Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Appellant

Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha (98142-28629)

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o Joint Commissioner.

Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2679 of 2019

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent:

Sh. Nirmajeet Verma (Building Inspector) Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector) Ms. Harpreet Kaur (Building Inspector)

#### **ORDER**

- This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.12.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 11.01.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 stating that no information has been supplied to him in these cases 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 till date. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Nirmajeet Verma states that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant. He submits a copy of supplied information vide letter no. MTP/7193 dated 10.01.2020 signed by PIO, MTP Department, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar, which is taken on record.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that supplied information is sufficient and no cause of action is left in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.01.2020

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha (98142-28629)

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Joint Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2680 of 2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent:

Sh. Nirmajeet Verma (Building Inspector) Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector) Ms. Harpreet Kaur (Building Inspector)

#### **ORDER**

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.12.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 11.01.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 stating that no information has been supplied to him in these cases 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 till date. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Nirmajeet Verma states that concerned official is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. He submits a copy of reply vide letter no. MTP/7191 dated 10.01.2020 signed by PIO, MTP Department, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar, through which PIO requested for an adjournment, which is taken on record.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, request of the respondent is granted; another opportunity is given respondent PIO to represent this case to clear the facts of this case on the next date of hearing.
- 5. Appellant, Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha is also advised to represent this case through his representative(s) to clear the facts of this case on the next date of hearing positively as he mentioned he is unable to attend hearing due to health issues, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.
- 6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **06.02.2020 at 11.00 AM.**
- 7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.01.2020

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha (98142-28629)

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Joint Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2681 of 2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent:

Sh. Nirmajeet Verma (Building Inspector) Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector) Ms. Harpreet Kaur (Building Inspector)

Versus

#### **ORDER**

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.12.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 11.01.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 stating that no information has been supplied to him in these cases 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 till date. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Nirmajeet Verma states that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant. He submits a copy of supplied information signed dated 14.03.2019, which is taken on record.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that supplied information is sufficient and no cause of action is left in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh** 13.01.2020

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha (98142-28629)

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Joint Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2682 of 2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent:

Sh. Nirmajeet Verma (Building Inspector) Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector) Ms. Harpreet Kaur (Building Inspector)

#### **ORDER**

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.12.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 11.01.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 stating that no information has been supplied to him in these cases 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 till date. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Nirmajeet Verma states that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant. He presented a copy of the supplied information during the hearing.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that supplied information is sufficient and no cause of action is left in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh** 13.01.2020

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha (98142-28629)

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Joint Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2683 of 2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent:

Sh. Nirmajeet Verma (Building Inspector) Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector) Ms. Harpreet Kaur (Building Inspector)

#### **ORDER**

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.12.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 11.01.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 stating that no information has been supplied to him in these cases 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 till date. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Nirmajeet Verma states that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant in Appeal Case No. 2679 of 2019. He submits a reply vide letter no. MTP/7195 dated 10.01.2020, which is taken on record.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that supplied information is sufficient and no cause of action is left in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh** 13.01.2020

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha (98142-28629)

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Joint Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2684 of 2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent:

Sh. Nirmajeet Verma (Building Inspector) Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector) Ms. Harpreet Kaur (Building Inspector)

#### **ORDER**

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.12.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 11.01.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 stating that no information has been supplied to him in these cases 2679, 2680, 2681, 2682, 2683 and 2684 of 2019 till date. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Nirmajeet Verma states that reply has already been sent to the appellant. He presented a copy of the supplied reply during the hearing.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that supplied reply is satisfactory and sufficient and no cause of action is left in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh** 13.01.2020

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appellant

Sh. Harminder Singh Sandhu

(Regd. Post) Chamber No. - 329,

New Courts, Jalandhar (98143-35267)

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

(Regd. Post) O/o MC, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority

(Regd. Post) O/o MC, Jalandhar.

