

Shri Tejinder Singh Civil Courts, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur-144410 District Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Versus

## **Public Information Officer**

O/o Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

# **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

# AC No.1694 of 2021

## Present: (i) Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant. (ii) Shri Ashok Kumar, Assistant Estate Officer/APIO O/o Regulatory Wing, GLADA Ludhiana on behalf of the PIO/Respondent.

# <u>ORDER</u>

# (Heard Through Cisco Webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 11.08.2021 passed by this Bench on

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today through Cisco Webex. Shri Tejinder Singh has not come present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex.

3. Shri Ashok Kumar, Assistant Estate Officer/APIO O/o Regulatory Wing, GLADA Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO/Respondent through cisco webex. He states that the information has been given to the appellant vide letter dated 09.08.2021.

4. The Bench peruses the case file and order passed on the previous hearing on 10.11.2021. The Bench apprises the APIO that the appellant had denied receipt of information on the previous hearing and that the PIO was also issued show cause notice, to which no reply has been filed. As such, the PIO was directed to supply information and to come present in person before the Bench brining along the entire relevant record.



AC No.1694/2021

5. The APIO assures that the information will be again sent to the appellant as also the reply to show cause notice would be filed before the next hearing.

6. The PIO is again directed to comply with the directions as given by the Bench on the previous

hearing in its entirety.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 27.07.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.05.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to:

Public information Officer, O/o Shri Ashok Kumar, Assistant Estate Officer, Regulatory Wing, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana-

- To ensure compliance of directions of the Bench.

-2-

Shri Rajwinder Singh S/0 Shri Swarn Singh, H.No.149, Street No.6, Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Gill, Ludhiana.



.....Appellant

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o Bathinda Development Authority, Bathinda.

# **Public Information Officer**

O/o Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority(GLADA) Ludhiana.

# **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Chief/Incharge, Bathinda Development Authority, Bathinda.

# AC No.1696 of 2021

....Respondents

# Present: (i) Appellant- absent.

- (ii) Shri Harsimrat Singh, Senior Assistant, O/o B.D.A. Bathinda,
- on behalf of the PIO/Respondent, in person.
- (iii) None on behalf of PIO O/o GLADA, Ludhiana.

# <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 11.08.2021 passed by this Bench on

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. The appellant Shri Rajwinder Singh has not come present to

attend the hearing in person or through Cisco Webex.

3. Shri Harsimrat Singh, Senior Assistant, O/o B.D.A. Bathinda comes present in person to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. He states that they have transferred the RTI application to GLADA, Ludhiana and produces before the Bench the reply to show cause notice in the form of affidavit as also the letter dated 21.01.2021, whereby the RTI application was transferred to PIO O/o GLADA, Ludhiana.

4. The Bench peruses the documents produced by the representative of PIO O/o B.D.A. Bathinda and observes that the directions of the Bench given on the previous hearing stand complied with by the PIO O/o B.D.A. Bathinda and he is exempted from appearance in the further proceedings of the case.



## AC No.1696/2021

5. The Bench further observes that during the previous hearing, Public Information Officer O/o Estate Officer, GLADA was impleaded as Respondent No.2 and was also directed to supply information to the appellant before the next hearing. However, he has neither put in appearance before the Bench nor he has supplied information to the appellant.

-2-

6. The PIO O/o Estate Officer, GLADA, Ludhiana is again directed to supply information to the appellant before the next hearing and to ensure to come present in person before the Bench on the next hearing.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 27.07.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.05.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, **RTI** Activist, # 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority(GLADA), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

#### AC No.1766 of 2021

#### Present: Appellant- absent. (i)

(ii) Shri Ashok Kumar, Assistant Estate Officer, Regulatory Wing, GLADA, Ludhiana on behalf of the PIO.

## ORDER

## (Heard through cisco webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 11.08.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Neither the appellant nor his representative Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur has come present to attend the hearing in person or through Cisco Webex. However, an e.mail dated 10.05.2022 has been received from Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur wherein submissions have been made in the e.mail with regard to the non-receipt of information from the PIO in spite of lapse of about 24 months.

