**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana (93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Incharge Police Chownki,

Division No. 5, Kochar Market,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o ACP (West),

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 580 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Jatinder Singh, SHO cum PIO along with Sh. Jograj Singh, Head Constable present as respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of one of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 580 of 2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This e-mail along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. This e-mail along with attachments has been taken on record.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant pointed out deficiencies in point no. 4(1) and 4(2) stating that no or irrelevant information has been received from the respondent PIO.
5. Sh. Jatinder Singh, SHO cum PIO assures that the pending information will be provided by the next date of hearing.
6. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, the respondent PIO is given last opportunity to send pending information that relates with point no. 4(1) & 4(3) of RTI application through registered post to the appellant and present a copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
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**Appeal Case No. 580 of 2018**

1. The appellant is also given last opportunity to point out deficiencies **specifically** if any in written to the respondent PIO after receiving the pending information from the respondent as said in Para 9 of this order and also a copy of it to the Commission, along with an advice to appear in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken against the appellant.
2. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **27.06.2018 at 11.30 AM** for further proceedings.
3. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana (93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 581 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Devraj, Inspector (98786-50433) along with Sh. Jwala Parsad, ASI and Sh. Tarsem Singh present as respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 581 of 2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
5. Sh. Devraj, Inspector is present with information and states that requisite information was not supplied to appellant as the appellant has not deposited the documentation fee of Rs 22/- for 11 pages @ Rs 2/- demanded and nor filed any reply in this regard. He then handed over the information to the appellant during the hearing.
6. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, the appellant is given last opportunity to point out deficiencies **specifically** in written to the respondent PIO if any in the information received from the respondent during the hearing and also a copy of it to the Commission, with an advice to appear in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken against the appellant.
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**Appeal Case No. 581 of 2018**

1. The respondent PIO is also directed to remove deficiencies if any pointed out by the appellant as said above in Para 9 of this order and send it through registered post to the appellant and present a copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
2. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **27.06.2018 at 11.30 AM** for further proceedings.
3. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o District and Session Judge

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o District and Session Judge

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 582 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 09.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Om Prakash, Superintendent cum PIO (96469-33964) present as respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 582 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 09.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that incomplete information has been received and further added that he is satisfied with reply that relates with point 1, 2 and 3 of RTI application and point 4 is still pending.
5. Sh. Om Prakash, Superintendent cum PIO states that pending information that relates point no. 4 of RTI application cannot be supplied at this stage, since the matter is under process in the office of LD. District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana. The above said written reply along with documents is already on record.
6. Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant states that he is satisfied with reply and requested the Commission to close this case.
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**Appeal Case No. 582 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 09.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

1. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that available requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
2. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Registrar, Punjab & Haryana

 High Court, Chandigarh

 **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Registrar, Punjab & Haryana

High Court, Chandigarh Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 583 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 09.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Inder Singh, Joint Registrar cum PIO (85585-30378) present as respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 583 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 09.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that requisite information is still pending.
5. Sh. Inder Singh, Joint Registrar cum PIO states requisite information has already been supplied to appellant. He further presented documents mentioning therein information that relates to point no. 4 (A) & (C) was supplied to the appellant vide their Court’s letter no. 249/PIO/HC dated 09.02.2018. He further added that regarding point no. 4 (B), (D) & (E) balance documentation fee of Rs14/- (@ Rs 2/- per page) was demanded vide their Court’s letter no. 274/PIO/HC dated 15.02.2018 but the appellant had not deposited it, despite of that the remaining information at point no. 4(B), (D) & (E) was supplied to the appellant by hand vide their Court’s letter no. 333/PIO/HC dated 21.02.2018The written reply and supporting documents in this regard are already on record.
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**Appeal Case No. 583 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 09.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

1. Sh. Inder Singh, Joint Registrar cum PIO further stated that the appellant has not pointed out any discrepancies till date in the information provided.
2. Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant failed to point out any discrepancies during hearing and states that he is satisfied with reply and requested the Commission to close this case.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that available requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SHO, Police Station Daba,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 584 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Om Prakash, Superintendent (96469-33964) along with Sh. Jograj Singh, Head Constable present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 584 of 2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Jograj Singh, Head Constable stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and presented the evidences in support to his statement.
5. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
6. On this, Sh. Jograj Singh, Head Constable handed over the requisite information to the appellant during the hearing.
7. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, the appellant is given last opportunity to point out deficiencies **specifically** in written to the respondent PIO if any in the information received from the respondent during the hearing and also send a copy of it to the Commission, with an advice to appear in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken against the appellant.
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**Appeal Case No. 584 of 2018**

1. The respondent PIO is also directed to remove deficiencies if any pointed out by the appellant as said above in Para 10 of this order and send it through registered post to the appellant and present a copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
2. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **27.06.2018 at 11.30 AM** for further proceedings.
3. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SHO, Police Station

