***

 ***Provided that except in the case of trade or commercial secrets protected by law, disclosure may be allowed if the public interest in disclosure outweighs in importance any possible harm or injury to the interests of such third party.***

***(2)   Where a notice is served by the Central Public Information Officer or State***

 ***Public Information Officer, as the case may be, under sub-section (1) to a third party in respect of any information or record or part thereof, the third party shall, within ten days from the date of receipt of such notice, be given the opportunity to make representation against the proposed disclosure.”***

**As the PIO concerned was bound to follow the procedure laid down in the Section 11**

**of the RTI Act, he cannot be allowed to invoke the provisions of Section 11 of the RTI Act at this stage, which is after the lapse of five months because he was bound to complete this procedure within five days from the receipt of the RTI request.**

**Since, it is a complaint case, the Bench of the undersigned cannot pass any**

**directions to the respondent PIO concerned for supplying the requisite information to the PIO concerned, as per law laid down in para 30 and 31 of the judgment passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal no. 10787 to 10788 of 2011 in a case ; Chief Information Commissioner & another V/s State of Manipur and another before Ld. Judges - Sh. Asok Kumar Ganguly and Gyan Sudha Misra, the Commission could not allow to information seeker(complainant) to have access of the information under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005.**

**Moreover, the respondent PIO concerned is bound to perform his duties or**

**responsibilities assigned to him under the provisions of the RTI Act as per law, hence, he is directed to file a point wise reply to each and every query, raised by the applicant in his RTI request as per official record by or on the next date of hearing.**

**After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that on the hearing, held**

**on 17.01.2018, Sh. R. S. Ramana, Excise and Taxation Officer-cum-APIO, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO and submitted a reply signed by PIO-cum- Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Bathinda, to show cause issued to him vide orders dated 24.07.2017. It is already placed in the case-file.**

**A decision on the reply, sent by the respondent PIO, in connection with the show**

**cause issued to him, will be taken later on.**

**The case is adjourned to 4th June, 2018 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**The order has been announced to both the parties in the open court.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**H. S. Hundal, Advocate,**

**Chamber No.82,**

**District Courts,**

**S.A.S. Nagar - 160059(Punjab) …. Appellant**

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o State Information Commission, Punjab,**

**Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o State Information Commission, Punjab,**

**Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector 16, Chandigarh ..…Respondents**

 **Appeal Case No. 2719 of 2017**

**Present : Sh. H. S. Hundal, the applicant, in person.**

1. **Sh. Sudhir Kumar, S. O.-cum-PIO, in person ;**
2. **Sh. Sadhu Ram, P. S. to Secretary, on behalf of D.C.F.A-cum-First Appellate Authority on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**This case was last heard on 17.04.2018.**

**The applicant, Sh. H. S. Hundal, who appeared in person in today’s hearing, states**

**that he has already sent a written submission dated 05.05.2018 to the respondent PIO, through which he has pointed out certain deficiencies in the information supplied to him, which have not been removed till date.**

**The applicant, Sh. H. S. Hundal has also sent the same written submission dated**

**05.05.2018 to the Bench of the undersigned, showing that he has pointed out certain deficiencies in the information supplied to him by the respondent PIO. It is taken on record.**

**Sh. Sudhir Kumar, S. O.-cum-PIO, appeared in person and Sh. Sadhu Ram, P. S. to**

**Secretary, appeared on behalf of D.C.F.A-cum- First Appellate Authority, in today’s hearing and seek an adjournment in this case.**

**The case is adjourned to 6th June, 2018 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**H. S. Hundal, Advocate,**

**Chamber No.82,**

**District Courts,**

**S.A.S. Nagar - 160059(Punjab) …. Appellant**

 **Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The District Transport Officer,**

**Moga**

 **NOW 🡪 The Secretary,**

**Regional Transport Authority,**

 **Faridkot (Punjab)**

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,**

**SCO 177-178, Sector 17-C,**

**Chandigarh ..…Respondents**

 **Appeal Case No. 2720 of 2017**

**Present : Sh. H. S. Hundal, the applicant, in person.**

**Sh. Neeraj Kumar, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**This case was last heard on 17.04.2018 and Public Information Officer concerned of**

