Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Silii Navueep Gupia,	
Kothi No.455, Gillco Valley,	
Kharar Distt. Mohali-140301.	Appellant
	Vs
Public Information Officer o/o Assistant Inspector General of Poli Punjab Police Hqrs., Sector 9, Chandi	
First Appellate Authority o/o Inspector General of Police (Crime	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Police Headquarters, Sector 9, Chand	igarhRespondents.

Appeal Case No. 3836 of 2018

Present:-Shri Navdeep Gupta, appellant, in person.

> Shri Pardeep Kumar, Assistant Sub Inspector, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

Shri Navdoon Gunta

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.20199 vide which the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar was impleaded as a necessary party. He was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant and submit status report on the next date of hearing.

2. The representative of the respondents submits letter dated 10.03.2019, the contents of which are reproduced below:-

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਵਿਸਾ ਉਕਤ ਵਿਚ ਦਰਜ ਅਪੀਲ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਨਵਦੀਪ ਗਪਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਜ਼ੋ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਮੰਗਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ, ਉਹ ਇਸਨੂੰ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਹੀ ਮਹਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਜਾ ਚੁਕਿਆ ਹੈ। ਪਹਿਲੇ ਚੌਕੀ ਇੰਚਾਰਜ ਏ.ਐਸ.ਆਈ.

Contd.....p/2

Appeal Case .No. 3836 of 2018

<u>-2-</u>

ਅਵਤਾਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਦਾ ਤਬਾਦਲਾ ਹੋ ਚੁੱਕਾ ਹੈ। ਨਵਦੀਪ ਗੁਪਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਪਾਈਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਅਪੀਲਾਂ ਦੇ ਜਵਾਬ ਏ.ਐਸ.ਆਈ

ਅਵਤਾਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਲੋਂ ਦਿਤੇ ਹੋਏ ਹਨ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਸ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਨਹੀਂ ਬਣ ਸਕਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ

ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਅਜੇ ਨਹੀਂ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਅਤੇ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਵਿਚ ਲੋਕ ਸਭਾ ਚੋਣਾਂ ਵੀ ਸਿਰ ਤੇ ਆ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਸਾਰੀ

ਫੋਰਸ ਇਲੈਕਸ਼ਨ ਡਿਊਟੀਆਂ ਵਿਚ ਮਸਰੂਫ਼ ਰਹੇਗੀ। ਕ੍ਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਹਾਲਾਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਮਦੇ ਨਜ਼ਰ ਰਖਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਕੋਈ ਹੋਰ

ਤਰੀਕ ਪੇਸ ਲੋਕ ਸਭਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਚੋਣਾ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਦੀ ਦਿਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on

the case file, it is observed that till date no information has been supplied to the

appellant. The respondents are directed to send action taken report on the complaint

dated 22.06.2018 to the appellant with a copy to the Commission before the next date

of hearing.

4. To come up on **15.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.**

Sd/-

(S.S. Channy)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

Dated: 11.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Complaint Case .No. 1181 of 2018

Present:- None on behalf of the complainant.

Ms. Preeti Sagar, Senior Assistant along with Ms. Kamlesh Kumari, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.2019 in which it is mentioned that the requisite information is available on the website and a reply has been sent to the complainant vide respondent's memo No.22/98/2018-LR-1/264, dated 09.01.2019 by Superintendent-cum-APIO, Land Revenue Branch. The complainant was directed to send his observations, if any, on the reply sent by the APIO.

2. The representatives of the respondent state that information has been supplied to the complainant on 09.01.2019, which might have been received by the complainant and no deficiency has been received from the complainant after supply of the same.

Contd.....p/2

Complaint Case .No. 1181 of 2018

<u>-2-</u>

3. After hearing the representatives of the respondent-PIO and going

through the record available on the case file, it is observed that the complainant has not

sent any deficiency in compliance to the previous order dated 29.01.2019. He was

absent on the previous date of hearing and he is absent today also without any

intimation. It seems that he is satisfied with the information supplied to him. Hence, the

instant case is **disposed of** and **closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 11.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Navdeep Gupta,	
Kothi No.455, Gillco Valley,	
Kharar, District Mohali-140301	Complainant.
Vs	·
Public Information Officer	
o/o Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab,	
Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.	Respondent.

