Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Surinder Pal Singh (98558-00758)

(Retd. Principal), Dashmesh Nagar, Street No. 2 ½, House No. 2378, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o DEO (SE), Faridkot

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 1100 of 2018

Date of Institution: 08.10.2018

Date of Decision: 13.03.2019

Present

Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Sh. Harpal Singh, SA (98279-75535) from DEO office Faridkot present on the

behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 05.02.2019.

2. Appellant is absent for today's hearing, without any intimation to the Commission, which

shows no regard to the notice of Commission.

3. Sh. Harpal Singh, SA states and submits a letter signed by Ms. Baljeet Kaur, DEO, Faridkot

addressed to appellant vide their office letter no. ਸਟੈਨੇ/ਜਸਅ (ਸੈਸਿ)2019/63085-88/1-4

dated 26.02.2019 (along with a copy of reply from PIO cum Principal O/o GSSS, Khokar, Sri

Muktsar Sahib) intimating appellant therein that their office have send a follow up letter with

PIO cum Principal O/o GSSS, Khokar, Sri Muktsar Sahib to provide the requisite information

to the appellant but a reply from PIO cum Principal O/o GSSS, Khokar, Sri Muktsar Sahib

had been received by their office vide their letter no. 341 dated 12.02.2019 mentioning

therein that requisite information is not in their office record. These documents are taken on

record.

4. After hearing the respondent going through the documents placed on record, it is found that

on previous hearing dated 05.02.2019 the appellant was advised to go through the reply

filed and file a written reply and/or present his submission clearing the facts of this case, if

any, by today's hearing, but he failed to do so, and therefore I am of the view that no further

action is required in this case and the case is decided on merit basis and hence the instant

appeal case is disposed of and closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Hakam Singh (98779-15026) S/o Sh. Darshan Singh R/o Madhir, Tehsil Gidderbaha, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib-152101

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director, SCERT, Punjab, Mohali

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 1126 of 2018

Date of Institution: 16.10.2018

Date of Decision: 13.03.2019

Present Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Ms. Jaswinder Kaur, Superintendent is present along with Sh. Naginder Singh, SA (94175-66813) from DEO office Faridkot present on the behalf of respondent

PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 05.02.2019.

2. Appellant is absent for today's hearing, without any intimation to the Commission, which

shows no regard to the notice of Commission.

3. Sh. Naginder Singh, SA states has already been sent to the appellant and also sent again

but the appellant has not pointed out any deficiencies till date. He further assures that no

requisite information is left pending to be supplied.

4. After hearing the respondent going through the documents placed on record, it is found that

on previous hearing dated 05.02.2019 the appellant was advised to go through the

information supplied and point out deficiencies, if any, in written to the respondent by today's

hearing, but he failed to do so, and therefore I am of the view that no further action is

required in this case and the case is decided on merit basis and hence the instant appeal

case is disposed of and closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Advocate Puneet K. Sharma

Chamber No. 349, 2nd floor Yadwindra Complex, Lawyers Chamber, District Court, Patiala-147001

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o DEO (SE), Patiala-147001

Public Information Officer

DPI (SE), Vidya Bhawan, PSEB, Block-E, 4th Floor, Phase-VIII, Ajitgarh, Mohali-160062.

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), Vidya Bhawan, PSEB, Block-E, 4th Floor, Phase-VIII, Ajitgarh, Mohali-160062

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3188 of 2018

Present: Nobody present.

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 04.02.2019.
- 2. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission and nor did they file any reply in this regard.
- 3. After going through the documents placed on record, PIO O/o DPI (SE), Mohali is given one more opportunity along with directions to represent this case in person or through his/her representative(s) by the next date of hearing and also to provide requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) would be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO.
- Parties are advised / directed to represent this case in person or through their representatives.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appellant

Respondent

Ms. Puja Bhasin W/o Sh. Munish Bhasin

R/o House No. 92, Golden Avenue Pathankot

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, Sr. Sec. School, Farida Nagar, PO Malikpur Distt.Pathankot

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Education Officer (SE), Pathankot

Appeal Case No.: 3201 of 2018

<u>Date of Institution: 20.09.2018</u>

<u>Date of Decision: 13.03.2019</u>

Present: Ms. Puja Bhasin, the appellant in person.

Sh. Maggar Lal present on the behalf of respondent.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 04.02.2019.

- 2. Sh. Maggar Lal states that readable and certified copies requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant.
- 3. Ms. Puja Bhasin, the appellant show her satisfaction on this after clarifying information pointwise before the Commission.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents places on record, it is found that requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it and therefore I no further action is required in this case hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Vinod Kumar (88470-97019)

S/o Sh. Surinder Nath R/o House No. 92, Golden Avenue Pathankot,

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer cum State Project Director O/o D.G.S.E., SSA Authority Punjab SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar(Mohali)

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3202 of 2018

Present: Sh. Vinod Kumar, the appellant in person.