**office of District Transport Officer, Moga, which is now office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot, was directed either to supply the certified copies of the required information to the applicant or file a point wise reply in the shape of an affidavit to the queries raised by the applicant in his RTI request.**

**The applicant, Sh. H. S. Hundal, appeared in person in today’s hearing.**

**In compliance to the order dated 17.04.2018, Sh. Neeraj Kumar, Clerk, who appeared**

**on behalf of the respondent PIO in today’s hearing, submits a reply in an affidavit vide letter dated 18.04.2018.**

**The original affidavit is handed over to the applicant during the hearing in the**

**Commission today. A copy of the same is taken on record.**

**As no cause of action is left in this case, the case is disposed of and closed.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Kavi Raj Saini, Advocate,**

**District Court Complex,**

**Gurdaspur (Punjab) …. Appellant Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Commissioner,**

**Municipal Corporation,**

**Pathankot (Punjab)**

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o The Director,**

**Local Bodies, Punjab,**

**Municipal Bhawan,**

**Sector-35, Chandigarh ..…Respondents**

 **Appeal Case No. 3638 of 2017**

**Present : Sh. Kavi Raj Saini, the applicant.**

**Sh. Kirandeep Singh, Building Inspector O/o Nagar Council, Pathankot, on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**This case was last heard on 17.04.2018.**

**Sh. Kirandeep Singh, Building Inspector of office of Nagar Council, Pathankot,**

**appeared for respondent PIO in today’s hearing.**

**The applicant, Sh. Kavi Raj Saini, who appeared in person in today’s hearing, states**

**that part information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far, moreover, the respondent is demanding fee to supply the required information to him.**

**After examining the documents placed on record and hearing the parties concerned,**

**it is found that the RTI request of the applicant is dated 17.05.2017 and the respondent PIO has not demanded the required fee within the stipulated time of ten days from the date of receipt of the RTI application as per provisions of the RTI Act.**

 **Hence, the respondent PIO concerned is directed either to supply the certified copies**

**of the required information to the applicant as per his queries raised by him in his RTI request ‘free of cost’ by or on the next date of hearing. If any information is not available/givable in the official record, he/she will file a point wise reply in an affidavit to the queries raised by the applicant in his RTI request as per official record.**

**The case is adjourned to 11th June, 2018 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Gurinder Singh Sabi S/o Sh. Parkash Singh,**

**New Abadi Faizpura, Rattan Singh Chowk,**

**Amritsar (Punjab) …Complainant**

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Commissioner,**

**Municipal Corporation,**

**Amritsar (Punjab) ……Respondent**

**Complaint Case No. 1670 of 2016**

**Present : Dr. Karan Sharma on behalf of the applicant.**

**Sh. Paramjit Singh, Building Inspector, on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**This case was last heard on 03.04.2018.**

**Sh. Paramjit Singh, Building Inspector, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO**

**in today’s hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant, Sh. Gurinder Singh Sabi.**

**Dr. Karan Sharma, who appeared on behalf of the applicant, Sh. Gurinder Singh Sabi,**

**in today’s hearing, did not raise any objection regarding the fact that the documents supplied to the applicant is incorrect, insufficient or not according to the queries raised by him in his RTI request. He also gave his consent for disposing off the case.**

**After examining the case file, it is found that Municipal Town Planner, Municipal**

**Corporation, Amritsar, has sent a written submission in response to the show cause issued vide orders dated 11.10.2017, stating that the applicant has moved RTI applicant on 24.08.2016 and he has joined as M. T. P. on 04.12.2017, moreover its response was given within time vide letter no. MTP/310 dated 23.09.2016. Through that submission, he has also intimated that he is not designated as PIO and Assistant Town Planner, has been designated as PIO and Building Inspector has been designated as APIO, vide order no. 1228 dated 06.10.2017 of Commissioner, M. C., Amritsar. It is already placed in the case-file.**

**After examining the case file, it is found that Assistant Town Planner, Municipal**

**Corporation, Amritsar, has also sent a written submission to the Commission to explain the position of this case. It is already placed in the case-file.**

