**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Om Parkash Bansal**

R/o Flat No. FF 17,

H.I.G. Flats,

SST Nagar, Patiala Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Secretary,

Local Government Punjab,

Municipal Bhawan,

Sector-35-A,

Chandigarh

**Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Sharma**

**Superintendent cum Public Information Officer,**

Local Government Punjab,

Municipal Bhawan,

Sector-35-A,

Chandigarh Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1391 of 2016**

**Present:** Sh. Om Parkash Bansal, the complainant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Sanjeev Kumar (Superintendent) along with Sh. Rajesh Kumar.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Sanjeev Kumar handed over the requisite information to the complainant during the hearing.
2. After going through the supplied information, complainant, Sh. Om Parkash pointed out point-wise deficiencies in the supplied information during the hearing by stating that information in connection with point no.1 is unattested and rest of the information in connection with point no. 2, 3 4 and 5 is still pending from the respondent PIO.

He submits his written submissions dated 24.04.2017 and 08.11.2017, which is taken on record.

1. After discussing with respondent during the hearing, respondent handed over point wise reply to the complainant during the hearing but the complainant shows his dissatisfaction and demanded the required information.
2. After discussing with both the parties regarding the RTI application point wise, last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the attested copies of information relates with point no.1 as supplied information was unattested. He is also advised to supply the point-wise information/reply as per the RTI application of the complainant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20 (1) will be initiated against him.
3. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Jaswinder Singh,**

R/o 22, Flower Dale Colony,

Barewal Raod, Ludhiana (98760-64751) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDE, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Sub-Division Chowgawan at Amritsar.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Sub-Division, No. -1, Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o SE, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Circle Amritsar. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 929 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswinder Singh, the complainant in person.

Nobody on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Complainant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh states that no information/reply has been received from the PIO and First Appellate Authority till date.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action under Section 20(1) will be initiated against the respondent PIO.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** After the hearing was over, Sh. Vishal Sharma (Superintendent) appeared on the behalf of the respondent and read out the above said order.

Sh. Vikas Sharma states that applicant was intimated through a letter dated 05.04.2017 to inspect the official record as per his RTI application. He further mentioned that requisite information has already been sent to the applicant through registered post dated 06.11.2017.

He submits two letters (a letter no. 826 dated 06.11.2017 signed by Sub Divisional Engineer, W/s and Sanitation Sub Division Chowgawan at Amritsar along with a letter signed by XEN, W/S and Sanitation, Division No. 1, Amritsar) in connection with Complaint Case No. 929 of 2017 and Appeal Case No. , which are taken on record.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Ms. Harjeet Kaur, W/o Sh. Gurdeep Singh**

R/o Ward No. 12, House No. 107,

Anaj Mandi, Kurali, Mohali (90410-62481) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDE, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Sub-Division Bassi Pathana,

At Fatehgarh Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o SE, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Patiala. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 939 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Manwinder Pal Singh (SDO) along with Sh. Lakhbir Singh (Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Manwinder Pal Singh states that RTI application was received in their office dated 22.02.2017 and documentation fee of Rs. 20,000/- was demanded from the applicant through a letter dated 03.03.2017. He further mentioned that case was closed and disposed of by the First Appellate Authority.

He submits a written reply signed by Sub Divisional Engineer, W/S and sanitation, Sub Division Bassi Pathana at Fatehgarh Sahib along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.

1. Neither the complainant, Sh. Harjeet Kaur is present or today’s hearing nor did she file any reply in this regard.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, another opportunity is given to the complainant to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the complainant.
3. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** After the hearing was over, Complainant, Ms. Harjeet Kaur appeared on the behalf of the respondent and read out the above said order.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Ms. Harjeet Kaur, W/o Sh. Gurdeep Singh**

R/o Ward No. 12, House No. 107,

Anaj Mandi, Kurali, Mohali (90410-62481) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDE, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Sub-Division - 2, at Fatehgarh Sahib. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 947 of 2017**

**Date of Institution:11.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 08.11.2017**

**Present:** Ms. Harjeet Kaur, the complainant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE.

**ORDER**

1. Complainant, Ms. Harjeet Kaur states that incomplete information has been supplied to him and point wise pointed out deficiencies in the supplied information during the hearing.
2. On this, respondent Sh. Mohinder Singh removes the deficiencies in the supplied information during the hearing. He submits a copy of letter no. 1110 dated 19.09.2017, which is taken on record.