. Respondent Appeal Case No.: 3651 of 2019

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent:

Sh. Nirmajeet Verma (Building Inspector) Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector) Ms. Harpreet Kaur (Building Inspector)

#### **ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.12.2019.

- 2. Respondent, Sh. Nirmajeet Verma states that requisite information is under process so it could not be supplied to the appellant and same has already been intimated to the appellant. He submits a copy of supplied reply vide letter no. 3159/MTP dated 30.10.2019, which is taken on record.
- 3. Appellant, Sh. Harminder Singh Sandhu is not present for today's hearing but a reply dated 29.12.2019 which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 208 dated 03.01.2020 stating that he has sent an email dated 08.12.2019 in this case which was not taken on record. In that letter he added that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case through Video Conference. This letter is taken on record.
- 4. After examining the case file, It is also observed that appellant sent email to the bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. Hem Inder Singh because an email dated 30.12.2019 which was forwarded by the bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. Hem Inder Singh to the bench of undersigned.

It is observed that no email dated 08.12.2019 has been received from the appellant by the bench of undersigned, so it was not possible to taken on record without receiving that email.

- 5. Request of the appellant is granted; another opportunity is given to the appellant to represent this case to clear the facts of this case positively, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.
- 6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 19.02.2020 at 11.00 A.M. through Video Conference Facility at the Deputy Commissioner Office, Jalandhar.
- 7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post</u>.

Chandigarh 13.01.2020

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gagandeep Singh

S/o Sh. Jaspal Singh, R/o 357, Bank Enclave, near Multani Gym, Jalandhar (98149-33030)

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer Cum Sub Registrar -1

O/o Tehsil, Complex, MC, Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o SDM -1, Jalandhar. Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3654 of 2019

**Present:** Sh. Gagandeep Singh, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Rakesh Kumar (JA).

**ORDER** 

- This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.12.2019.
- 2. Respondent, Sh. Rakesh Kumar handed over the copy of the demanded information to the appellant during the hearing.
- 3. After gone through the supplied information, appellant, Sh. Gagandeep Singh states that he is satisfied with the supplied information.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.01.2020

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ravi Kumar (98557-91905)

President Dir Bajrani Akhara (Regd. Post)

Prabandhak Committee (Regd.),

B/s Khaddar Bazzar, I/o Kali Mata Mandir, Nakodar, District Jalandhar -144040.

Appellant

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Tehsildar Nakodar. District Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o SDM Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3864 of 2019

Sh. Ravi Kumar, the appellant in person. Present:

For the respondent: Sh. Hussan Lal (Patwari).

**ORDER** 

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.12.2019.

Respondent, Sh. Hussan Lal states that he has brought an affidavit to hand it over to the 2. appellant, as per the previous order of the Commission dated 09.12.2019. He submits a reply vide letter no. 576 dated 10.01.2020 signed by the Tehsildar, Nakodar, which is taken on record.

After going through the supplied affidavit, appellant shows his dissatisfaction and demanded the affidavit as per his RTI application. He also pointed out that his name is mentioned as Ravi Kant in the affidavit.

On this, respondent, Sh. Hussan Lal states that name of the appellant was taken from the previous Order of the Commission dated 09.12.2019.

After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that Notice of hearing and Order of the previous hearing dated 09.12.2019 was sent to the appellant inadvertently in the name of Ravi Kant, which may be read as Ravi Kumar. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post.

It is also observed that appellant filed RTI application dated 11.07.2019 but respondent PIO has not supplied the information even after the lapse of 06 months.

In view of the above, respondent PIO, who is Sh. Inder Dev Singh (Tehsildar), O/o Tehsildar, Nakodar, District Jalandhar will Show Cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Appeal Case No.: 3864 of 2019

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1)

provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of

hearing. A copy of this order to be sent to him by name through registered post along with RTI

request.

He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the

opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say

and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

7. Respondent PIO is also directed to go through the RTI application once again and file an

affidavit as per the queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application before the Commission on

the next date of hearing positively.

