Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Satgur Singh, (M-95692-47403)		
S/o Sh. Surjit Singh, Village Nadampur,		
Distt- Sangrur		Complainant
	Vs	
Public Information Officer,		
O/o SSP Sangrur		Responden

CC No. 336 of 2020 Through CISCO-Webex

Present:(i) None for the Complainant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh. Kuldeep Singh, (80545-45411)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.09.2020 vide which the abovementioned Complaint Case was adjourned to be heard on 01.12.2020. However, on 01.12.2020 the case could not be heard and was postponed to be heard on 14.01.2021.

- 2. The Complainant is not present today.
- 3. The Respondent states that the information, as available in their record has been provided to the complainant
- 4. The perusal of the file shows that the Complainant is not present today. He was not present on the previous two consecutive hearings. Moreover, he has not bothered to inform the Commission about his presence. Hence, it may be presumed that the Complainant is satisfied with the information provided. However, since the Complainant is not present, it is not appropriate to prolong this matter any further. Hence, the Complaint case filed by the Complainant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :14.01.2021 (Preety Chawla)
State Information Commissioner ,
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt Laknvir Kaur (W-95692-47403)		
W/o Shri Surjit Singh, VPO Nadampur,		
DisttSangrur		Complainant
	Vs	
Public Information Officer,		
O/o SSP Sangrur		Respondent

CC No. 337of 2020 Through CISCO-Webex

Present: (i) None for the Complainant

(ii) For the Respondent: ShKuldeep Singh, (80545-45411)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.09.2020 vide which the abovementioned Complaint Case was adjourned to be heard on 01.12.2020. However, on 01.12.2020 the case could not be heard and was postponed to be heard on 14.01.2021.

- 2. The Complainant is not present today.
- 3. The Respondent states that the information, as available in their record has been provided to the complainant
- 4. The perusal of the file shows that the Complainant is not present today. He was not present on the previous two consecutive hearings. Moreover, he has not bothered to inform the Commission about his presence. Hence, it may be presumed that the Complainant is satisfied with the information provided. However, since the Complainant is not present, it is not appropriate to prolong this matter any further. Hence, the Complaint case filed by the Complainant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :14.01.2021 (Preety Chawla)
State Information Commissioner,
Puniab

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh NalinTayal, Director Gats India ltd and President,	
The Gats Urban Cooperative Thrift and Credit Society Ltd,	
SCO1-3B, Swami VivekananadVridashram Market B Block,	
Model Town Extension, Ludhiana-141002	Appellant
Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Additional Chief Secretary,	
Industries and Commerce, Punjab	
Udyog Bhawan, Sector-17, Chandigarh	
First Appellate Authority,	
O/o Additional Chief Secretary,	
Industries and Commerce, Punjab	
UdyogBhawan, Sector-17, Chandigarh	Respondent

AC No. 145 of 2020 Through CISCO-Webex

Present: (i) None for the Complainant

(ii) For the Respondent: ASI Ramesh Kumar (9915663000)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.09.2020 vide which the above-mentioned appeal case was adjourned to be heard on 29.09.2020. However, on 29.09.2020 the case could not be heard and was postponed to be heard on 01.12.2021. On 01.12.2021 the hearing of the abovementioned case was again postponed to be heard on 14.01.2021,i.e today.

- 2. Today, the appellant is not present.
- 3. The Respondent states that the information running into 350 pages is lying ready with them. Further they have also filed their reply in this regard.

Copy of the same is taken on record.

- 4. The perusal of the file shows that the Appellant is not present today. Further, the Respondent states that the information is lying ready with them, the appellant can collect the same from the Respondent office on any working day.
- 5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Dated :14.01.2021 (Preety Chawla)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



.....Respondent

Sh. Gautam Kumar,

M/s R.G Steel & Agro Industries,
G.T Road, Sirhind Side, MandiGobindgarh,
Distt.Fatehgarh Sahib
...Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Registrar, Firms & Societies,
17 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh
First Appellate Authority,

AC No. 176 of 2020 Through CISCO-Webex

Present: (i) None for the Appellant

O/o Registrar, Firms & Societies, 17 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh

(ii) For the Respondent: ShDwarkaParsad (M-98155-13167)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.09.2020 vide which the abovementioned appeal case was adjourned to be heard on 29.09.2020. However, on 29.09.2020 the case could not be heard and was postponed to be heard on 01.12.2021. On 01.12.2021 the hearing of the abovementioned case was again postponed to be heard on 14.01.2021,i.e today.

2. Today the Respondent states Sh. Dwarka Parsad states that the available information has been provided to the Appellant. They have also filed their affidavit in this regard vide Commission diary no. 11110 dated 02.09.2020.

Copy of the same is taken on record.

- 3. The Appellant Sh. Gautam Kumar is not present today. However, he has filed his submission vide Commission diary no.12848 dated 25.09.2020, which is taken on record.
- 4. The perusal of the file shows that the Appellant is not present today. Further, the Respondent has filed his affidavit. In view of the above the reply filed by the respondent appears to be convincing, hence, no cause of action is left. The Appeal case filed by the Appellant is, therefore, disposed of and closed. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. However, if the Appellant is not satisfied with the reply of the respondent, he may approach the higher authorities, if he wish so.

Sd/-

Dated :14.01.2021 (Preety Chawla)
State Information Commissioner,
Puniab