

**Sh. Virvant Kumar (Sanskrit Master),** EWS 224, SST Nagar Patiala .

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Principal, Govt. Sen. Sr. School (Boys), Sanaur Distt. Patiala

# First Appellate Authority

O/o DEO (SE), Patiala.

### Appeal Case No.: 1062 of 2019 Date of Institution: 18.03.2019 Date of Decision: 09.07.2019

Appellant

Present: Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant in person. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO (99143-20298) present along with Sh. Lovely Innocent, S. St. Master as respondents.

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 18.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO states that point-wise requisite information has already been provide to the appellant. An email in this regard has also been received in the Commission from email id <u>gsss.patiala.sanourb@gmail.com</u> on 05.07.2019 along with copy of requisite information and/or written reply by the PIO GSSS (Boys) Sanour, Patiala attached with it, and mentioning therein that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been provided to the appellant. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant shows his dissatisfaction stating that information regarding point no. 2 of RTI application has not been provided.
- 4. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO states relevant information/reply has already been provided and also provided in writing, the basis/grounds of information provided as requisite in RTI application during the hearing. This copy of this reply is also taken on record.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated: 09.07.2019



**Sh. Virvant Kumar (Sanskrit Master),** EWS 224, SST Nagar Patiala .

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Principal, Govt. Sen. Sr. School (Boys), Sanaur Distt. Patiala

# **First Appellate Authority**

O/o DEO (SE), Patiala.

## Appeal Case No.: 1095 of 2019 Date of Institution: 20.03.2019 Date of Decision: 09.07.2019

Respondent

Appellant

Present: Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant in person. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO (99143-20298) present along with Sh. Lovely Innocent, S. St. Master as respondents.

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 20.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO states that point-wise requisite information has already been provide to the appellant. An email in this regard has also been received in the Commission from email id <u>gsss.patiala.sanourb@gmail.com</u> on 05.07.2019 along with copy of requisite information and/or written reply by the PIO GSSS (Boys) Sanour, Patiala attached with it, and mentioning therein that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been provided to the appellant. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant pointed out deficiencies verbally to the respondent during the hearing.
- 4. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO justified/clarified the deficiencies pointed out verbally by the appellant during the hearing.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Virvant Kumar (Sanskrit Master), EWS 224, SST Nagar Patiala

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Principal, Govt. Sen. Sr. School (Boys), Sanaur Distt. Patiala

# **First Appellate Authority**

O/o DEO (SE), Patiala.

### Appeal Case No.: 1111 of 2019 Date of Institution: 22.03.2019 Date of Decision: 09.07.2019

Respondent

Appellant

Present: Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant in person. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO (99143-20298) present along with Sh. Lovely Innocent, S. St. Master as respondents.

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 22.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO states that point-wise requisite information has already been provide to the appellant. An email in this regard has also been received in the Commission from email id <u>gsss.patiala.sanourb@gmail.com</u> on 05.07.2019 along with copy of requisite information and/or written reply by the PIO GSSS (Boys) Sanour, Patiala attached with it, and mentioning therein that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been provided to the appellant. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant shows his dissatisfaction stating that information regarding point no. 4, 5 and 6 of RTI application has not been provided.
- 4. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO states relevant information/reply has already been provided and also stated that requisite information with respect to point no. 4, 5, and 6 is in questioning form and/or hypothetical. She also provided written reply satisfying the RTI application during the hearing. This copy of this reply is also taken on record.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



**Sh. Virvant Kumar (Sanskrit Master),** EWS 224, SST Nagar Patiala .

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Principal, Govt. Sen. Sr. School (Boys), Sanaur Distt. Patiala

# First Appellate Authority

O/o DEO (SE), Patiala.

### Appeal Case No.: 1133 of 2019 Date of Institution: 25.03.2019 Date of Decision: 09.07.2019

Respondent

Appellant

Present: Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant in person. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO (99143-20298) present along with Sh. Lovely Innocent, S. St. Master as respondents.

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 25.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO states that point-wise requisite information has already been provide to the appellant. An email in this regard has also been received in the Commission from email id <u>gsss.patiala.sanourb@gmail.com</u> on 05.07.2019 along with copy of requisite information and/or written reply by the PIO GSSS (Boys) Sanour, Patiala attached with it, and mentioning therein that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been provided to the appellant. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant pointed out deficiencies verbally in to the respondent during the hearing.
- 4. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO justified/clarified the deficiencies pointed out verbally by the appellant during the hearing stating that requisite information is vague and/or in questioning form in nature but still the available requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been provided to the appellant.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Virvant Kumar (Sanskrit Master), EWS 224, SST Nagar Patiala

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Principal, Govt. Sen. Sr. School (Boys), Sanaur Distt. Patiala

# First Appellate Authority

O/o DEO (SE), Patiala.

