**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

 **Sh. Ravi Jindal**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o R/o 112, Bharpur Garden,

 Opposite Govt. Ayurvedic College,

 Patiala Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Civil Surgeon,

Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**,

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Civil Surgeon,

Patiala

**Dr. Ratika Jindal**

**(Regd. Post)** D/o Sh. V. P. Jindal,

Jindal House, Devinagar,

Ponta Sahib (Himachal Pradesh) Respondent

**Appeal Cases No. 3764, 3765 and 3766 of 2016**

**Appeal Case No. 341 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Ravi Jindal, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Dr. Malkeet Singh along with Dr. Jaspreet Kaur Dhaliwal.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Dr. Jaspreet Kaur states that partly information has already been supplied to the applicant and rest of the information could not be supplied to the applicant as information relates with third party. She also mentioned that third party who is Dr. Ratika Jindal denied to supply the information to the applicant.
2. On this, applicant, Sh. Ravi Jindal shows his dissatisfaction and states that respondent PIO is deliberately not providing the requisite information even after the lapse 1.5 year.

He submits a judgment of High Court in CWP No. 17758 of 2014 passed by Hon’ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain dated 19.05.2016, which is taken on record.

1. After examining the case file and querying from the respondent, we found no information has been supplied to the applicant till date. It is also observed that requisite information is not completely third party information and respondent PIO who is Dr. Jitender Kansal is directed to supply the attested copies of requisite information as demanded by the applicant in his RTI applications as per the RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing along with Dr. Malkeet Singh and Dr. Jaspreet Kaur along with requisite information of above mentioned all the cases.
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**Appeal Cases No. 3764, 3765 and 3766 of 2016**

**Appeal Case No. 341 of 2017**

1. A copy of this order be sent to the respondent PIO Dr. Jitender Kansal **through registered post** for his ready reference.
2. Matter is adjourned for further hearing before the division bench on **20.02.2018 at 12:30 PM.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Avtar Singh Kaler) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.01.2018 (12:00 Noon)**

**Dr. Jitender Kansal (Public Information Officer)**,

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Civil Surgeon,

Patiala

**CC:**

* PS to SIC (ASK) for your kind information.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

 **Sh. Ravi Jindal**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o R/o 112, Bharpur Garden,

 Opposite Govt. Ayurvedic College,

 Patiala Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Civil Surgeon,

Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**,

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Civil Surgeon,

Patiala Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1885 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Ravi Jindal, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Dr. Malkeet Singh along with Dr. Jaspreet Kaur Dhaliwal.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Dr. Jaspreet Kaur states that partly information has already been supplied to the applicant and rest of the information could not be supplied to the applicant as information relates with third party. She also mentioned that third party who is Dr. Ratika Jindal denied to supply the information to the applicant.
2. On this, applicant, Sh. Ravi Jindal shows his dissatisfaction and states that respondent PIO is deliberately not providing the requisite information even after the lapse 1.5 year.

He submits a judgment of High Court in CWP No. 17758 of 2014 passed by Hon’ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain dated 19.05.2016, which is taken on record.

1. After examining the case file and querying from the respondent, we found no information has been supplied to the applicant till date. It is also observed that requisite information is not completely third party information and respondent PIO who is Dr. Jitender Kansal is directed to supply the attested copies of requisite information as demanded by the applicant in his RTI application as per the RTI Act, 2005. He is also directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing along with Dr. Malkeet Singh and Dr. Jaspreet Kaur along with requisite information of above mentioned case.
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**Appeal Case No. 1885 of 2017**

1. A copy of this order be sent to the respondent PIO Dr. Jitender Kansal **through registered post** for his ready reference.
2. Matter is adjourned for further hearing before the division bench on **20.02.2018 at 12:30 PM.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Avtar Singh Kaler) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

 **(S.I.C.) (S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 09.01.2018 (12:00 Noon)**

**Dr. Jitender Kansal (Public Information Officer)**,

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Civil Surgeon,

Patiala

**CC:**

* PS to SIC (ASK) for your kind information.
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