Shri Ashok Kumar, Assistant Estate Officer, Regulatory Wing, GLADA, Ludhiana comes 3. present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO through cisco webex. He states that the appellant has been sent reply vide letter dated 03.06.2021 with a copy by e.mail to the Hon'ble Commission.

The Bench observes that reply to the show cause notice has not been filed by the PIO nor the 4. PIO has complied with the directions of the Bench. The Bench directs the PIO to call the appellant or his representative to the office and allow access to the relevant official records. The information as may be identified by the appellant/his representative, be provided before the next hearing.





AC No.1766/2021

5. The PIO O/o GLADA, Ludhiana is also directed to comply with the directions of the Bench given on the previous hearing in its entirety.

With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on
 27.07.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.05.2022

\_

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to:

**Public information Officer**, O/o Shri Ashok Kumar, Assistant Estate Officer, Regulatory Wing, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana-

To ensure compliance of the directions of the Bench.

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, RTI Activist, # 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority(GLADA), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1767 of 2021

Present: (i) Appellant- absent.

(ii) Shri Ashok Kumar, Assistant Estate Officer, Regulatory Wing, GLADA, Ludhiana on behalf of the PIO.

# <u>ORDER</u>

# (Heard through cisco webex/)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 11.08.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous bearing

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Neither the appellant nor his representative Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur has come present to attend the hearing in person or through Cisco Webex. However, an e.mail dated 10.05.2022 has been received from Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur wherein submissions have been made in the e.mail with regard to the non-receipt of information from the PIO in spite of lapse of about 15 months.

3. Shri Ashok Kumar, Assistant Estate Officer, Regulatory Wing, GLADA, Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO through cisco webex. He states that the appellant has been sent reply vide letter dated 03.06.2021 with a copy by e.mail to the Hon'ble Commission.

4. The Bench observes that reply to the show cause notice has not been filed by the PIO nor the PIO has complied with the directions of the Bench. The Bench directs the PIO to call the appellant or his representative to the office and allow access to the relevant official records. The information as may be identified by the appellant/his representative be provided before the next hearing.





AC No.1767/2021

-2-

5. The PIO O/o GLADA, Ludhiana is also directed to comply with the directions of the Bench given on the previous hearing in its entirety.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 27.07.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.05.2022

\_

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to:

**Public information Officer**, O/o Shri Ashok Kumar, Assistant Estate Officer, Regulatory Wing, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana-

To ensure compliance of the directions of the Bench.

Er. R.C. Tandon, #146, Urban Estate, PO Chachoki, Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

Versus

.....Appellant

**Public Information Officer** O/o Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar.

**Public Information Officer** O/o Estate Officer, Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority-cum** Estate Officer, Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar.

# AC No.4820 of 2021

....Respondents

Present:

 (i) Appellant- absent.
 (ii) Shri Pawan Kumar, SDO, Public Health/PIO, O/o Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar, in person.

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 20.05.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 22.06.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 22.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 11.05.2022 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri R.C. Tandon, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person or through Cisco Webex nor he has sent any intimation in this regard.

3. Shri Pawan Kumar, S.D.O. Public Health/PIO, Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar/PIO comes present to attend the hearing in person. He states that the appellant was called by the APIO O/o Estate Officer (Regulatory), J.D.A. Jalandhar, for inspection of the records, but the appellant did not turn up for the same and thus, information has not been supplied.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, observes that no response to the appellant has been given by the PIO and the PIO is also not able to clarify the issues involved in the RTI application of the appellant and the position still remains the same.





AC No.4820/2021

5. The PIO, J.D.A. Jalandhar and the APIO O/o Estate Officer, Jaladhar Development Authority, Jalandhar are directed to supply information to the appellant as per his RTI application before the next hearing. They are also directed to come present in person before the Bench on the next hearing.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 27.07.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.05.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to: APIO O/o Estate Officer, Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar

#### Shri Sukhwinder Singh,

Advocate, Chamber No.320, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, District & Session Court, Sector-43, Chandigarh.