Ms. Veena Verma, Superintendent cum Nodal Officer (89687-98988) is present from the O/o State Project Director, SSA Authority, Punjab, SAS Nagar along with Sh. litender Singh, SA O/o DRI (SE). Mobali or reproduct(s)

with Sh. Jitender Singh, SA O/o DPI (SE), Mohali as respondent(s).

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 04.02.2019.
- 2. Sh. Vinod Kumar, the appellant states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- 3. Ms. Veena Verma, Superintendent cum Nodal Officer from the O/o State Project Director, SSA Authority, Punjab, SAS Nagar states that RTI application has already been transferred under section 6 (3) (i) (ii) to PIO O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali).
- **4.** Respondent Sh. Jitender Singh, SA from O/o DPI (SE), Mohali states that requisite information is not traceable in their officer record and requested for an adjournment for the same to provide it to the appellant after enquiring it from the their office.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is given one opportunity along with the directions to provide the requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant as per RTI Act, by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) would be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO.
- 6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Puja Bhasin W/o Sh. Munish Bhasin

R/o House No. 92, Golden Avenue Pathankot

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, Sr. Sec. School, Farida Nagar, PO Malikpur Distt.Pathankot

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Education Officer (SE), Pathankot

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3203 of 2018
Date of Institution: 20.09.2018
Date of Decision: 13.03.2019

Present: Ms. Puja Bhasin, the appellant in person.

Sh. Maggar Lal present on the behalf of respondent.

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 04.02.2019.
- 2. Sh. Maggar Lal states that readable and certified copies requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant.
- 3. Ms. Puja Bhasin, the appellant show her satisfaction on this after clarifying information pointwise before the Commission.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents places on record, it is found that requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it and therefore I no further action is required in this case hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Advocate, Neeraj Chaudhary SCO 89-90, Level-IV, Sector-34 A, Chandigarh (98150-36246).

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Mahendra Choudhary Zoological Park Chhatbir, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Wildlife Warden, Punjab, SCO-26-27, Sector-34 A, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3219 of 2018
Date of Institution: 20.09.2018
Date of Decision: 13.03.2019

Present: Adv. Neeraj Choudhary, the appellant in person.

Sh. M.Saudagar, IFS present as respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 04.02.2019.

2. Sh. M.Saudagar, IFS states that requisite information available in records has already

been supplied to the appellant.

3. Adv. Neeraj Choudhary, states that the respondent PIO has given wrong/false information

to the appellant as three different notifications have been given with respect to requisite

information.

4. Sh. M.Saudagar, IFS states that they have provided the true, relevant and the complete

requisite information to the appellant and further he added that any of the requisite

information neither to be supplied is left pending and nor they have created and/or can

create information of their own.

5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents places on record, it is found

that requisite information and/or written reply as per RTI Act stands supplied and if the

appellant wants and clarification or justification whether the information provided is true or

false, he may approach the relevant forum; therefore no further action is required in this

case hence the instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Advocate Puneet K. Sharma

Chamber No. 349, 2nd floor Yadwindra Complex, Lawyers Chamber, District Court, Patiala-147001

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Head Master, Government High School, Manjal Kalan, P.O Panjola, Patiala -147001

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) DPI (SE), Vidya Bhawan,

PSEB, Block-E, 4th Floor,

Phase-VIII, Ajitgarh, Mohali-160062.

First Appellate Authority

O/o DEO (SE), Mohali Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3226 of 2018

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Sh. Rajeev Bansal, Science Master (94781-80786) present on the behalf of

respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 04.02.2019.

- 2. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he file any replies in this regard.
- 3. Sh. Rajeev Bansal, Science Master, states that requisite information relates with PIO O/o DPI (SE) Mohali as already intimated the Commission but their office has not received any information and/or reply from the said office. He also submits a written reply signed by Ms. Nidhi Talwar, head Master, Government High School, Manjal Kalan bearing their office letter no. 19/331 date 12.03.2019 along with supporting documents, mentioning therein that regarding Pay Fixation of Sh. Rajesh Kumar from 01.01.1997 it has been written to DEO (SE), Patiala vide their office letter no. 329/19 dated 20.02.2019 and for allotment of Seniority No. it has been written vide their office letter no. 330/19 dated 20.02.2019. This reply along with supporting documents is taken on record.
- 4. Nobody represented the case from the office of PIO O/o DPI (SE) Mohali.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, PIO O/o DPI (SE), Mohali is given last opportunity along with directions to represent this case in person or through his/her representative(s) by the next date of hearing and also to provide requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) would be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appeal Case No.: 3226 of 2018

- 6. Both the parties are advised / directed to represent this case.
- 7. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 8. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Advocate Puneet K. Sharma

Chamber No. 349, 2nd floor Yadwindra Complex, Lawyers Chamber, District Court, Patiala-147001

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Head Master, Government High School, Manjal Kalan, P.O Panjola, Patiala -147001

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) DPI (SE), Vidya Bhawan,

PSEB, Block-E, 4th Floor,

Phase-VIII, Ajitgarh, Mohali-160062.