A letter no. 1287 dated 02.11.2017 has been received from the respondent in the Commission vide diary no. 25345 dated 07.11.2017 signed by Sub Division Engineer, W/S and Sanitation, Sub Division No. 2, Fatehgarh Sahib, which is taken on record.

1. After receiving the requisite information, complainant, Sh. Harjeet Kaur states that she is satisfied with the supplied information and no objection to close this case.
2. As the information stands supplied. Supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Navdeep Gupta,**

Kothi No. 455, Gilco Valley,

Kharar, Mohali (80540-98586) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SSP,

Punjab Police, Faridkot. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 963 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody Present.

**ORDER**

1. A telephonic message has been received from the complainant side by Ms. Manju Gupta (Complainant’s Wife) that complainant, Sh. Navdeep Gupta is unable to attend today’s hearing and requested for an adjournment.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After going through the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action under Section 20(1) will be initiated against the respondent PIO.
4. On the request of the complainant, another opportunity is given to the complainant to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the complainant.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Navdeep Gupta,**

Kothi No. 455, Gilco Valley,

Kharar, Mohali (80540-98586) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SSP,

Punjab Police, Bathinda. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 965 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Gurdeep Singh (HC-894).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Gurdeep Singh requested for an adjournment. He also submits a letter no. 1326/5A/RTI dated 07.11.2017 signed by Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda stating that requisite information is bulky and need time to compile. In that letter he further requested for an adjournment, which is taken on record.
2. A telephonic message has been received from the complainant side by Ms. Manju Gupta (Complainant’s Wife) that complainant, Sh. Navdeep Gupta is unable to attend today’s hearing and requested for an adjournment.
3. On the request of the respondent, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the complainant by the next date of hearing.
4. On the request of the complainant, another opportunity is given to the complainant to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the complainant.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Harish Chander Goyal,**

Ayurvedic Store, Chena Bazaar,

Jaiton. (98035-23535) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Secretary, Local Govt.,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Secretary, Local Govt.,

Punjab, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2351 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal on the behalf of the applicant..

For the respondent: Sh. Archana Singh (Superintendent) along with Sh. Narinder Kumar (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Ms. Archana Singh states that requisite information is not clear as specific/particular information has not been demanded by the applicant.
2. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Madan Lal is present for today’s hearing states that information in connection with Jaiton is important information which he needs.
3. On this, respondent states that information is bulky in nature and requested Sh. Madan Lal to specify the required information and assures that she will provide.
4. After discussing with both the parties and going through the case file, its is observed that RTI application of the applicant is not specific as applicant has not demanded the information specifically. Applicant, Sh. Harish Chander Goyal is advised to send in written to the respondent PIO within one week along with a copy to the Commission regarding the specific/particular information he needs.
5. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information after receiving the letter from the applicant regarding specific/particular information as point no. 4 of this order.
6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma,**

Kothi No. 585, Phase 2,

SAS Nagar. (94639-50619) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Civil Aviation, Punjab,

SCO -1068-69 Sector 22 B.

Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Director, Civil Aviation, Punjab,

SCO -1068-69 Sector 22 B.

Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2361 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present.