Versus

.....Appellant

## **Public Information Officer**

O/o Greater Mohali Area Development Authority(GMADA), S.A.S.Nagar(Mohali).

#### First Appellate Authority,

O/o Greater Mohali Area Development Authority(GMADA), S.A.S.Nagar(Mohali).

(i)

...Respondents

#### AC No.4867/2021

Present:

Shri Sukhwinder Singh, Appellant.

(ii) Shri Gurwinder Singh, A.E.O., along with Shri Jarmanjit Singh, J.E., GMADA, Mohali, PIO/Respondent.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

#### (Heard in person/through Ciso Webex)

1. The RTI application is dated 03.06.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.07.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 25.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 11.05.2022. Shri Sukhwinder Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. He states that he has not been supplied any information for the last eight months.

3. Shri Gurwinder Singh, PIO and Shri Jarmanjit Singh, Junior Engineer O/o GMADA, Mohali come present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. The PIO states that information sought by the appellant involves some technical issues which Shri Jarmanjit Singh will explain. On the asking of the Bench, Shri Jarmanjit Singh, J.E. states that regarding the land relating to the construction of road mentioned in RTI application of the appellant, is under litigation and thus, the said road could not be constructed and wherever, the title of the land was clear, the road has been constructed by GMADA. Moreover, the information sought is in question form.

4. The Bench, on perusal of RTI application, observes that though the appellant has sought information in question form, yet the Bench directs the PIO to provide point wise information to the appellant before the next hearing as certain valid issues have been raised by the appellant. The Bench also directs the PIO to come present in person before the Bench on the next date of hearing.

5. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 27.07.2022 at 11.30 AM in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.05.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

## Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate,

Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur, District Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o Sub Registrar,

Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

(i)

(ii)

...Respondents

# AC No.4843/2021

Present:

Appellant- absent. Shri Sukhpal Singh, Junior Assistant O/o Sub Registrar, Sri Muktsar Sahikb on behalf of the PIO/Respondent.

# <u>ORDER</u>

# (Heard in person/on mobile phone)

1. The RTI application is dated 24.05.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 05.06.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 22.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 11.05.2022. Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person or through cisco webex.

3. Shri Sukhpal Singh, Junior Assistant O/o Sub Registrar, Sri Muktsar Sahib comes present in person before the Bench and states that information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 15.07.2021 by registered post and he also produces before the Bench letter dated 10.05.2022 containing the said information which is taken on record.

4. Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant is contacted on mobile phone. He is apprised of the version of representative of PIO and he is also made to talk to the representative of PIO who explains to him the details of information supplied. On the asking of the Bench, he admits receipt of information, but states that he had also pointed out deficiencies vide his letter dated 14.08.2021 which have not been removed and rectified information has not been supplied.



5. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, finds that the deficiencies had been sent by the appellant to the PIO vide letter dated 14.08.2021 and thereafter, there is no response from the PIO.

6. The Bench now directs the PIO to address the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant vide letter dated 14.08.2021 and to supply rectified information to the appellant before the next hearing and also to come present in person before the Bench on the next hearing.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 27.07.2022 at 11.30 AM in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.05.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

-2-

Shri Chanderdeep Maggu, Advocate, Chamber No.172, Block C, District Court Complex, Fazilka.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Ferozepur.

...Respondents

## AC No.4835/2021

Present: (i) Shri Chandereep Maggu, Appellant. (ii) None on behalf of the PIO/Respondent.

## <u>ORDER</u>

## (Heard through Ciso Webex)

1. The RTI application is dated 23.07.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 23.08.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 22.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 11.05.2022. Shri Chanderdeep Maggu, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. He states that he has not been provided any information so far.

3. Neither the PIO has come present in person or through cisco webex nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO.

4. In the absence of the PIO or his representative, the version of the PIO cannot be heard and as such, the Bench deems it appropriate to adjourn the matter.