8. Appellant, Sh. Ravi Kumar is advised to represent his case in person or through his

representative(s) on the next date of hearing.

9. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 11.02.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

10. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Sh. Inder Dev Singh (By Name)

(Regd. Post) O/o Tehsildar Nakodar,

District Jalandhar.

Encl. RTI Request.

2/2

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gagandeep Chugh (79869-83438) S/o Ram Krishan R/o Ashik Vihar, Gali No. 1, Near Paras Tailor, Fazilka -152123.

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

<u>Complaint Case No.: 853 of 2019</u>

Respondent

**Present:** Nobody present.

#### **ORDER**

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.12.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Gagandeep Singh Chugh is not present for today's hearing but he intimated the bench of undersigned through telephonic message that supplied information is complete but unattested. He also intimated that he is unable to attend today's hearing.

Versus

- 3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the Notice of the Commission as well as of RTI Act.
- 4. After examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply the attested copy of the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. Another opportunity is also given to both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts positively, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.
- 5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 11.02.2020 at 11:00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh 13.01.2020** 

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ravinder Singh S/o Harjit Singh

H. No. 26, Diamond Avenue, Aman Avenue, Majitha Road, Amritsar. (70092-86908)

Appellant

Versus Public Information Officer

O/o MC, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o MC, Amritsar. Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3575 of 2019** 

**Present:** Sh. Ravinder Singh, the appellant in person.

Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER** 

- This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.12.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Ravinder Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 3. Respondent PIO is not present for today's hearing but a letter no. 366 dated 07.01.2020 signed by PIO-cum-Superintendent License Department, MC, Jalandhar which has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 504 dated 09.01.2020 requesting therein for an adjournment. This letter is taken on record.
- 4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the pending information relates with point no. 2,4 and 5 to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively along with directions to represent this case on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action as per RTI act will be initiated against him.
- 5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 11.02.2020 at 11:00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh 13.01.2020** 

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Promila Sharma (97797-61292)

W/o Sh. Rajinder Kumar House No. 166, Street No. 1/B, Shalimar Nagar, Hoshiarpur-146001

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Hoshiarpur

First Appellate Authority
O/o DEO (SE), Hoshiarpur

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3243 of 2019

**Present:** Nobody present.

#### **ORDER**

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.12.2019.
- 2. Respondent PIO is not present for today's hearing but Ms. Manjeet Kaur (PIO) intimated the bench of undersigned through telephonic message that she is unable to attend today's hearing and she has sent an email regarding this case. An email dated 10.01.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned stating that requisite information comprising 26 pages have been sent to the appellant through office letter no. 3380-81 dated 10.01.2020 with the remarks of the appellant, Ms. Promila Sharma dated 10.01.2020 stating that information relates with point no. 1 and 2 has been received but information relates with point no. 3 and 4 is pending from the respondent.
- 3. Appellant, Ms. Promila Sharma is not present for today's hearing.
- 4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the pending information relates with point no. 3 and 4 to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively along with directions to represent this case on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action as per RTI act will be initiated against him.
- 5. Appellant, Ms. Promila Sharma is advised to represent his case in person or through her representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively.
- 6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 11.02.2020 at 11:00 AM.
- 7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh **13.01.2020** 

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



**Appellant** 

Sh. Ajit Singh Talwandi (89689-02044)

Village Nikku Chak, PO Hajipur, Mukerian District Hoshiarpur

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o SSP, Hoshiarpur.

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o SSP, Hoshiarpur. Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3025 of 2019

**Present:** Sh. Ajit Singh Talwandi, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Rajinder Singh (ASI).

**ORDER** 

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.12.2019.
- 2. Respondent, Sh. Rajinder Singh states that same information has already been supplied to the appellant many times. He added that he has brought the original record, appellant can inspect if anything required by the appellant.
- 3. Appellant, Sh. Ajit Singh Talwandi, states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that judgment is **reserved** to decide the matter in this case.
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.01.2020