Complaint Case .No. 1180 of 2018

Present:- Shri Navdeep Gupta, complainant, in person.

Shri Tejpal Singh, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.2019 vide which the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Home Affairs and Justice was impleaded as a necessary party. He was directed to supply requisite information to the complainant and submit status report on the next date of hearing.

2. The representative of the respondent submits a photocopy of letter dated 01.02.2019 vide which the reply has been sent to the complainant regarding information sought by him at Sr. No.1. He further states that information regarding point at Sr. No.2, relates to Punjab Vidhan Sabha, Chandigarh.

Contd....p/2

Complaint Case .No. 1180 of 2018

-2-

3. The complainant states that he is satisfied with the information supplied by

the respondents regarding point at Sr. No.1.

4. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on

the case file, it is revealed that information relating to the present respondent's office

has been supplied and remaining information relates to Punjab Vidhan Sabha,

Chandigarh. The appellant is advised to seek information from Punjab Vidhan Sadha

after filing fresh application under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. With these

observations, the present case is disposed of and closed. Copies of the order be

sent to the parties.

Dated: 11.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Manjeet Singh
s/o Late S. Amar Singh,
r/o 4780, Shiva JI Nagar,
Ludhiana.

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Station House Officer, Police Station, Basti Jodhewal, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent.

.....Complainant.

Complaint Case .No. 1172 of 2018

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh on behalf of the complainant.

None on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.2019 vide which the absence of the respondent-PIO was viewed seriously and PIO was directed to supply requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

- 2. The representative of the complainant states that no information has been received by the complainant till date.
- 3. Today, none is present on behalf of the respondent-PIO. Accordingly, viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, one last opportunity is afforded to the PIO to supply complete information to the complainant and file reply to the hearing notice issued by the Commission before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action, under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005, will be initiated against him.
- 4. To come up on 15.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated: 11.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Complaint Case .No. 1171 of 2018

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh on behalf of the complainant.

None on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

Dated: 11.03.2019

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.2019 in which it is mentioned that point-wise reply was sent to the complainant on 01.08.2018 with the direction to furnish observations, if any, to the PIO with a copy to the Commission.

- 2. The representative of the complainant states that no information has been received from the respondent-PIO by the complainant till date.
- 3. Today, none is present on behalf of the respondent-PIO. Accordingly, viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, one last opportunity is afforded to the PIO to supply complete information to the complainant and file reply to the notice issued by the Commission before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action, under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005, will be initiated against him.
- 4. To come up on 15.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Complaint Case .No. 1170 of 2018

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh on behalf of the complainant.

None on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.2019 vide which the complainant was advised to supply copies of his complaint to the PIO so that requisite information could be provided to him.

- 2. The representative of the respondent-PIO states that no information has been received by the complainant from the PIO till date.
- 3. Today, none is present on behalf of the respondent-PIO. Accordingly, viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, one last opportunity is afforded to the PIO to supply complete information to the complainant and file reply to the hearing notice issued by the Commission before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action, under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005, will be initiated against him.
- 4. To come up on **15.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M.** for further proceedings.

Sd/Dated: 11.03.2019 (S.S. Channy)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Manjeet Singh s/o Late S. Amar Singh, r/o 4780, Shiva JI Nagar, Ludhiana.

......Complainant.

Public Information Officer o/o Station House Officer, Police Station, Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent.

Complaint Case .No. 1169 of 2018

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh on behalf of the complainant.

None on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

Dated: 11.03.2019

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.2019 vide which the absence of the respondent viewed seriously and PIO was directed to supply requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

- 2. The representative of the complainant states that no information has been received by the complainant from the respondent till date.
- 3. The respondent-PIO is absent without intimation.
- 4. Today, none is present on behalf of respondent-PIO. Accordingly, viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, one last opportunity is afforded to the PIO to supply complete information to the complainant and to file reply to hearing notice issued by the Commission before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action, under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005, will be initiated against him.
- 4. To come up on 15.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Manjeet Singh s/o Late S. Amar Singh, r/o 4780, Shiva JI Nagar, Ludhiana.

......Complainant. **Vs**

Public Information Officer o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent.