### Appeal Case No.: 1237 of 2019 Date of Institution: 02.04.2019 Date of Decision: 09.07.2019

Appellant

Present:Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant in person.Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO (99143-20298) present along with Sh. Lovely

Innocent, S. St. Master as respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 02.04.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO states that point-wise requisite information has already been provide to the appellant. An email in this regard has also been received in the Commission from email id <u>gsss.patiala.sanourb@gmail.com</u> on 05.07.2019 along with copy of requisite information and/or written reply by the PIO GSSS (Boys) Sanour, Patiala attached with it, and mentioning therein that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been provided to the appellant. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Sh. Virvant Kumar, the appellant pointed out deficiencies verbally to the respondent during the hearing stating that PIO neither provided information that who checked/marked the answer sheets as RTI requisite in RTI application nor allowed him to inspect the answer sheets.
- 4. Ms. Neeraj Baiya, Principal cum PIO stated that it's the matter of secrecy regarding the inspection of answer sheets which cannot be disclosed and regarding checking/marking of answer sheets and competent Sanskrit Master/Teacher has checked/marked the answer sheets as requisite in RTI application. She further added if appellant has any doubt in marking of any answer sheets he may apply for/ fill rechecking/ re-evaluation form in PSEB as per norms.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 09.07.2019

Sh. Rajesh Gupta, the appellant in person.



Sh. Rajesh Gupta (94639-90768, 94173-15738) Vill. Tasimbli, Tehsil-Derabassi Distt. SAS Nagar-140501

Versus

Appellant

**Public Information Officer** O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

respondents.

Appeal Case No.: 1144 of 2019

Dr. K.P.S. Pacricha present along with Ms. Satnam Kaur, Superintendent as

Respondent

## ORDER

Present:

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 25.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Dr. K.P.S. Pacricha states and submits a reply signed by PIO O/o Director Animal Husbandry, Punjab addressed to the appellant mentioning therein that requisite information has already been provided to the appellant vide their office letter no. 187 dated 22.04.2019. This reply is taken on record.
- Ms. Satnam Kaur, Superintendent also submits a reply signed by Superintendent Grade -1 cum PIO O/o Animal Husbandry, Fisheries and Diary Development, Punjab (Animal Husbandry – 1 - Branch) addressed to the appellant covering therein point wise requisite information and/or written reply. This letter is taken on record.
- 4. Sh. Rajesh Gupta, pointed out deficiencies verbally to the respondent during the hearing.
- 5. On this, Dr. K.P.S. Pacricha states that CSR is available at different sources in market but still they will provide Copy CSR to appellant in CD.
- 6. Sh. Rajesh Gupta, the appellant states that CSR is bulk information but he wants only specific information which relates to his RTI application.
- 7. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide copy/CD of CSR as mentioned above and also specify the requisite information in that as pointed out by the appellant. Respondent is also directed to mention in reply that no other requisite information is pending to be provided once it is completely provided failing to which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. Appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in written in the information provided by the next date of hearing.
- 8. The case is adjourned to 06.08.2019 at 11.30 AM for further hearing.
- 9. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 09.07.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Rajesh Gupta (94639-90768, 94173-15738) Vill. Tasimbli, Tehsil-Derabassi Distt. SAS Nagar-140501

Appellant

**Public Information Officer** 

Versus

O/o The Director, Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Livestock Complex, Sec. 68, Near Army Institute of Law, SAS Nagar (Mohali), Punjab

#### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o The Director, Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Livestock Complex, Sec. 68, Near Army Institute of Law, SAS Nagar (Mohali), Punjab

Appeal Case No.: 1145 of 2019

Respondent

**Present:** 

Sh. Rajesh Gupta, the appellant in person. Dr. K.P.S. Pacricha present along with Ms. Satnam Kaur, Superintendent as respondents.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 25.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Dr. K.P.S. Pacricha states and submits a reply signed by PIO O/o Director Animal Husbandry, Punjab addressed to the appellant mentioning therein that requisite information has already been provided to the appellant vide their office letter no. 187 dated 22.04.2019. This reply is taken on record.
- 3. Sh. Rajesh Gupta, pointed out deficiencies verbally to the respondent during the hearing.
- 4. On this, Dr. K.P.S. Pacricha states that CSR is available at different sources in market but still they will provide Copy CSR to appellant in CD.
- 5. Sh. Rajesh Gupta, the appellant states that CSR is bulk information but he wants only specific information which relates to his RTI application.
- 6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is directed to provide copy/CD of CSR as mentioned above and also specify the requisite information in that as pointed out by the appellant. Respondent is also directed to mention in reply that no other requisite information is pending to be provided once it is completely provided failing to which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 7. The case is adjourned to 06.08.2019 at 11.30 AM for further hearing.
- 8. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner** 



Sh. Sukhwinder Singh (President) (94656-50001)

O/o Anti Corruption Front (Regd.), Machhiwara, Distt. Ludhiana-141115

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o District Education Officer (SE), Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority** O/o District Education Officer (SE), Ludhiana

# Appeal Case No.: 1087 of 2019 Date of Institution: 19.03.2019 Date of Decision: 09.07.2019

Versus

Present: Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, the appellant in person.