For the respondent: Sh. Mukesh Gupta (Quality Manager) along with Sh. Kulbhushan Singh (Panchayat Secretary cum PIO).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Mukesh Gupta states that applicant demanding information from the year 1990. He further added that he has brought the requisite information to hand it over to the applicant but he is not present.
2. Neither the, applicant, Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma is present nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to send the requisite information to the applicant through registered post.
4. Another opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant. He is also advised to go through the information after receiving and point out deficiency, if any, in the supplied information in written to the respondent PIO along with a copy to the Commission.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Jang Bahadur, S/o Sh. Jai Chand,**

R/o Mandi Ameenganj, Rorawali,

Tehsil and District Fazilka. (98768-18803) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SE, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Ferozepur.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Chief Engineer, (Central),

Department of Water Supply & Sanitation,

Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Secretary, Department of Water Supply

& Sanitation, Punjab, Mini –Secretariat,

Sector 9, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2363 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jang Bahadur, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, JE.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Sukhwinder Singh requested for an adjournment to supply the requisite information to the applicant.
2. Applicant, Sh. Jang Bahadur is present for today’s hearing.
3. On the request of the respondent, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the applicant by the next date of hearing positively.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **12.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Gaurav Juneja,**

House No. B/203, Jhule Lal Colony,

fazilka. (98768-18803) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o F&A,

I.K. Gujral, PTU, Kapurthala.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Registrar,

I.K. Gujral, PTU, Kapurthala. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2390 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Advocate Sh. Piyush Khanna along with Sh. Sanjay Kumar (Clerk).

**ORDER**

1. Advocate, Sh. Piyush Khanna on behalf of the respondent states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant through registered post dated 06.11.2017. He also submits a copy of postal receipt, which si atken on record.

He also submits a copy of letter no. 390/3077 dated 06.11.2017 signed by PIO (F & A), IKGPTU, Kapurthala along with supporting documents, which is also taken on record.

A copy of letter no. 390/3077 dated 06.11.2017 has already been received from the respondent in the Commission vide diary no. 25320 dated 07.11.2017. It is taken on record.

1. Applicant, Sh. Gaurav Juneja is not present or today’s hearing but an email has been received from the applicant in the Commission vide diary no. 25379 dated 08.11.2017 stating that he is unable to attend today’s hearing and requested for an adjournment through Video Conference along with a copy of letter regarding the deficiencies in the supplied information. It is taken on record.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, respondent PIO is directed to remove the deficiencies pointed out by the applicant, Sh. Gaurav Juneja by the next date of hearing positively.
3. On the request of the applicant, matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.12.2017 at 11:30 A.M through Video Conference at DC Office, Fazilka.** Applicant, Sh. Gaurav Juneja is advised to represent this case in person or through any representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Jaswinder Singh,**

R/o 22, Flower Dale Colony,

Barewal Raod, Ludhiana (98760-64751) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Sub-Division, No. -1, Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o SE, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Circle Amritsar. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2391 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswinder Singh, the complainant in person.

Nobody on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Complainant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh states that no information/reply has been received from the PIO and First Appellate Authority till date.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action under Section 20(1) will be initiated against the respondent PIO.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** After the hearing was over, Sh. Vishal Sharma (Superintendent) appeared on the behalf of the respondent and read out the above said order.

Sh. Vikas Sharma states that applicant was intimated through a letter dated 05.04.2017 to inspect the official record as per his RTI application. He further mentioned that requisite information has already been sent to the applicant through registered post dated 06.11.2017.

He submits two letters (a letter no. 826 dated 06.11.2017 signed by Sub Divisional Engineer, W/s and Sanitation Sub Division Chowgawan at Amritsar along with a letter signed by XEN, W/S and Sanitation, Division No. 1, Amritsar) in connection with Complaint Case No. 929 of 2017 and Appeal Case No. , original letters are taken in record in Complaint Case No. 929 of 2017. A copy of the same is taken on record in this case.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Gaurav Gaur, S/o Pawan Kumar,**

H. No. 207, Tribune Colony, Kansal,

P.O Naya Gaon, Tehsil Kharar,

District Mohali. (94786-01067) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Local Bodies Punjab

MC, Bhawan, Sector 35 Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Director, Local Bodies Punjab

MC, Bhawan, Sector 35 Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2400 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Gurpreet Singh (Building Inspector)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Gurpreet Singh states that RTI application of the applicant does not relate to their branch. He further added that RTI application relates with Executive Officer, O/o Nagar Council, Naya Gaon, Chandigarh and First Appellate Authority is Regional Deputy Director, O/o Local Govt., Room No. 406, Block-A, Mini Secretariat, Patiala.