**I have gone over the written submissions, sent by Municipal Town Planner and**

**Assistant Town Planner of office of Municipal Corporation, Amritsar and found that the explanation given by them are genuine. In view of the explanation, the show cause issued to the concerned respondent PIO is dropped.**

**In view of the above, the case is disposed of and closed.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Liyaqat Ali**

**S/o Sh. Umardeen,**

**Matoi Road,**

**Ward No. 18, Malerkotla,**

**District - Sangrur (Punjab) …. Appellant**

 **Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Senior Superintendent of Police,**

**Sangrur (Punjab)**

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o The Inspector General of Police,**

**Zonal-1, Patiala (Punjab) ..…Respondents**

 **Appeal Case No. 766 of 2018**

**Present : None on behalf of the applicant.**

**Sh. Ajaib Singh, A. S. I., on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**The RTI request is dated 31.10.2017. First appeal is dated 11.12.2017. Second appeal**

**has been received in the Commission on 26.02.2018.**

**Sh. Ajaib Singh, A. S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today’s**

**hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 44 dated 07.05.2018 signed by Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, showing that the requisite information, which is regarding action taken report on complaints dated 10.06.2017 and 05.07.2017, has already been supplied to the applicant, Sh. Liyaqat Ali, after receiving the same from office of Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Malerkotla. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.**

**The applicant, Sh. Liyaqat Ali, is not present in today’s hearing.**

**An opportunity is given to the applicant to confirm whether he has received the**

**above said reply or not. He is also advised to represent this case in person or through his**

**representative on the next date of hearing.**

 **The case is adjourned to 11th June, 2018 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Daizy Mohan S/o Sh. Hukam Chand,**

**House No.14248, Street No. 2 - A,**

**Ganesha Basti, Bathinda(Punjab) …. Appellant Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Excise & Taxation Commissioner,**

**Mohali (Punjab)**

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o The Additional Excise and**

**Taxation Commissioner(Admn.),**

**Patiala (Punjab) ..…Respondents**

 **Appeal Case No. 996 of 2018**

**Present : Sh. Daizy Mohan, the applicant, in person.**

**Ms. Jyoti Swaroop Kang, State Tax Officer, on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

 **The RTI request is dated 17.11.2017. First appeal is dated 03.01.2018. Second appeal**

**has been received in the Commission on 19.03.2018.**

**Ms. Jyoti Swaroop Kang, State Tax Officer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent**

**PIO in today’s hearing, states that the information sought for by the applicant, Sh. Daizy Mohan through his RTI request, relates with different offices (twenty six) situated in various Districts. She also states that the RTI request of the applicant was transferred under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act to the concerned offices vide letter no. 69 dated 15.01.2018. It is already placed in the case-file.**

**She also submits a reply vide letter no. 454 - 455 dated 10.05.2018 signed by Public**

**Information Officer-cum-Additional Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Head Office, Punjab, Patiala. She also hands over a copy of the same to the applicant during the hearing in the Commission today. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.**

**The applicant, Sh. Daizy Mohan, who appeared in person in today’s hearing, states**

**that out of twenty six offices, he has received information only from sixteen offices situated in various districts and eight offices has given incomplete information to him and whatever information has been given to him is unsatisfactory. He further states that no information has been received from the remaining ten offices.**

**An opportunity is given to the applicant to point out deficiencies in the information**

**supplied to him in writing to the respondent PIOs concerned and concerned respondent PIOs are directed to remove the same by the next date of hearing.**

**The case is adjourned to 6th June, 2018 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Daizy Mohan**

**S/o Sh. Hukam Chand,**

**House No.14248, Street No. 2 - A,**

**Ganesha Basti, Bathinda(Punjab) …. Appellant Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Assistant Excise and**

**Taxation Commissioner,**

**Patiala (Punjab)**

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o The Deputy Excise and**

 **Taxation Commissioner(Division),**

**Anaj Mandi, Sirhind Road, Patiala (Punjab) ..…Respondents Appeal Case No. 1083 of 2018**

**Present : Sh. Daizy Mohan, the applicant, in person.**

1. **Sh. Pawan Kumar, Excise and Taxation Inspector ;**
2. **Sh. Arvinder Pal Singh, Excise and Taxation Inspector, on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**The RTI request is dated 06.01.2018. First appeal is dated 09.02.2018. Second appeal**

