**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan**

House No. 78/8, Park Road,

New Mandi, Dhuri,

District Sangrur.(98722-20039) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SSP, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o IGP, Zonal -1,

Patiala. Respondents

**Appeal Case No.: 3195 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 08.11.2017**

**Date of Decision: 12.09.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant along with his representative, advocate, H.S. Hundal

For the respondent: Sh. Ajit Singh (ASI cum RTI In-charge).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.08.2018.
2. On the last hearing held on 01.08.2018, appellant, Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan was absent and Sh. Ajit Singh (ASI cum RTI In-charge) was appeared on behalf of the respondent and stated that case dairies are not a document and cannot be supplied to the appellant. Last opportunity was given to the appellant, Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan to file a reply in connection with case diaries on the next date of hearing and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 12.09.2018 i.e. today.
3. In today’s hearing representative of the appellant, Advocate, H.S. Hundal states that case diary is not exempted from RTI Act.
4. Respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states the same as he explained on last hearing that case diaries is not a document and cannot be supplied to the appellant.
5. After discussing with both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that no reply has been filed by the appellant regarding case diaries as per the previous order of the Commission dated 01.08.2018 and no satisfying reply has been received from the appellant in today’s hearing in connection with case diaries. We are of the considered view that case diaries are not a document and cannot be supplied to the appellant, therefore no further cause of action is required in this case.
6. In wake of above, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

 **(Sh. Avtar Singh Kaler) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 12.09.2018 (12:15 P.M.)**

**Copy to:**

* PS to SIC (ASK) for your kind information.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan (98722-20039)**

House No. 78/8,

Park Road, New Mandi, Dhuri,

District Sangrur, Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Chief Director,

Vigilance Bureau Punjab,

Chandigarh

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o SSP,

Vigilance Bureau Flying Squad-1,

Unit-3, Punjab, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**,

O/o Vigilance Bureau,

Punjab, Chandigarh Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 633 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Rajesh Kumar (ASI) along with Sh. Avtar Singh (SI).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.08.2018.
2. Respondent, Sh. Rajesh Kumar states that copy of reply vide letter no. 1673 dated 31.07.2018 was sent to the appellant as per the last order of the Commission dated 01.08.2018.
3. On this, appellant, Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan states that irrelevant reply has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO and requested to provide the requisite information.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to give copy of rules to the appellant or file a reply in writing in response to RTI request on the next date of hearing before the commission.
5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **17.10.2018 at 12:15 PM.**
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

 **(Sh. Avtar Singh Kaler) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 12.09.2018 (12:15 P.M.)**

**Copy to:**

* PS to SIC (ASK) for your kind information.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Dr. Ravi Jindal (97811-23344)**

House No. 112, Bharpur Garden,

Opposite Government Ayurvedic College,

Patiala Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer cum Supt.** (Family Welfare),

O/o Directorate (Family Welfare),

Punjab, Chandigarh .

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare,

Punjab, Family Welfare Bhawan,

Sector-34-A, Chandigarh Respondents

**Appeal Case No.: 700 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Ms. Meena Kumari (Programme Officer) along with Sh. Rajinder Singh (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.08.2018.
2. Appellant, Dr. Ravi Jindal is not present for today’s hearing but two emails dated 10.09.2018 have been received by the Bench of undersigned stating that information relates with point no. 7 is still pending from the respondent PIO and requested for exemption for personal appearance. This mail is taken on record.
3. Respondent, Ms. Meena Kumari states that point no. 7 is already included in the supplied information.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, we found that on the previous hearing held on 01.08.2018, same discussion was done and respondent PIO was directed to supply the requisite information in connection with point n. 7 to the appellant through registered post within 15 days and to bring the postal receipt on the next date of hearing i.e today. It is also observed that Ms. Meena Kumari was also present on the previous hearing and today again she narrating the same.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information in connection with point no. 7 of the RTI application through which appellant; Dr. Ravi Jindal sought action taken on his letter dated 3/10/17 along with copy of file notings before the next date of hearing positively along with advice to bring a copy of the same on the next date of hearing to present before the Commission.

1. Appellant, Dr. Ravi Jindal is advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case.
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**Appeal Case No.: 700 of 2018**

1. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **17.10.2018 at 12:15 PM.**
2. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

 **(Sh. Avtar Singh Kaler) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner**

**Chandigarh, Dated: 12.09.2018 (12:15 P.M.)**

**Copy to:**

* PS to SIC (ASK) for your kind information.
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