Complaint Case .No. 1168 of 2018

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, on behalf of the complainant. Shri Ramesh Kumar, ASI, on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.2019 vide which it was informed by the respondent-PIO that a reply had been sent to the complainant vide his letter dated 28.01.2019, the contents of which are reproduced below:-

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗ ਪੱਤਰ ਮਿਤੀ 14.03.2018 ਵਿਚ ਆਈ.ਪੀ.ਓ. ਨੰ: 39 ਐਫ 208109 (ਈ.ਪੀ.ਐਸ. 516551132) ਦਾ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਲੈ ਕੇ ਸ: ਥ; ਜਵਾਲਾ ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਾਦ ਲਧਿਆਣਾ ਬਾਰੇ ਲੜੀ ਨੰ: 01 ਤੋਂ 09 ਤਕ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ। ਜਦੋਂ ਕਿ ਇਹੀ ਮੰਗੀ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਤਾ ਦਾ ਮੰਗ ਪੱਤਰ ਮਿਤੀ 14.03.18 ਜ਼ੋ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਅਸਿਸਟੈਂਟ ਜਨਰਲ–ਕਮ–ਪੀ.ਆਈ.ਓ. ਪੰਜਾਬ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਵਲੋਂ ਯਾਦ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬ+ 1332/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆੲ..2 ਮਿਤੀ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ 23.03.2018 ਨਾਲ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 6(3) ਤਹਿਤ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਨੂੰ ਤਬਦੀਲ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਜ਼ੋ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਮੌਸੂਲ ਹੋਣ ਤੇ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਿਜੀ ਅਤੇ ਤੀਜੀ ਧਿਰ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 41/6(3)/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆੲ.. ਮਿਤੀ 16.04.18 ਨਾਲ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ।

Contd.....P/2

Complaint Case .No. 1168 of 2018

<u>-2-</u>

ਜਿਸ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੁਖ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ, ਪੰਜਾਬ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਜੀ ਪਾਸ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕੇਸ 550/18 ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਜਿਸ ਵਿਚ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਨੂੰ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 152/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਮਿਤੀ 20.07.18 ਨਾਲ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਿਤਾ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕੀ। ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕੇਸ ਨੰਬਰ 550/2018 ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਐਸ.ਐਸ. ਚੰਨੀ, ਮੁਖ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 22.10.2018 ਨੂੰ ਬੰਦ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾ ਚੁਕਾ ਹੈ। ਆਰਡਰ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ ਜੀ।

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on the case file, it has come to light that the information sought by the Appellant is third party information and also personnel information as contemplated under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. As per case decided on 03.10.2012 by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP (civil no. 27734 of 2012) titled "Girish Ram Chandra Deshpande vs. CIC, New Delhi and others", which relates to personnel information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, the PIO is not bound to give such information In the instant appeal case also there is no public interest involved in supply of information demanded by the appellant. As such the complainant in the Commission is **dismissed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 11.03.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Manjeet Singh s/o Late S. Amar Singh, r/o 4780, Shiva JI Nagar, Ludhiana.

......Complainant. **Vs**

Public Information Officer o/o Station House Officer, Police Station, Jamalpur, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent.

Complaint Case .No. 1167 of 2018

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, on behalf of the complainant.

Shri Sawaran Chand, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.2019 vide which absence of the respondent-PIO was viewed seriously and he was directed to supply requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

2. In compliance to the previous order the representative of the respondents submits letter dated 8.3.2019, the relevant contents of which are reproduced below:-

ਉਕਤ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਸ:ਥ; ਸਤਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਨੰ: 924/ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ। ਸ.ਥ. ਸਤਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਨੇ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਫੋਨ ਨੰ:98553–02986 ਪਰ ਫੋਨ ਕਰਕੇ ਪੁਛਿਆ ਗਿਆ। ਉਕਤ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦਾ ਬਿਆਨ ਲਿਖਣਾ ਹੈ। ਤੁਸੀਂ ਹੋ ਜਾਂ ਨਹੀਂ ਜਿਸ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਬਾਹਰ ਹਾਂ ਜਿਸਨੂੰ ਪੁਛਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਥਾਣਾ ਜਮਾਲਪੁਰ ਤੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਰਾਹੀਂ ਕਿਹੜੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ, ਜਿਸਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੇ ਖਿਲਾਫ ਤਰਲੋਚਨ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਬਸਤੀ ਜ਼ੋਧੇਵਾਲ ਲਧਿਆਣਾ ਨੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਿਤੀ ਸੀ, ਉਸ

