

Smt Balwinder Kaur, H No-130, Phase-4, Mohali.

Versus

... Complainant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Addl, SE, PSPCL, Morinda Division, Kharar.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 79 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Sukhdeep Singh husband of Smt.Balwinder Kaur for the Complainant None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 27.11.2018 has sought information regarding deduction of amount under Group Insurance Scheme from May, 1995 to Oct.2005 and other information concerning the office of Addl. SE PSPCL Morinda Division, Kharar. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 10.01.2019 after which the appellant filed complaint in the Commission on 16.01.2019.

The complainant is present and informed that the PIO has not provided the information and informed that the ledger stands destroyed by the termites. The respondent is absent. The Commission does not accept this reply of the PIO that the record has been eaten by the termites unless corroborated by an enquiry. The PIO is directed to file full reply whether any enquiry has been conducted in the matter which establishes that the record is missing or destroyed. Alternatively, the PIO to ascertain, if this information is available through any other source, it be provided. The PIO to submit complete enquiry report and be present on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on 03.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019

THE REAL	ਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਐ	et al
Punjab	PSIC	hhission
Citate I	hformation C	5

Sh Harbhajan Lal, S/o Sh Punnu Ram, Village Turka Wali, P.O Fazilka, Tehsil & Distt Fazilka.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o EO, Nagar Council, Fazilka.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 86 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Complainant None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 27.11.2018 has sought information regarding approval for construction of 4th floor on property No.4117 new number 8/1019 Bikeneri Zone Gali Fazilka alongwith map and other information concerning the office of EO Nagar Council Fazilka. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 21.01.2019.

Since both the parties are absent, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted and the case is adjourned.

Both the parties to be present on 03.07.2019 at 11.00 AM for further hearing.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019



Sh. Ashmani Kumar, S /o sh mansa Ram, Plot No-7-8-9-10, Sector-25-D, Chandigarh.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o GMADA,

Mohali.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 87 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Ashmani Kumar as the Complainant Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO, GMADA for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 12.06.2018 has sought information on 6 points regarding land acquired by GMADA from 2007 till date, compensation provided in lieu of trees and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 07.01.2019 whereby the PIO denied the information stating that the information sought is in question form after which the appellant filed complaint in the Commission on 21.01.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant vide letter dated 22.04.2019 on points 1 to 4 by the APIO-LAC, PUDA and information regarding point-5 has been provided vide letter dated 29.04.2019 by the PIO-GMADA and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission.

Having gone through the file, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay in providing the information and the decision taken by the PIO that the information is in question form, appears to be mere tactics to delay the information. The Commission has taken a serious note of this and hereby directs the PIO to show cause why penalty be not imposed on the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time, He/She should file an affidavit in this regard, if there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission alongwih the written replies.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on 03.07.2019 at 11.00AM.

Sd/-

(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019

(In the second s	ਭਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕੇ	ALLES .
Punjab	PSIC	mission
O TATE	PSIC	5

Sh. Naresh Bhatia, S/o sh Krishan lal Bhaia, R/o Housing Board Colony, Camp, Dhangu Peer, Tehsi & Dstt Pathankot.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Estate Officer, Amritsar Development Authority, Amritsar.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 99 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Naresh Bhatia as the Complainant Sh.Hardeep Singh, Sr.Assistant O/o ADA Amritsar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 22.10.2018 has sought information regarding notices issued on 11.1.2018 & 16.01.2018 to the shopkeepers for encroachment on road outside Housing Board Colony Camp Pathankot alongwith their business details and other information concerning the office of EO ADA Amritsar. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 23.01.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant. The complainant has received the information and requested that his complaint case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019

THE REAL	ਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਐ	et al
Punjab	PSIC	hhission
Citate I	hformation C	5

Sh Rajesh Kumar, S/o sh Satpal, Quarter No-24/10, Housing Board Colony, Dhangu Peer, Tehsil & Distt Pathankot.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Estate Officer, Amritsar Development Authority, Amritsar.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 100 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Rajesh Kumar as the Complainant Sh.Hardeep Singh, Sr.Assistant O/o ADA Amritsar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 22.10.2018 has sought information regarding notices issued on 11.1.2018 & 16.01.2018 to the shopkeepers for encroachment on road outside Housing Board Colony Camp Pathankot alongwith their business details and other information concerning the office of EO ADA Amritsar. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 23.01.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant. The complainant has received the information and requested that his complaint case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019