First Appellate Authority

O/o DEO (SE), Mohali Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3227 of 2018

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Sh. Rajeev Bansal, Science Master (94781-80786) present on the behalf of

respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 04.02.2019.

- Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he file any replies in this regard.
- 3. Sh. Rajeev Bansal, Science Master, states that requisite information relates with PIO O/o DPI (SE) Mohali as already intimated the Commission but their office has not received any information and/or reply from the said office. He also submits a written reply signed by Ms. Nidhi Talwar, head Master, Government High School, Manjal Kalan bearing their office letter no. 19/331 date 12.03.2019 along with supporting documents, mentioning therein that regarding Pay Fixation of Sh. Rajesh Kumar from 01.01.1997 it has been written to DEO (SE), Patiala vide their office letter no. 329/19 dated 20.02.2019 and for allotment of Seniority No. it has been written vide their office letter no. 330/19 dated 20.02.2019. This reply along with supporting documents is taken on record.
- 4. Nobody represented the case from the office of PIO O/o DPI (SE) Mohali.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, PIO O/o DPI (SE), Mohali is given last opportunity along with directions to represent this case in person or through his/her representative(s) by the next date of hearing and also to provide requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) would be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appeal Case No.: 3227 of 2018

- 6. Both the parties are advised / directed to represent this case.
- 7. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 10.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 8. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ajit Singh (93152-63000)

S/o S. Tarlok Singh,

(Regd. Post.) House No. 289, Street No. 8,

New Patel Nagar, Nabha-147201, District Patiala.

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Department of General Administration

(Regd. Post.) (General Co-ordination Branch),

Punjab Government, Punjab Civil Secretariat

Sector-1, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post.) O/o Education Secretary School Education,

Punjab Govt., Mini Secretariat, 6th Floor, Sector-9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Secretary Punjab,

Main Secretariat, Sector-1, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3498 of 2018

Present Sh. Ajit Singh (94645-33987), the appellant present in person.

Sh. Kuldip Singh, APIO (General Co-ordination Branch) (99153-08129) present

along with Sh. Ajit Singh, SA (94631-89241) on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 05.02.2019.
- 2. Sh. Ajit Singh, the appellant states that requisite information has not been received till date.
- 3. A letter vide diary no. 3190 dated 11.02.2019 has been received in the Commission signed by Superintendent cum PIO Punjab Government, Education Department (Education -4 Branch) addressed to the appellant; along with supporting documents (which is taken on record), mentioning therein that CWP 18939 of 2018 has been disposed of by Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court on 01.08.2019 and with respect to that Speaking Orders were given by Hon'ble Secretary, School Education for issuing a show cause notice against the petitioner and also through filing a fresh application by petitioner, the claim regarding Senior-Junior Pay Parity case of petitioner be admissible may be considered on priority.
- 4. Sh. Ajit Singh, SA also states as mentioned above in point no. 3 of this order.
- 5. Sh. Ajit Singh, the appellant requests the Commission that the respondent may file an affidavit regarding the status report of complaint dated 19.01.2018 against Smt. Archana Mahajan, Principal DIET Nabha as mentioned in RTI application.
- 6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, the respondent PIO O/o Department of General Administration (General Co-ordination Branch), Punjab Government is directed to file an affidavit regarding status report of complaint dated 19.01.2018 against Smt. Archana Mahajan, Principal DIET Nabha satisfying the RTI

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appeal Case No.: 3498 of 2018

application and hand it over to the appellant (and also submit a copy of it to Commission) by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under RTI Act would be enhanced.

- 7. The parties are directed / advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on next date of hearing.
- 8. The subject matter is adjourned to 02.04.2019 at 11.00 AM for further proceedings.
- 9. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Joginder Singh (99880-16911)

S/o Sh. Sukhvir Singh, H. No. 553/1, Sector-38 A, Chandigarh

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o DPI (SE), Education Dept. Govt. of Pb., PSEB, Phase-8, Mohali

Public Information Officer

O/o Director General of School Education,

(Regd. Post) Vidya Bhawan, Block-E, V-Floor,

Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-160062

First Appellate Authority

O/o O/o DPI (SE), Education Dept. Govt. of Pb., PSEB, Phase-8, Mohali

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3552 of 2018
Date of Institution: 18.10.2018
Date of Decision: 13.03.2019

Present: Sh. Joginder Singh the appellant in person.