Contd.....P/2

Complaint Case .No. 1167 of 2018

<u>-2-</u>

ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ, ਜਿਸ ਨੂੰ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੇ ਨੰਬਰ ਅਤੇ ਮਿਤੀ ਬਾਰੇ ਪੁਛਿਆ, ਜਿਸ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਾ ਨੰਬਰ ਅਤੇ ਮਿਤੀ ਯਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਫਿਰ ਸ.ਥ. ਸਤਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਮਿਤੀ 04.03.2019 ਨੂੰ ਦਰਖਾਸਤੀ ਦੇ ਘਰ ਗਿਆ, ਜ਼ੋ ਹਾਜਿਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲਿਆ, ਜਿਸ ਨੂੰ ਫਿਰ ਫੋਨ ਪਰ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੇ ਨੰਬਰ ਤੇ ਮਿਤੀ ਬਾਰੇ ਪੁਛਿਆ ਜਿਸ ਨੈ ਦਸਿਆ ਕਿ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਯਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ। ਜੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤੀ ਪਾਸ ਕੋਈ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਾ ਨੰਬਰ ਅਤੇ ਮਿਤੀ ਨਾਂ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ

ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ।

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on the case file it is observed that information-seeker failed to provide number and date of complaint. So, the information could not be supplied. The appellant is advised to give number and date of his complaint and get the information from the respondent after following the procedure afresh under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. With these observations, the present case is **disposed of** and **closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 11.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



.....Respondents.

Ms. Ramanpreet Kaur,
Advocate,
d/o Shri Pat Paul, #8/43,
Municipal Committee Road,
Maur Mandi,
District Bathinda.

Vs

Public Information Officer
o/o Punjab Public Service Commission,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority
o/o Punjab Public Service Commission,
Patiala.

PIO/Punjab and Haryana High Court,

Appeal Case .No. 3941 of 2018

Present:- Ms. Ramanpreet Kaur, appellant, in person.

Shri Satish Kumar Wig alongwith Shri Charanjit Singh for the Punjab and

Haryana High Court, Chandigarh and Shri Harpreet Singh, Senior

Assistant, on behalf of the PPSC.

ORDER

Chandigarh.

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.01.2019 vide which the application for seeking information and First Appeal of the appellant had been transferred by the Punjab Public Service Commission, Patiala to Registrar, Punjab and Haryana High Court for supplying the information as he is the custodian of the record. Accordingly, the PIO/Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh was impleaded as a necessary party and he was directed to supply requisite information to the appellant.

Contd....P/2

Appeal Case No. 3941 of 2018

<u>-2-</u>

2. The representatives of the Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh

request that the appellant may be advised to file First Appeal before the competent

authority to take decision whether the sought for information is to be supplied to her or

not.

3. The request of the PIO/Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh is

acceded to. The appellant is advised to file First Appeal before the First Appellate

Authority of the Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh afresh under the

provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. On receipt of the first appeal from the appellant, the

respondents are directed to decide it, whether the information is to be provided or not.

With these observations, the instant case is **disposed of** and **closed.** Copies of the

order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 11.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Tejinder Singh r/o Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

Vs.

Public Information Officer o/o Sub Registrar (West), Ludhiana.

FAA-Sub Divisional Magistrate (West), Ludhiana.

-----Respondents.

--Appellant.

Appeal Case No. 3488 of 2017

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Ranjit Singh, Tehsildar, Jalandhar on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.01.2019 vide which the absence of the respondents was viewed seriously and show cause notice was issued to Shri Renjit Singh, Sub Registrar, Ludhiana (West) for imposition of penalty. Viewing his callous and defying attitude seriously, in exercise of powers vested with the Commission under Section 18(3)(a) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 read with relevant provisions of Civil Procedure Code, a Billable Warrant was issued to ensure his presence on the next date of hearing to submit compliance report in respect of the orders of the Commission. Besides the compensation amount of Rs.2000/- was enhanced to Rs.3000/- to be paid to Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, through a Bank Draft.