**has been received in the Commission on 26.03.2018.**

**Sh. Pawan Kumar and Sh. Arvinder Pal Singh, both Excise and Taxation Inspectors,**

**who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today’s hearing, hand over the requisite information to the applicant, Sh. Daizy Mohan, during the hearing in the Commission today.**

**After going through the supplied information, the applicant, Sh. Daizy Mohan, who**

**appeared in person in today’s hearing, states that the information supplied to him is incomplete.**

**An opportunity is given to the applicant to point out deficiencies in the information**

**supplied to him in writing to the respondent PIO and the respondent is directed to remove the same by the next date of hearing.**

**The case is adjourned to 6th June, 2018 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Daizy Mohan**

 **S/o Sh. Hukam Chand,**

**House No.14248, Street No. 2 - A,**

**Ganesha Basti, Bathinda(Punjab) …. Appellant Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Assistant Excise and**

**Taxation Commissioner,**

**Patiala (Punjab)**

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o The Deputy Excise and**

 **Taxation Commissioner(Division),**

**Anaj Mandi, Sirhind Road,**

**Patiala (Punjab) ..…Respondents**

 **Appeal Case No. 1084 of 2018**

**Present : Sh. Daizy Mohan, the applicant, in person.**

1. **Sh. Pawan Kumar, Excise and Taxation Inspector ;**
2. **Sh. Arvinder Pal Singh, Excise and Taxation Inspector, on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**The RTI request is dated 04.01.2018. First appeal is dated 08.02.2018. Second appeal**

**has been received in the Commission on 26.03.2018.**

**Sh. Pawan Kumar and Sh. Arvinder Pal Singh, both Excise and Taxation Inspectors,**

**who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today’s hearing, hand over the requisite information to the applicant, Sh. Daizy Mohan, during the hearing in the Commission today.**

**After going through the supplied information, the applicant, Sh. Daizy Mohan, who**

**appeared in person in today’s hearing, states that the information supplied to him is incomplete.**

**An opportunity is given to the applicant to point out deficiencies in the information**

**supplied to him in writing to the respondent PIO and the respondent is directed to remove the same by the next date of hearing.**

**The case is adjourned to 6th June, 2018 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864111 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail – psic21@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Daizy Mohan**

**S/o Sh. Hukam Chand,**

**House No.14248, Street No. 2 - A,**

**Ganesha Basti, Bathinda(Punjab) …. Appellant Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o The Assistant Excise and**

**Taxation Commissioner,**

**Patiala (Punjab)**

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o The Deputy Excise and**

 **Taxation Commissioner(Division),**

**Anaj Mandi, Sirhind Road, Patiala (Punjab) ..…Respondents**

 **Appeal Case No. 1085 of 2018**

**Present : Sh. Daizy Mohan, the applicant, in person.**

1. **Sh. Pawan Kumar, Excise and Taxation Inspector ;**
2. **Sh. Arvinder Pal Singh, Excise and Taxation Inspector, on behalf of the respondent.**

**ORDER**

**The RTI request is dated 07.12.2017. First appeal is dated 07.02.2018. Second appeal**

**has been received in the Commission on 26.03.2018.**

**Sh. Pawan Kumar and Sh. Arvinder Pal Singh, both Excise and Taxation Inspectors,**

**who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today’s hearing, hand over the requisite information to the applicant, Sh. Daizy Mohan, during the hearing in the Commission today.**

**After going through the supplied information, the applicant, Sh. Daizy Mohan, who**

**appeared in person in today’s hearing, states that the information supplied to him is incomplete.**

**An opportunity is given to the applicant to point out deficiencies in the information**

**supplied to him in writing to the respondent PIO and the respondent is directed to remove the same by the next date of hearing.**

**The case is adjourned to 6th June, 2018 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.**

 **(A. S. Chanduraian)**

**15th May, 2018 State Information Commissioner**