Ms. Veena Verma, Nodal officer (89687-98988) and Ms. Harwinder Kaur, Assistant Manager present on the behalf of respondent PIO from O/o DGSE and

also Sh. Sukhminder Singh, SA is present.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 05.02.2019.

- 2. On previous hearing dated 05.02.2019 and on mutual consent of both the parties, the appellant was advised to visit respondents office i.e. O/o DGSE on 12.02.2019 to inspect the record. Respondent, Smt. Veena Verma, Nodal officer was directed to ensure that the appellant has inspected the record completely as per RTI application, on his visit but Sh. Joginder Singh, the appellant stated that he was not able to visit respondent's office for inspection as directed by the Commission .
- 3. Sh. Sukhminder Singh, SA states that requisite information is of 22 districts of Punjab and also time period of requisite information has also not been specified in RTI application. He also submitted a letter signed by Assistant Director (Services) O/o DPI (EE), Punjab addressed to the appellant mentioning therein that requisite information relates with DEO's and as they themselves are PIO's and the appellant may directly requisite information from them. A similar letter has also been received in the Commission vide diary no. 5260 dated 11.03.2019. These letters are taken on record.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that vague information has been requisite as time period of information has not been specified and also information of 22 district has been requisite which again is bulk information. Even then and despite of preferred consent appellant, he failed to visit respondent's office for inspection.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appeal Case No.: 3552 of 2018
Date of Institution: 18.10.2018
Date of Decision: 13.03.2019

- 5. In the view of above, that the appellant has wasted the time of Public Authority and the Commission and also bulk and indefinite information is requisite by him therefore, the appellant is advised that he may file fresh RTI application to the PIO's of individual districts and/or departments with specifying proper time period for which information is required and hence, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Somnath Sharma S/o Sh. Ram Dass, House No. 1014, Street No. 16, New Ghuman Nagar, Sirhind Road, Patiala-147001.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, DIET, Nabha-147201, District Patiala

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, SCERT, Education Dept., Vidya Bhawan, 6th Floor, Mohali.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3553 of 2018

Present: Sh. Ajit Singh, (93152-63000) the representative of the appellant.

Sh. Harminder Singh, JA (98785-30373) present on the behalf of respondent

PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 05.02.2019.

2. Sh. Harminder Singh, JA states that requisite information has already been sent to the

appellant.

3. Sh. Ajit Singh, the representative of the appellant states that requisite information that

relates with point no. 1 of RTI application is pending and the appellant is satisfied with point

no. 2 & 3 of RTI application.

4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, the respondent

PIO is directed to provide the information that relates with point no. 1 of RTI application to

the appellant through registered post by the next date of hearing and present the receipt of

said registered post before the Commission, failing to which action under section 20(i) of RTI

Act would be initiated against the respondent PIO.

5. The parties are directed / advised to represent this case in person or through their

representative(s) on next date of hearing.

6. The subject matter is adjourned to **01.05.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 13.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Sandeep Kumar

S/o Sh. Sukhdev Kumar House No. 8, Mohalla-Boran Gate, Nabha-147201, District Patiala.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, DIET, Nabha-147201, District Patiala

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (S), Education Dept., Vidya Bhawan, 4th Floor, Mohali.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3875 of 2018

Present: Sh. Ajit Singh, (93152-63000) the representative of the appellant.

Sh. Harminder Singh, JA (98785-30373) present on the behalf of respondent

PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 05.02.2019.

2. A reply filed by the appellant has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 4104 dated 21.02.2019, mentioning therein that requisite is not a third party information as it is regarding utilization of Government money (funds) for T.A. & D.A purposes by DIET staff from different heads of government money (RMSA, SSA & DIET Funds). This letter has been taken on record.

3. A letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 3717 dated 15.02.2019 signed by DIET Nabha (Patiala) mentioning therein that requisite information and/or reply was sent to the appellant vide their office letter no. 161/18 dated 15.02.2018 but it was returned back to their office due to incomplete and/or incorrect address of appellant and which has again been sent to the appellant on vide their office letter no. 125/19 dated 23.01.2019.

- 4. Sh. Harminder Singh, JA present on the behalf of respondent PIO stated as mentioned above in point no. 3 of this order.
- 5. Sh. Ajit Singh, the representative of the appellant requested for an inspection of record.
- 6. Sh. Harminder Singh, JA agrees on this.
- 7. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record; on mutual consent of both the parties, appellant (or his representative) is advised to visit respondent's office on 10.04.2019 during office hours to inspect the record and respondent is directed to make sure that the appellant (or his representative) has inspected the record as per RTI application

Page 1 of 2

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appeal Case No.: 3875 of 2018

- 8. The parties are directed / advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on next date of hearing.
- 9. The subject matter is adjourned to 01.05.2019 at 11.00 AM for further proceedings.
- 10. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 13.03.2019