A letter has been received from the respondent in the Commission vide diary no. 25447 dated 08.11.2017

1. Applicant, Sh. Gaurav Gaur is present for today’s hearing.
2. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, I am of the view that PIO of Office of **Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Naya Gaon, Chandigarh** be impleaded as necessary party in this case. A copy of the RTI request be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.
3. A copy of this order along with RTI request to be sent to First Appellate Authority, who is **Regional Deputy Director, O/o Local Govt., Room No. 406, Block-A, Mini Secretariat, Patiala** through **registered post** for his ready reference.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**

1/2

**Appeal Case No. 2400 of 2017**

1. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**CC:**

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Executive Officer,

Nagar Council, Naya Gaon,

Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority,**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Regional Deputy Director,

Local Govt., Room No. 406,

Block-A, Mini Secretariat

Patiala

2/2

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Ravi Kant, S/o Sh. Sansari Lal,**

Krishna Bazaar, Ward No.4, Dhariwal,

District Gurdaspur. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Dept. of Local Govt.

Punjab (Local Govt. -3 Branch)

Sector 35-A Chandigarh.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Dept. of Local Govt.

Punjab (Accounts Branch)

Sector 35-A Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Director, Dept. of Local Govt.

Punjab Sector 35-A Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2440 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 01.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 08.11.2017**

**Present:** Sh. Ravi Kant, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Ms. Neeru Sethi (Accountant) along with Ms. Tarsem Kaur (Senior Assistant)

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Ravi Kant pointed out deficiencies regarding point no. 4, 5 and 7 of the RTI application. He submits a written reply dated 08.11.2017 regarding the deficiencies in the supplied information. It is taken on record.
2. Respondent, Ms. Tarsem Kaur states that information 5 and 7 could not be supplied to the applicant. She assures she will provide the information relates with point no. 4 to the applicant.

Ms. Tarsem Kaur submits a letter no. 66351 dated 07.11.2017 signed by Sh. Anshuman Garg, Section Officer cum PIO, O/o Director, Local Govt., Punjab, Chandigarh along with supporting documents, which is taken on record.

Sh. Rajesh Kumar submits a letter no. 66030 dated 06.11.2017 signed by Superintendent, which is taken on record.

1. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that information in connection with point no. 5 and 7 could not be supplied as requisite information relates with year 2017 and 2018, which is not possible to decide in advance.
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**Appeal Case No. 2440 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 01.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 08.11.2017**

1. On the assurance of the respondent that she will provide the pending information in connection with point no. 4 by the registered post to the applicant, and the applicant agreed on this, therefore no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Mohan Chandra Tewari,**

**S/o Sh. Sansari Lal,** H. No. 8 Sector 15,

Panchcula (94172-61441). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o PSBTE & IT, Plot No. 1

Sector 36-A Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o PSBTE & IT, Plot No. 1

Sector 36-A Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2671 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Mohan Chander Tewari, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Advocate Sh. Amrinderjit Singh Sandhu, Ms. Naresh Kamal (PIO) along with Sh. Navjot Singh, Clerk.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Mohan Chander Tewari states that no information has been supplied to him till date by the respondent.
2. Respondent, Ms. Naresh Kamal states that information could not be supplied to the applicant as it relates with third party.
3. Advocate, Sh. Amrinderjit Singh on behalf of the respondent states that a writ petition is pending before the High Court and High Court issued stay order.
4. On this, applicant, Sh. Mohan Chander Tewari states that CWP in High Court does not relates with it and respondent misleading the bench. He also states that information relates with point no. 1, 2, 3 and 4 is important information which he needs.
5. After discussing with both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that respondents do not aware about the facts of this case properly. It is also observed that requisite information relates with point no. 1, 2, 3 and 4 not a third party information. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information in connection with point no. 1, 2, 3 and 4 as per the RTI application with attestation by the next date of hearing.
6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.11.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 08.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**