In view of the above, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 03.08.2022 at
11.30 AM in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 11.05.2022

#### Shri Krishan Lal Rinwa

S/o Shri Girdhari Lal Rinwa, Village Bareka, PO Roop Nagar, Tehsil & District Fazilka.

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Addl.Dy. Commissioner(Dev.), Fazilka.

#### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer (BDPO), Khuhian Sarvar, Distt. Fazilka.

#### First Appellate Authority,

O/o Addl.Dy. Commissioner(Dev.), Fazilka.

Versus

.....Appellant

...Respondents

## AC No.1952/2021

Present:(i)Shri Krishan Lal Rinwa, Appellant.(ii)None on behalf of the PIO/Respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

#### (Heard through Ciso Webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 16.11.2021 passed by this Bench on

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Krishan Lal Rinwa, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. He states that he has not been provided any information and that he has already sent his submissions to the Hon'ble Bench in this regard on 06.11.2021 and also vide letter dated 02.05.2022.

3. Neither the PIO O/o A.D.C. Development, Fazilka has come present in person or through cisco webex. However, from the perusal of letters received from A.D.C. (Dev.), Fazilka, the Bench observes that he has directed the B.D.P.O. Khuhian Sarvar to attend the hearing. The Bench also observes from the letter of appellant received in the Commission vide diary No.1989 dated 02.02.2022 that the BDPO Khuhian Sarvar has sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 05.1.2022 with which the appellant is not satisfied.

4. As per letters of A.D.C. (Dev.), Fazilka and the response to BDPO Khuhian Sarvar to the appellant, the Bench deems it appropriate to implead the PIO O/o B.D.P.O. Khuhian Sarvar as Respondent No.2. He is directed to supply information to the appellant before the next hearing.

AC No.1952/2021

5. The Bench also directs the PIO O/o A.D.C. (Development), Fazilka and PIO O/o B.D.P.O. Fazilka to come present in person before the Bench bringing along the relevant record, on the next hearing.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 03.08.2022 at 11.30 AM in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.05.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to (By Regd. Post)

Public Information Officer O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer (BDPO), Khuhian Sarvar, Distt. Fazilka.



Shri Kuldeep Singh, Sohiya Road, Ramgarh Colony, Ward NO.7, Sangrur.

.....Appellant

Versus

## **Public Information Officer**

O/o Director General, School Education, Punjab, Vidya Bhawan)(PSEB Complex), Phase-8, Mohali.

## **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary to Govt. of Punjab, Department of School Education, Punjab Civil Secretariat-2, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

#### AC No.4057/2021

Present: (i) Shri Kuldeep Singh, Appellant. (ii) None on behalf of PIO

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 21.12.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Shri Kuldeep Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that he has received reply dated 07.02.2022 from the PIO-cum-Assistant Director O/o D.G.S.E. Punjab, S.A.S. Nagar and he is not satisfied with the reply.

3. Neither the PIO has come present to attend the hearing in person nor the PIO has deputed any of the representatives to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. The PIO Smt. Jaswinder Kaur is contacted on mobile phone. On enquiring from her, she states that she is on leave and is not fully aware of present status in the case and asks for another hearing.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, observes that letter dated 07.02.2022 has been received by the Commission stating that the enquiry into the complaint made by the appellant was entrusted to the District Education Officer (EE), Sangur vide order dated 04.05.2021, but now the District Education Officer (EE), Sangur has written that the District Education Officer (SE), Sangrur against whom enquiry has been ordered, is of his equivalent rank and as such, has requested for entrusting this enquiry to some other officer. The Bench has also received another letter by e.mail dated 11.05.2022 whereby the office of DGSE,



#### AC No.4057/2021

Punjab has informed that Shri Kultaran Singh, DEO (SE), Sangrur has been appointed as Inquiry Officer to conduct enquiry against Shri Malkit Singh, now DEO (SE), Sri Muktsar Sahib and a request has been made to give some additional time to supply information to the appellant. The PIO is directed to come present before the Bench in person on the next hearing.

5. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 27.06.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the orders be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.05.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma, (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

-2-