Division No.-5, Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner of Police

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 585 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 09.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Om Prakash, Superintendent (96469-33964) along with Sh. Jograj Singh, Head Constable present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 585 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 09.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that requisite information is still pending.
5. Sh. Jograj Singh, Head Constable stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and presented the evidences in support to his statement. He further presented the letter signed by Sh. Naresh Devgan in which it is mentioned that requisite information has been received and he is satisfied with it along with IPO no. with respect to his RTI application.
6. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that he is not aware of the letter of satisfaction for information as said above in Para 8 of this order
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**Appeal Case No. 585 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 09.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

1. Sh. Jograj Singh, Head Constable further stated that the appellant has not pointed out any discrepancies till date in the information provided.
2. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant also check IPO details with RTI application attached in his second appeal.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that available requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it at the time of receiving information as clear detail of IPO no. with respect to his letter of satisfaction is also mentioned but he is either confused with his other cases heard today or not aware of his RTI application, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Chief Minister of Punjab,

Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Chief Minister of Punjab,

Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 587 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Superintendent (98884-84339) along with Sh. Banveer Singh, SA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 587 of 2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Superintendent stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and presented the evidences in support to his statement. He further added that partial requisite information relates with other department.
5. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that no information or irrelevant information has been received from the respondent PIO till date
6. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide the relevant requisite information available in their office and also retrieve the partial information related to other departments and send it through registered post to the appellant and present a copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
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**Appeal Case No. 587 of 2018**

1. The appellant is also given last opportunity to point out deficiencies **specifically** in written to the respondent PIO if after receiving the information as said above in Para 9 of this order from the respondent during the hearing and also send a copy of it to the Commission, with an advice to appear in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken against the appellant.
2. The respondent PIO is also directed to remove deficiencies if any pointed out by the appellant as said above in Para 10 of this order and send it through registered post to the appellant and present a copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
3. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **27.06.2018 at 11.30 AM** for further proceedings.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SHO, Police Station,

Focal Point, Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner of Police

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 598 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Omkar Singh, head Constable (95016-77251) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 598 of 2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Omkar Singh, Head Constable stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and presented the evidences (copy of receipt of registered post) in support to his statement. He further added that partial requisite information was already supplied in case no. 1795 of 2017 which is already disposed by Hon’ble CIC Sh. S.S. Channy on 17.08.2018.
5. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date
6. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that the address of appellant is correct on which registered post (as mentioned in Para 7 of this order) is sent by the respondent PIO and the appellant is receiving communication letter from the Commission on same address.
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**Appeal Case No. 598 of 2018**

1. Sh. Omkar Singh, Head Constable also provided the copy of requisite information during the hearing.
2. In the view of above, the appellant is given last opportunity to point out deficiencies **specifically** in written to the respondent PIO in the information received (as mentioned above in Para 7 & 9 of this order) from the respondent during the hearing and also send a copy of it to the Commission, with an advice to appear in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken against the appellant.
3. The respondent PIO is also directed to remove deficiencies if any pointed out by the appellant as said above in Para 11 of this order and send it through registered post to the appellant and present a copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **27.06.2018 at 11.30 AM** for further proceedings.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o EO Wing, Police Lines,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 606 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Jwala Singh, ASI (98557-83289) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 606 of 2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Jwala Singh, ASI stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and presented the evidences (copy of receipt of registered post) in support to his statement. He further added that partial requisite information was already supplied in case no. 1586 of 2017 which is already disposed by Hon’ble CIC Sh. S.S. Channy on 20.02.2018.
5. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date
6. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that the address of appellant is correct on which registered post (as mentioned in Para 7 of this order) is sent by the respondent PIO and the appellant is receiving communication letter from the Commission on same address.
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**Appeal Case No. 606 of 2018**

1. Sh. Jwala Singh, ASI also provided the copy of requisite information during the hearing.
2. In the view of above, the appellant is given last opportunity to point out deficiencies **specifically** in written to the respondent PIO in the information received (as mentioned above in Para 7 & 9 of this order) from the respondent during the hearing and also send a copy of it to the Commission, with an advice to appear in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken against the appellant.
3. The respondent PIO is also directed to remove deficiencies if any pointed out by the appellant as said above in Para 11 of this order and send it through registered post to the appellant and present a copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **27.06.2018 at 11.30 AM** for further proceedings.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o ACP Sahnewal,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner of Police

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 607 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Devraj, Inspector present along with Sh. Jwala Parsad, ASI (98557-83289) on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 607 of 2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
5. Sh. Devraj, Inspector stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant through ordinary post and handed over the copy of requisite information to the appellant during the hearing.
6. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, the appellant is given last opportunity to point out deficiencies **specifically** in written to the respondent PIO in the information received (as mentioned above in Para 8 of this order) from the respondent during the hearing and also send a copy of it to the Commission, with an advice to appear in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken against the appellant
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**Appeal Case No. 607 of 2018**