Sh Paramjit Singh, S/o Sh Ishwar Singh, Ward No-15, Mohalla Koko Majri, Near Rose Garden, Sunam, Distt Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Deputy Chief Engineer, Distribution Division, PSPCL, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer, (West Zone), PSPCL, Bathinda.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 398 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Paramjit Singh as the Appellant Sh.Hemant Kumar, SDO, PSPCL Bhatinda for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 24.05.2018 has sought information regarding NOC for providing connection Khata No.KG-1191 in name of Sh.Davinder Singh s/o Sh.Ishwar Singh and other information concerning the office of Deputy Chief Engineer, Distribution Division, PSPCL Bhatinda. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 19.07.2018 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the record is missing and they have already filed DDR on 13.11.2018. The Commission does not accept this reply of the PIO that the record is missing until an enquiry is conducted and established that the record is missing. The PIO is directed to conduct an enquiry into the matter which establishes that the record is missing, and fix the responsibility of the person under whose custody the record went missing. The PIO is directed to provide a copy of enquiry report and a copy of DDR to the appellant.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **03.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019 (Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

... Appellant

Tellar	ਲ ਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕੇ	AL IS
Punjab	PSIC	hission
State	Information	5

Sh Daljeet Singh, C/o Guru Ram Das Laboratory, Opposite Civil Hospital Gidderbaha, Tehsil Gidderbaha, Disttt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o XEN, DS Division, PSPCL, Thermal Colony, Gate No-3, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer, PSPCL, West Zone, Bathinda.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 402 of 2019

Versus

PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Rohit Bansal, AEE Commercial-1I PSPCL Bhatinda for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.06.2018 has sought information regarding list of customers to whom the benefit of credit transfer amount using SAPT Code'FP40" bearing amount more than Rs.3000/- for the period from Jan.2015 for Sd Comm-1 and Comm-2 Bhatinda and the customers whose migration entry was reversed and other information concerning the office of XEN, DS Division, PSPCL, Thermal Colony, Gate No-3, Bathinda. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 10.07.2018 whereby the PIO asked the appellant to deposit requisite fee of Rs.3024/- for 1512 pages after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 02.08.2018 which disposed off the appeal since the appellant did not appear before the appellate authority on the given date.

The respondent present pleaded that after receipt of requisite fee, the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 20.08.2018. The respondent further stated that since the appellant was not satisfied with the information, the appellant was called in the office on 05.09.2018 and remaining information was provided to him. The appellant had acknowledged the receipt of the information.

The appellant is absent to point out the discrepancies, if any. The appellant is directed to be present on the next date of hearing and point out the discrepancies, if any.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on 03.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Sd/-

(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019

THE REAL	ਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕੇਨੂ	
Punjab	PSIC	mission
Citate I	b/ormation C	\$

Sh Nathu Ram. S/o Sh Mahavir Sihag, Village Taja Patto, Tehsil Abohar, Distt Fazilka.

.... Appellant.

...Respondent

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Chairman, PSPCL, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Chief Engineer, (HRD), PSPCL, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 406 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Rajinder Dhiman, Dy.Secretary, RTI cell, PSPCL Patiala for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 18.09.2018 has sought information on 7 points regarding appointment of Sh.Malkiat Singh Sidhu Xen, his places of postings and other information concerning the office of Chairman, PSPCL Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 31.10.2018 whereby the PIO asked the appellant to deposit requisite fee for information regarding points 4 & 7 and denied the information on point-1 stating that the information is 3rd party, after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information on points 4, 6 & 7 has been provided and the RTI application for the information regarding points 1,2,3 & 5 was sent to the PIO, Dy Chief Engineer, HRD PSPCL Patiala and Dy Chief Accounts officer who denied the information stating that the information is 3rd party and the 3rd party has not given his consent.

The appellant is absent to plead the case. The appellant is directed to appear on the next date of hearing and plead his case.

The case is adjourned. Both the parties to be present on **03.07.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further hearing.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019



Sh Manjeet Singh, H No-1435, Street No-6, Block-A, Guru Nanak Colonly, Gill Road, Ludhiana.

Versus

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer,

O/o XEN, PSPCL, Unit-1, Ludhiana-3, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o XEN, PSPCL, Unit-1-2443, Sub Division-1, Ludhiana-3, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 407 of 2019

...Respondent

PRESENT: Sh.Manjit Singh as the Appellant None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 24.08.2018 has sought information regarding action taken report on complaint made on 03.05.2018 for over reading and other information concerning the office of XEN, PSPCL, Unit-1, Ludhiana-3, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.09.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The appellant informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent is absent. The PIO is directed to provide the information as per RTI application and explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act. The PIO is also directed to be present personally or through a representative on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to be present on **03.07.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further hearing.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019



Sh Balwinder Singh, VPO Nurpura, Tehsil Raikot, Distt Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

...Respondent

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Addl, SE, Operational Division, PSPCL, Adda Dakha, Distt Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer, Central Zone, PSPCL, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 424 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Balwinder Singh as Appellant Sh.Sanjiv Kumar, Divisional Accounts officer Dakha for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 21.07.2018 has sought information regarding deduction made from the salary of the appellant (code 404144), rule under which the salary was deducted alongwith the name of authorized officer and other information concerning the office of Addl, SE, Operational Division, PSPCL, Adda Dakha, Distt Ludhiana The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 15.10.2018 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant as per record. The appellant is not satisfied and wants the rule under which the salary was deducted.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply, the Commission observes that there has been a letter that the respondent says is missing. The Commission will not accept this plea that the letter is missing until an enquiry is conducted in the matter and established that the letter is missing. The PIO to conduct an enquiry and submit enquiry report. Regarding point-2, the PIO is directed to provide a copy of rule which authorizes the Xen to stop the salary of an employee. Regarding point-3, the PIO is directed to clarify the reasons for not recording the deduction of salary in the service record of the employee.

The PO to be personally present on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **03.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 07.05.2019



Sh.Rakesh Kumar Gupta, 8/237, Jagraon Road, MandiMullanpur, Distt Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

DFSC, Ludhiana (West), Zone-D, Municipal Corporation Building, Sarabha Nagar, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority,

The Director, Food, Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

.... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1669 of 2018

Present: None for the Appellant Sh.Jaswinder Singh Inspector O/o DFSC and Sh.Tanveer Singh O/o Mandi Board on behalf of the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **06.08.2018.** Sh.Jaswinder Singh from the office of DFSC was present. The respondent present pleaded that the information being third party information cannot be provided since the third parties had not given their consent. Having gone through the merits of the case, the claim of the PIO that the information is third party information was not fully justified. The PIO was directed to provide the point-wise information to the appellant in accordance with the RTI Act.

The case was again heard on **23.10.2018.** The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied and informed that the information is incomplete.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and send reply to the point No. 1 on an affidavit. The information regarding points 2, 4, 5, 6 & 9 be provided as per RTI application. Regarding point No.7, to provide certified copy of details of penalty. Regarding point No.8, to forward application to the PIO, Market Committee.

The case came up for hearing on **04.12.2018.** The respondent from the office of Market Committee has brought the information regarding point No.8 and handed over to the appellant. The appellant received the same and is satisfied. Regarding points 2,4,5,6 &9, the respondent from the office of DFSC pleaded that since the paddy season is going on, they are busy in the procurement of paddy and sought adjournment. The adjournment was granted. The PIO was directed to provide information as per earlier order which still stands.

Appeal Case No. 1669 of 2018

The case was last heard on **26.02.2019.** The appellant was absent and vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the information as per order of the Commission.

The PIO was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands.

Hearing dated 07.05.2019:

The appellant is absent and vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the information as per order of the Commission. The respondent present from the office of Mandi Board informed that the information concerning them has already been provided.

The PIO-DFSC is directed to comply with the earlier order which still stands and provide the information to the appellant within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission, otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action against the PIO as per provisions of the RTI Act.

To come up for compliance on 05.08.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 07.05.2019 (Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

CC to: The PIO, Distt.Mandi Officer, District Mandi Bhawan, Gill Road, Ludhiana.