Contd....P/2

<u>-2-</u>

- 2. Shri Ranjit Singh, Tehsildar, Ludhiana (West) is present and explained that he has been transferred from Ludhiana to Jalandhar and new incumbent in his place-Shri Parampreet Singh has joined as Sub Registrar, Ludhiana (West). He hands over a Bank Draft bearing No.781499 dated 8.3.2019 for Rs.3000/- issued by Oriental Bank of Commerce, Ludhiana during the hearing to the appellant. He further states that complete information has been supplied to the appellant on 05.07.2017 by the PIO and again on 27.07.2017 by the First Appellant Authority.
- 3. The appellant states that he was not aware of the listing of the present case, so he has not brought the concerned brief/file with him. He states that he has sent deficiencies in the provided information to the respondents with a copy to the Commission.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on the case file, it is observed that no deficiencies are available in the provided information in the case file of the Commission. The appellant is advised to send deficiencies in the provided information to the respondents as well as to the Commission. On receipt of the deficiencies the respondents are directed to remove the same before the next date of hearing. Keeping in view the problems of the respondent-PIO explained during the hearing, notice issued for imposition of penalty is dropped.

5. To come up on **15.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.**

Sd/-

Dated: 11.03.2019

Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Love Kumar Dua s/o Late Shri Megh Raj Dua r/o House No.125, Model Town, Samrala Road, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Vs.

--Appellant.

Public Information Officer o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

FAA- Additional Deputy Commissioner (General) Ludhiana.

-----Respondents.

Appeal Case No. 1973 of 2018

Present:- Shri Love Kumar Dua, appellant, in person.

Shri Buta Singh, Clerk, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.12.2018, in which it is mentioned that record was more than 20 years old and a report had been obtained from District Revenue Officer, Ludhiana, who had stated that the record was not available. Accordingly, it was directed that an inquiry be conducted to fix responsibility for misplacing of the record. The information regarding names of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana and Tehsildar (East), Ludhiana, who were posted in the year 1988 at the time of flood, are concerned, the same be supplied to the appellant after collecting it from Punjab Civil Secretariat/Financial Commissioner, Revenue, before the next date of hearing.

Appeal Case No. 1973 of 2018

-2-

2. The representative of the respondents requests for adjournment of the

case as they have sent their reply vide letter dated 28.2.2019, the contents of which are

reproduced below:-

Dated: 11.03.2019

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਲਵ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਦੁਆ

ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮੁਖ ਰਾਜ ਦੁਆ ਵਾਸੀ ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰਬਰ 125, ਮਾਡਲ ਟਾਊਨ, ਸਮਰਾਲਾ ਰੋਡ, ਖੰਨਾ, ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵਲੋਂ

ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਰਾਹੀਂ ਸਾਲ 1988 ਵਿਚ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵਿਚ ਤਾਇਨਾਤ ਡਿਪਟੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਜੀ ਅਤੇ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ

ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ (ਪੂਰਬੀ) ਵਿਚ ਤਾਇਨਾਤ ਤਹਿਸੀਲਦਾਰ ਦੇ ਆਰਡਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ

ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਭਾਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਪ੍ਰੰਤੂ ਭਾਲ ਕਰਨ ਦੇ ਬਾਵਜੂਦ ਵੀ ਉਕਤ ਆਰਡਰ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲ, ਡਿਪਟੀ

ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਜੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹੁਕਮ ਮਿਤੀ 15.02.2019 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਆਦੇਸ਼ ਦਿਤੇ ਹਨ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ

ਇੰਨਕਆਰੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਕਿੰਨਾਂ ਹਾਲਾਤਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਗਾਇਬ ਹੋਈਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਜਿਮੇਵਾਰੀ ਫਿਕਸ

ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਜ਼ੋ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾ ਸਕੇ। ਕਿਉਕਿ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ

ਦੀ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਵਿਖੇ ਅਗਲੀ ਤਾਰੀਖ ਪੇਸ਼ੀ ਮਿਤੀ 11.03.2019 ਹੈ।

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available in the

case file, it is revealed that the order of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana has not been

complied with for conducting inquiry and sending report. The respondents are directed

to conduct the inquiry and send report after fixing the responsibility of the officials who

have misplaced the same before the next date of hearing before 23.04.2019.

4. To come up on **23.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.**

Sd/-

(S.S. Channy)
Chief Information Commissioner

Punjab