1. The respondent PIO is also directed to remove deficiencies if any pointed out by the appellant as said above in Para 9 of this order and send it through registered post to the appellant and present a copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
2. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **27.06.2018 at 11.30 AM** for further proceedings.
3. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o ACP Sahnewal

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner of Police

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 608 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Devraj, Inspector present along with Sh. Jwala Parsad, ASI (98557-83289) on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 608 of 2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
5. Sh. Devraj, Inspector stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant through ordinary post and handed over the copy of requisite information to the appellant during the hearing.
6. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, the appellant is given last opportunity to point out deficiencies **specifically** in written to the respondent PIO in the information received (as mentioned above in Para 8 of this order) from the respondent during the hearing and also send a copy of it to the Commission, with an advice to appear in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken against the appellant
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**Appeal Case No. 608 of 2018**

1. The respondent PIO is also directed to remove deficiencies if any pointed out by the appellant as said above in Para 9 of this order and send it through registered post to the appellant and present a copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
2. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **27.06.2018 at 11.30 AM** for further proceedings.
3. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No**. 0172-2864115, **Fax No**. 0172-2864125

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana(93168-27499) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o District and Session Judge

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o District and Session Judge

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 613 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 13.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Om Prakash, Superintendent cum PIO (96469-33964) present as respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 by the bench of undersigned Ld. SIC Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla the instant appeal case file was sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue as the appellant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause. Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S. Channy vides Office Order of 13.03.2018 with diary no. PSIC/Legal/DB/2018/82 dated 15.03.2018 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by a Division bench was constituted to hear and decide the case by undersigned State Information Commissioners Ld. SIC’s Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Hem Inder Singh.
2. The appellant claimed that the case comes under the **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** appealing that any threat to live without dignity and prestige comes under threat to his Life and Liberty.
3. Appeal of appellant for considering the case under **Life and Liberty clause of Section 7(1) of RTI** **Act** **nullifies** **and cannot be considered** as the said clause can be procured only in case where there is an imminent danger to life and liberty of appellant which may lead to death, grievous injury or any other immediate threat to life and liberty of appellant, but the appellant failed to prove any such threat to his life and liberty. Further the appellant didn’t appear on his previous hearing dated 01.03.2018 which shows his non-seriousness and defying his appeal for Life and Liberty.
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**Appeal Case No. 613 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 13.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which in notification dated 14.03.2018 orders have been passed by Deputy Secretary of Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana Chandigarh that Vijay Goyal Advocate (Enrolment No. P/748/1987) s/o Sh Madan Mohan Goyal of District Ludhiana has been debarred/suspended for a period of six months in the D.C.F. case no. 75/17 titled as Sh. Naresh Devgun Sharma advocate v/s Sh. Vijay Goyal, Advocate Ludhiana under sub-section (4) of Section 35 of Advocates Act 1961, from appearing as an advocate in any court/authority/tribunal throughout India during the period of his suspension w. e. f. 25.02.2018. Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to other documents/papers attached in this mail. This email along with attachments has been taken on record.
2. An another email has been received in the Commission from the email id advndevgan2017@gmail.com dated 07.05.2018 in which again the Appellant failed to prove a clear relevancy with this case with respect to document/papers attached in this mail. **This e-mail or attachments are not taken on record**.
3. It is found that the appellant is repeatedly sending emails with bulk attachments without any relevancy which in turns wastes paper, time and other resources of Commission. **In future any irrelevant submissions through email will neither be considered and nor be taken on record**.
4. Sh. Naresh Devgan the appellant states that requisite information is still pending.
5. Sh. Om Prakash, Superintendent cum PIO states that w.r.t. Para 4/5 A, B & C the concerned official i.e. Sh. Sanjay Kumar, has been ordered to be charge sheeted vide order dated 08.02.2018 passed by Ld. District and Sessions Judge Ludhiana and information with respect to 4/5 C and D the explanation of concerned official i.e. Sh. Sanjay Kumar, has already been called on application bearing receipt no. 1502 dated 30.01.2018 vide order dated 01.02.2018 passed by Ld. District and Sessions Judge Ludhiana. The written reply and supporting documents in this regard are already on record.
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**Appeal Case No. 613 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 13.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 09.05.2018**

1. Sh. Naresh Devgan, the appellant states that he is satisfied with reply of respondent and requested the Commission to close this case.
2. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that available requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
3. Announced in the Court, copy of orders to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Hem Inder Singh) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.05.2018 (11.30 AM)**

**Copy to:**

* PS/Reader to SIC (HIS) for your kind information.
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