**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Tejinder Singh R/o Village Bholapur,**

Post Office Ramngarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Superintendent Advertisement,

Zone D, Municipal Corporation,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**,

O/o Additional Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2952 of 2016**

**Date of Institution: 06.09.2016**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh, the applicant in person.

Sh. Rajeev Bharadwaj, Superintendent (Advertisement) the respondent PIO appeared in person.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 07.02.2018 the appellant stated that complete requisite information has been received but it was received after a time period of 1.5 years. On the respondent PIO was given last opportunity along with directions to appear in person on today’s hearing along with written reply clarifying that why there was a delay in supplying the requisite information to the applicant; failing to which action under Section 20(1) will be initiated against him.
2. Sh. Tejinder Singh, the applicant states and filed a written submission in support to his appeal that unnecessary delay in providing requisite information was made by the respondent PIO. This submission is taken
3. Sh. Rajeev Bharadwaj, the respondent PIO states that he had already filed a satisfactory reply through and email dated 12.03.2018 from email-id kuldeep.gabbi@gmail.com on official email-ids of the undersigned Division Bench as directed on previous hearing dated 07.02.2018. This reply along with the supporting documents is taken on record.
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on records, the respondent PIO is advised to provide requisite information in time in future to the information seeker for RTI application filed in their office. As the requisite information stands supplied and hence no further action is required in this appeal case, therefore the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Page 1 of 2

**Appeal Case No. 2952 of 2016**

**Date of Institution: 06.09.2016**

1. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

 **(Ms. Preety Chawla) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner**

**Chandigarh, Dated: 14.03.2018 (12:30 PM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/SIC (PC) for kind information.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Tejinder Singh R/o Village Bholapur,**

Post Office Ramngarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Superintendent Advertisement,

Zone D, Municipal Corporation,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**,

O/o Additional Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2961 of 2016**

**Date of Institution: 06.09.2016**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh, the applicant in person.

Sh. Rajeev Bharadwaj, Superintendent (Advertisement) the respondent PIO appeared in person.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 07.02.2018 the appellant stated that complete requisite information has been received but it was received after a time period of 1.5 years. On the respondent PIO was given last opportunity along with directions to appear in person on today’s hearing along with written reply clarifying that why there was a delay in supplying the requisite information to the applicant; failing to which action under Section 20(1) will be initiated against him.
2. Sh. Tejinder Singh, the applicant states and filed a written submission in support to his appeal that unnecessary delay in providing requisite information was made by the respondent PIO. This submission is taken
3. Sh. Rajeev Bharadwaj, the respondent PIO states that he had already filed a satisfactory reply through and email dated 12.03.2018 from email-id kuldeep.gabbi@gmail.com on official email-ids of the undersigned Division Bench as directed on previous hearing dated 07.02.2018. This reply along with the supporting documents is taken on record.
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on records, the respondent PIO is advised to provide requisite information in time in future to the information seeker for RTI application filed in their office. As the requisite information stands supplied and hence no further action is required in this appeal case, therefore the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
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**Appeal Case No. 2961 of 2016**

**Date of Institution: 06.09.2016**

1. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

 **(Ms. Preety Chawla) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner**

**Chandigarh, Dated: 14.03.2018 (12:30 PM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/SIC (PC) for kind information.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Jasbir Singh**

Village Bholapur Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh,

District- Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2781 of 2016**

**Date of Institution: 24.08.2016**

**Present:** Sh. Jasbir Singh, the applicant in person.

Ms. Savita, DRO, the respondent PIO along with Ms. Rajwant Kaur, JA (84275-11004) and Ratandeep Walia, JA.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 07.02.2018 the representative of appellant Sh. Tejinder Singh stated that requisite information has not been provided to the appellant. The respondent PIO cum DRO, Ms. Savita was directed to appear in person for today’s hearing along with directions to file reply to show cause notice issued to her by today’s hearing. On another hearing dated 11.09.2018 the respondent PIO was directed to file point wise reply as per quires raised by the appellant in his RTI application. Also refer to other previous orders of this instant case in this context.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, the applicant states that no information has been supplied till date.
3. The respondent PIO cum DRO, Ms. Savita states that **requisite information has already been provided to the representative of appellant in another case 3226 of 2017 already disposed of by the Full bench of Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla, Ms. Preety Chawla and Sh. Avtar Singh Kaler on ­13.11.2017.** She also filed a reply to the show cause notice issued to her dated 16.01.2018 by the undersigned Division Bench.
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on records, the respondent PIO is bound to provide requisite information to the appellant for RTI application filed by him as **information seeker are two different persons seeking the same information in two different cases**.
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**Appeal Case No. 2781 of 2016**

**Date of Institution: 24.08.2016**

1. On this the respondent PIO cum DRO, Ms. Savita assured the requisite information will be provided to the appellant and requested the Commission to close this appeal case.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, the applicant agreed on this.
3. On the mutual consent of both the parties and on the assurance of the respondent PIO, currently no further action is required in this appeal case, therefore the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. The appellant has also the liberty to approach the Commission if he does not received the requisite information from the respondent PIO and/or not satisfied with the information after receiving it.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

 **(Ms. Preety Chawla) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner**

**Chandigarh, Dated: 14.03.2018 (12:30 PM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/SIC (PC) for kind information.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Jasbir Singh**

Village Bholapur Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh,

District- Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2881 of 2016**

**Date of Institution: 31.08.2016**

**Present:** Sh. Jasbir Singh, the applicant in person.

Ms. Savita, DRO, the respondent PIO along with Ms. Rajwant Kaur, JA (84275-11004) and Ratandeep Walia, JA.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 07.02.2018 the representative of appellant Sh. Tejinder Singh stated that requisite information has not been provided to the appellant. The respondent PIO cum DRO, Ms. Savita was directed to appear in person for today’s hearing along with directions to file reply to show cause notice issued to her by today’s hearing. On another hearing dated 11.09.2018 the respondent PIO was directed to file point wise reply as per quires raised by the appellant in his RTI application. Also refer to other previous orders of this instant case in this context.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, the applicant states that no information has been supplied till date.
3. The respondent PIO cum DRO, Ms. Savita states that **requisite information has already been provided to the representative of appellant in another case 3226 of 2017 already disposed of by the Full bench of Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla, Ms. Preety Chawla and Sh. Avtar Singh Kaler on ­13.11.2017.** She also filed a reply to the show cause notice issued to her dated 16.01.2018 by the undersigned Division Bench.
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on records, the respondent PIO is bound to provide requisite information to the appellant for RTI application filed by him as **information seeker are two different persons seeking the same information in two different cases**.
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**Appeal Case No. 2881 of 2016**

**Date of Institution: 31.08.2016**

1. On this the respondent PIO cum DRO, Ms. Savita assured the requisite information will be provided to the appellant and requested the Commission to close this appeal case.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, the applicant agreed on this.
3. On the mutual consent of both the parties and on the assurance of the respondent PIO, currently no further action is required in this appeal case, therefore the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. The appellant has also the liberty to approach the Commission if he does not received the requisite information from the respondent PIO and/or not satisfied with the information after receiving it.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

 **(Ms. Preety Chawla) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner**

**Chandigarh, Dated: 14.03.2018 (12:30 PM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/SIC (PC) for kind information.
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