Sh. Dilshad Singh, Clerk is present on the behalf of respondent.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- The appellant filed an appeal on 19.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Dilshad Singh, Clerk handed over copy of requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant during the hearing.
- Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, the appellant pointed out deficiency verbally in information, which was removed / clarified by the respondent Sh. Dilshad Singh, Clerk during the hearing and Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, the appellant got satisfied with it.
- 4. Sh. Dilshad Singh, Clerk also submitted a copy of it to the Commission, which is taken on record.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 09.07.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant



**Sh. Bharat Bhushan Khanna (98155-63490, 94644-64801)** S/o Sh. Satpal Khanna, R/o House No. 105, Chandan Nagar,

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Police Commissioner,

O/o Police Commissio Jalandhar

Sodal Road, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Police Commissioner, Jalandhar

# Appeal Case No.: 1114 of 2019

**Present:** Sh. Bharat Bhushan Khanna, the appellant present along with his representative Advocate Sunil Mallan (9814096353). Sh. Dilbag Singh, Head Constable is present on the behalf of respondent.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 22.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- Sh. Dilbag Singh handed over copy of requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant during the hearing and he also stated that requisite information has also been already sent to the appellant through registered post.
- 3. Advocate Sunil Mallan states that copy of combined statements mention in reply has not been attached and also point wise requisite information and/or written reply may be provided.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent is directed to provide point wise requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant and also provide combined statements mentioned in reply already provided.
- 5. The case is adjourned to 06.08.2019 at 11.30 AM for further hearing.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 09.07.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant



Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu (95015-11388) #24, Blossoms Enclave, Lane-1, Nabha Road, Patiala-147005.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, Govt. Sen. Sr. School, Kalyan, Distt. Patiala

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DPI (SE), Vidya Bhawan (PSEB), Block-E, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Phase-VIII, Ajitgarh (Mohali) Punjab-160062.

## Appeal Case No.: 1158 of 2019

Present: Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, the appellant present in person. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Modi, Principal cum PIO (79864-71369) is present along with Sh. Virender, Clerk and Sh. Balwinder Singh, SA cum APIO (DPI (SE) Punjab office) as respondents.

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 26.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, the appellant states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- 3. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Modi, Principal cum PIO states that requisite information is Third Party information which the third party Ms. Shamin Sultana (wife of appellant) has denied to provide it to the appellant therefore the information has not been provided to the appellant.
- 4. Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, the appellant states that requisite information is regarding salary and other salary related information (like pay scale, grade pay, HRA, DA etc.) of a government employee which is public information which cannot be denied and may be provided to the him.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent is directed to provide point wise certified copy of requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant that relates with and salary and other salary related information (like pay scale, grade pay, HRA, DA etc.) <u>but copies of other personal information (like name, address A/c no., Phone no. etc), qualification certificates, etc. and other personal documents of employee(s) may not be provided without the consent of the appellant; failing which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.</u>
- 6. The case is adjourned to **31.07.2019 at 11.30 AM** for further hearing.
- 7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated: 09.07.2019

Appellant



**Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu (95015-11388)** #24, Blossoms Enclave, Lane-1, Nabha Road, Patiala-147005.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Principal, Govt. Sen. Sr. School, Kalyan, Distt. Patiala

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Patiala.

## Appeal Case No.: 1159 of 2019 Date of Institution: 26.03.2019 Date of Decision: 09.07.2019

Present:Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, the appellant present in person.Dr. Rajesh Kumar Modi, Principal cum PIO (79864-71369) is present along with Sh.<br/>Virender, Clerk as respondents.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 26.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, the appellant states that requisite information has been received but not certified.
- 3. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Modi, Principal cum PIO certified the copy of requisite information and/or written reply during the hearing.
- 4. Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, the appellant is satisfied with the information received.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 09.07.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant



Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu (95015-11388) #24, Blossoms Enclave, Lane-1, Nabha Road, Patiala-147005.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sen. Sr. School, Mandi Gobindgarh, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib

**First Appellate Authority** O/o District Education Officer (SE), Sri Fatehgarh Sahib.

#### Appeal Case No.: 1160 of 2019 Date of Institution: 26.03.2019 Date of Decision: 09.07.2019

**Present:** Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, the appellant present in person. Dr. Amarbir Singh, Principal cum PIO (94174-47493) is present as respondent.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 26.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Dr. Amarbir Singh, Principal cum PIO sates that requisite information has already been provided to the appellant.
- 3. Sh. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, the appellant states that requisite information has been received and he is satisfied with it.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 09.07.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant