Sh. Rajiv Sharma

S/o Shri Vinod Kumar Sharma, House No 630/3,Kundanpuri, civil Lines , Ludhiana. (M: 9814070071)

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority O/o Excise & Taxation Commissioner Ludhiana Division Ludhiana .

Appeal Case No.: 3894 of 2019

Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Harjot Singh (ETO)

ORDER 1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 18.10.2019. Accordingly, the case is

fixed for today

Present:

2. Respondent, Sh. Harjot Singh states that reply has already been supplied to the appellant.

He submits a copy of supplied reply to the appellant vide letter no. 157 dated 07.01.2020, which is

taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Rajiv Sharma is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to

supply the proper reply in detail as per RTI application to the appellant before the next date of

hearing through registered post.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.01.2020 at 11:30 A.M.**

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Nobody on behalf of theappellant.

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Vinod Kumar Walia S/o Sh. Mhinder Singh, Huouse No 27, Gurdevnagar, Jalandhar. (M:9041997492)

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Commissioner MC Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner MC Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.: 3919 of 2019

Respondents

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 18.10.2019. Accordingly, the case is 1.

For the respondent: Sh. Ravinder Kumar (Inspector) (9780010927)

fixed for today.

Respondent, Sh. Ravinder Kumar assures that he will send the requisite information to the 2.

appellant.

Appellant, Sh. Vinod Kumar is absent without any prior intimation to the Commission for

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is given

to the respondent PIO to supply requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively.

5. Another opportunity is given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on the merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 11.02.2020 at 11:00 A.M.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**



Appellant

3.

today's hearing.

Smt . Laveena Jindal Allias Laveena Bansal D/o Hukum Chand Jindal, # 321, W No 25, Mohalla , Madhopuri, Malerkotla-148023 (M:9855654796)

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO O/o DEO (SE) Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE) Mohali .

Appeal Case No.: 3923 of 2019

Respondents

Present:Ms. Laveena Jindal alias Laveena Bansal, the appellant in person.
Nobody on behalf of the respondent.ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 18.10.2019. Accordingly, the case is

fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Ms. Laveena Jindal states that requisite information is still pending form the

respondent PIO.

3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing,

which shows no regard to the notice of the Commission as well as of RTI Act.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the

respondent PIO to supply requisite information as per RTI Act to the appellant before the next date

of hearing positively.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.02.2020 at 11:30 A.M.**

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant



Sh. Sukhpinder Singh VPO , Mudia Kalan, House No. 12, Ludhiana -141015 (M: 9888427957)

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer/APIO O/o Principal, S S S S Jalaldiwal

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal, S S S S S Jalaldiwal Ludhiana.

Nobody present.

Appeal Case No.: 3955 of 2019

Respondents

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 21.10.2019. Accordingly, the case is

fixed for today.

2. Neither the appellant, Sh. Sukhpinder Singh is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

3. Respondent PIO is not present for today's hearing but a letter 07.01.2020 which has been received from the respondent in the Commission vide diary no. 747 dated 13.01.2020 along with copy of postal receipt stating that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant dated 04.01.2020. This letter is taken on record.

4. After examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing which case will be decided on the merit basis.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.02.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Kuldip Singh Sohian Road, Rajgarh Colony, Ward No 7, Sangrur. (M: 9888123403)

Versus

Appellant

Respondents

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Secretary, School Education Dept, PB Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, School Education Dept, PB Chandigarh..

Appeal Case No.: 3954 of 2019

Sh. Kuldip Singh, the appellant in person. Present: For the respondent: Ms. Sukhjeet Kaur (Sr. Assistant).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 18.10.2019. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

Appellant, Sh. Kuldip Singh states that requisite information is still pending form the 2.

respondent PIO.

On this, respondent Ms. Sukhjeet Kaur states that requisite information is under process and 3. cannot be supplied at present because requisite information is very confidential and sensitive to reveal. She added that supplying information will be a hamper in the process. She presented documents regarding process before the Commission during the hearing and she requested for an adjournment in this case.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, request of the respondent is granted; matter is adjourned for further hearing on 17.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M. along with advice to both the parties to represent this case in person or through their representative (s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Sh. Daizy Mohan, (M:9417313900) S/o Hukum Chand (Partner), Rulia Wine, Nabha, R/o House No 14218, street no 2 A Ganesh Nagar, Bhatinda. PB- 151001

Public Information Officer

Versus

O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Ludhiana-3

First Appellate Authority O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, (Division), Ludhiana

Appeal Case No.: 3551 of 2019 Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person. Present: For the respondent: Sh. Navjot Singh (ETO)

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 24.09.2019. Accordingly, the case is

fixed for today.

Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that requisite information in connection with point no. 1, 2, 2.

and 3 is still pending form the respondent PIO.

Respondent Sh. Navjot Singh (ETO) states that matter in connection with point no. 2 and 3 is 3.

still pending.

After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to 4. the respondent PIO to supply the information in connection with point no. 1,2, and 3 of the RTI application to the appellant before the next date of hearing as per RTI application.

Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their 5. representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts positively, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 14.01.2020 at 11:30 AM.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**



Appellant

Sh. Daizy Mohan, (M:9417313900) S/o Hukum Chand (Partner), Rulia Wine, Nabha, R/o House No 14218, street no 2 A Ganesh Nagar , Bhatinda. PB- 151001

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Ludhiana-3

First Appellate Authority

O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, (Division), Ludhiana

Appeal Case No.: 3552 of 2019

Present:Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person.For the respondent: Sh. Harjot Singh (ETO)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 24.09.2019. Accordingly, the case is

fixed for today.

2. Respondent, Sh. Harjot Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to

the appellant.

3. Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that requisite information in connection with point no. 1, 2,

3 and 5 is still pending form the respondent PIO.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the information in connection with point no. 1,2, 3 and 5 of the RTI application to the appellant before the next date of hearing as per RTI application.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts positively, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.01.2020 at 11:30 AM.**

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

Sh. Daizy Mohan, (M:9417313900) S/o Hukum Chand (Partner), Rulia Wine, Nabha, R/o House No 14218, street no 2 A Ganesh Nagar, Bhatinda. PB- 151001

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner Barnala, PB Pin- 148101

First Appellate Authority

O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, (Division), Patiala.

Appeal Case No.: 3561 of 2019

Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Rajesh Kumar (ETA)

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 24.09.2019. Accordingly, the case is

fixed for today.

2. Respondent Sh. Rajesh Kumar states that requisite information has already been sent to the

appellant.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that only one letter has been received and respondent denied supplying the requisite information with the reply that it relates with third party.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the information as per RTI application to the appellant before the next date of hearing as per RTI application.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts positively, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.01.2020 at 11:30 AM.**

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

Sh. Daizy Mohan, (M:9417313900) S/o Hukum Chand (Partner), Rulia Wine, Nabha, R/o House No 14218, street no 2 A Ganesh Nagar, Bhatinda. PB- 151001

Public Information Officer

Versus

O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Faridkot, PB -151203

First Appellate Authority O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, (Division), Faridkot.

Appeal Case No.: 3616 of 2019Present:Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person.
For the respondent: Sh. Satish Kumar (ETO)ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 26.09.2019. Accordingly, the case is

fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that he has received the requisite information by the

respondent and he is satisfied with the supplied information.

- 3. Respondent Sh. Satish Kumar is present for today's hearing.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the

appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

TH HER PSIC uoisside for the formation contraction contractic contraction contractic contractic

Appellant

Sh. Daizy Mohan, (M:9417313900) S/o Hukum Chand (Partner), Rulia Wine, Nabha, R/o House No 14218, street no 2 A Ganesh Nagar, Bhatinda. PB- 151001

Appellant

Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Moga. PB -142001

First Appellate Authority

O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, (Division), Ferozepur.

Appeal Case No.: 3617 of 2019

Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Karamveer Mahla (ETO)

ORDER

Present:

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 26.09.2019. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that he has received the requisite information by the respondent and he is satisfied with the supplied information.

3. Respondent Sh. Karamveer Mahla submits a sealed envelope no. 2299 during the hearing.

After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that a copy of reply 4.

vide letter no. 2272 dated 01.01.2020 along with approximately 150 pages have been received from the respondent PIO in the Commission vide diary no. 329 dated 07.01.2020 in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 3617, 3618 and 3619 of 2019, which is taken on record in the present case (AC: 3617 of 2019) only to save the precious resources of the Commission.

5. It is also observed that reply (no. 2299) of the respondent is satisfactory in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 3617, 3618 and 3619 of 2019, which is taken on record in the present case (AC: 3617 of 2019) only to save the precious resources of the Commission.


6. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the

appeal case is disposed of & closed.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Informatio

Sh. Daizy Mohan, (M:9417313900) S/o Hukum Chand (Partner), Rulia Wine, Nabha, R/o House No 14218, street no 2 A Ganesh Nagar, Bhatinda. PB- 151001

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Moga. PB -142001

First Appellate Authority O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, (Division), Ferozepur.

Appeal Case No.: 3618 of 2019

Present: Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Karamveer Mahla (ETO)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 26.09.2019. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that he has received the requisite information by the respondent and he is satisfied with the supplied information.

3. Respondent Sh. Karamveer Mahla is present for today's hearing. He submitted a sealed envelope no. 2299 during the hearing in Appeal Case number 3617 of 2019.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that a copy of reply vide letter no. 2272 dated 01.01.2020 along with approximately 150 pages have been received from the respondent PIO in the Commission vide diary no. 329 dated 07.01.2020 in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 3617, 3618 and 3619 of 2019, which is taken on record in the another case i.e. AC: 3617 of 2019 only to save the precious resources of the Commission.

5. It is also observed that reply (no. 2299) of the respondent is satisfactory in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 3617, 3618 and 3619 of 2019, which is taken on record in the another case i.e. AC: 3617 of 2019 only to save the precious resources of the Commission.

6. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Daizy Mohan, (M:9417313900) S/o Hukum Chand (Partner), Rulia Wine, Nabha, R/o House No 14218, street no 2 A Ganesh Nagar , Bhatinda. PB- 151001

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Moga. PB -142001

First Appellate Authority O/o Astt. Excise and Taxation Commissioner, (Division), Ferozepur.

Appeal Case No.: 3619 of 2019

Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Karamveer Mahla (ETO)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 26.09.2019. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that he has received the requisite information by the respondent and he is satisfied with the supplied information.

3. Respondent Sh. Karamveer Mahla is present for today's hearing. He submitted a sealed envelope no. 2299 during the hearing in Appeal Case number 3617 of 2019.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that a copy of reply vide letter no. 2272 dated 01.01.2020 along with approximately 150 pages have been received from the respondent PIO in the Commission vide diary no. 329 dated 07.01.2020 in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 3617, 3618 and 3619 of 2019, which is taken on record in the another case i.e. AC: 3617 of 2019 only to save the precious resources of the Commission.

5. It is also observed that reply (no. 2299) of the respondent is satisfactory in connection with Appeal Case Numbers 3617, 3618 and 3619 of 2019, which is taken on record in the another case i.e. AC: 3617 of 2019 only to save the precious resources of the Commission.

6. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

THE PSIC uoissitution Contraction Contractic Contraction Contractic Cont

Respondents

Appellant

Present: Sh.



Sh. Gurdeep Singh, (M:9814648165) S/o Sh. Amar Singh, House No 112, Ward No 23, Street Mistria Wali, Near Chaki Ram, Diyal Old, Moga.

Appellant

Respondents

Public Information Officer

O/o Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Patiala.

Complaint Case No.: 931 of 2019 Sh. Gurdeep Singh, the complainant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Ram Singh (ETO) (9417481377)

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. The complainant filed complaint in the Commission dated 18.10.2019. Accordingly, the case

Versus

is fixed for today.

2. Respondent Sh. Ram Singh (ETO) states that reply has already been sent to the appellant dated 22.02.2019.

3. On this, appellant Sh. Gurdeep Singh states that respondent; Sh. Ram Singh is misleading the Court. He added that reward amounting Rs. 1,42,540/- in case no. 35 was given to him. He submits a copy of reply dated 12.09.2019 along with copy of an attested evidence by Assistant Controller (F & A), O/o Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Punjab, Patiala regarding the payment (Rs. 1,42,540/-), which is taken on record.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO either to supply the requisite information to the complainant or file an affidavit in this regard on the next date of hearing before the Commission.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.02.2020 at 11:00 AM**.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. J.S.Tiwana, S/o Sh. Didar Singh, # 16, Sector 3-A, Chandigarh

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O- Tehsildar (West), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/O DC, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.: 2819 of 2019

Present:Nobody on behalf of the appellantFor the respondent: Sh. Paramjeet Singh (Clerk)

ORDER 1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 21.10.2019. Accordingly, the case is

fixed for today.

2. Respondent, Sh. Paramjeet Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh. J.S.Tiwana is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the

appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of

hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on the merit basis.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.02.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 08.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant