



Sh. Balwant Singh, S/o Sh Ajaib Singh,
Ward no-11, Near Goyal Hospital, Ahemadgarh,
Distt Sangrur.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chairman, PSPCL,
Patiala..

...Respondent

Complaint case No.1199/2018

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Amandeep Singh, Sr.Xen-cum-APIO PSPCL for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 10.10.2018 has sought information regarding action taken report on his application dated 06.08.2018 alongwith name of the inquiry officer and other information concerning the office of Chairman, PSPCL Patiala. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 19.11.2018.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 01.03.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is absent and vide email has sought adjournment .

The Commission observes that the information has been provided but with a delay of three and half months. The PIO is directed to explain the reasons for delay in providing the information.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **30.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 06.03.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Bahadar Ram, S/o Sh Mangal Ram,
VPO Karamgarh, Tehsil Malout,
Distt Shri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Shri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Complaint case No.1219/2018

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 02.06.2018 has sought information regarding action taken report on his application dated 23.05.2018 alongwith name of the inquiry officer and other information concerning the office of DC, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 29.11.2018.

The respondent is absent. The Commission has received a letter diary No.4694 dated 01.03.2019 from the PIO vide which the PIO has informed that the information has been provided to the complainant vide letter dated 12.07.2018. The appellant is absent to point out the discrepancies, if any.

The Commission observes that the information has been provided with a delay of ten days. The Commission is satisfied that there is no malafide on the part of the PIO in attending to the RTI application.

The case is **disposed off and closed**. If the complainant is satisfied with the information provided, he should go to the First Appellate Authority.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 06.03.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Smt .Neelam Mahajan,
305, Holleywood Heights-II, B-Block,
VIP Road, Zirakpur.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Addl Superintending Engineer,
Computerization Cell, Sector-2433-34,
Sector-22-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint case No.1220/2018

PRESENT: Smt.Neelam Mahajan as Complainant
Sh.Sh.Taranjit Singh, SDO, PSPCL for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 24.09.2018 has sought information regarding record/documents of overall multiplying factor in a/c No.J65 MS 14/0059 Shree Ram Food Products Jamsher as alleged in charge sheet and printing of updation of master file sent by RCC now DOEACC and other information concerning the office of Addl. Superintending Engineer, Computerization Cell, PSPCL Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 27.11.2018.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 13.11.2018 and again on 08.02.2019. The appellant is not satisfied and stated that she wants the papers/printing of updation of master file sent by the RCC . The respondent is directed to sort out the anomaly in the case and provide the record true to the fact even though the record has been provided within time. The respondent alleged that certain part of the record has been destroyed in the devastating fire that broke out in NIELIT on 08,06.2014. The respondent is directed to submit proof of the record which stands destroyed.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **30.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 06.03.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Smt Samriti Garg, W/o Sh Rakesh Kumar Garg,
1051, Urban Estate, hase-3, Bathinda.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Bathinda Development Authority,
Bhagu Road, Bathinda.

...Respondent

Complaint case No.1224/2018

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Amanpreet Singh, Jr. Engineer O/o BDA Bhatindafor the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 20.08.2018 has sought information on 6 points regarding action taken report on his application dated 13.07.2018 and other information concerning the office of Bhatinda Development Authority, Bhatinda. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 30.11.2018.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 18.02.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that she has received the information and wants to withdraw the case .

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 06.03.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jagdish Singh, R/o Village Leela, Tehsil Jagraon,
Distt Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary, Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission,
Pb, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chairman, Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission,
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal case No.3908/2018

PRESENT: Sh.Jagdish Singh as Appellant
Smt.Sheetal Sharma, APIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 27.01.2018 has sought information regarding action taken report on his application dated 23.11.2017 and other information concerning the office of Secretary, Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.05.2018 which disposed off the appeal on 19.06.2018. After the order of the First Appellate Authority, the PIO sent reply to the appellant on 20.06.2018.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 01.03.2018 and again on 20.06.2018. The appellant has received the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 06.03.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh Jeet Singh,
Village Bathoi Khurd, P.O Karhali,
Distt Patiala. .

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Engineer, Enforcement,
PSPCL, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer, Enforcement,
PSPCL, Patiala

...Respondent

Appellant Case No. 3913 of 2018

Present: Sh.Suresh Kumar as Appellant
Sh.K.S.Anand, APIO O/o CE-Enforcement, PSPCL for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant through RTI application dated 01.08.2018 has sought information regarding action taken report on his application dated 23.11.2017 alongwith statement of both parties and other information concerning the office of Chief Engineer, Enforcement, PSPCL, Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The matter has come to the Commission for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the matter is under enquiry with the Tehsildar Patiala and the Tehsildar has not yet submitted his report. The reply was sent to the appellant on 20.09.2018.

The Commission observed that there is a massive delay in completion of the enquiry on the matter. The Commission directs the Tehsildar to complete the enquiry at the earliest and submit verification report. The PIO is directed that once the verification report is received from the Tehsildar, the information be provided to the appellant within 7 days and a compliance report be sent to the Commission. A copy of the order be sent to the Tehsildar with reference of the case.

The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.03.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: The Tehsildar, Patiala.



Sh. JPS Sidhu,
R/o H No-222, Advocate Society,
Sector-49, Chandigarh.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Administrator, GMADA,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Administrator, GMADA,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Appellant Case No. 3946 of 2018

Present: None for the Appellant
Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO GMADA for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant through RTI application dated 16.04.2018 has sought information on 6 points regarding external development charges collected from sector 85 to 112, expenses incurred for development of roads, amount lying unused and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 25.07.2018 vide which the AIO-cum-Suptd. O/o SE (C-1) GMADA informed the appellant that the total amount of Rs.14.00 crores was spent on the road leading from NH-21 to PR-4 New Chandigarh and filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 14.09.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the available information has been provided to the appellant vide letters dated 13.06.2018 and 25.07.2018 relating to points 1,2,3 & 5. Regarding information on points-4 & 6 the respondent informed that since their balance sheet is not complete and financial budget is yet to be approved, the information can be provided after completion of the process of balance sheet and the budget and that this process will take minimum a month's time. The appellant is absent to point out the discrepancies if any.

The PIO is directed to provide the information on points-4 & 6 as and when the process of balance sheet and budget is complete.

To come up for further hearing on **30.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.03.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Hemant Gupta,
50, Tower-5, Homeland Heights,
Sector-70, Mohali.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Estab, Administrative Officer (Coordination),
PUDA, Punjab Urban Planning & Development Authority,
PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Asst General Manager,
Punjab Urban Planning & Development Authority,
PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appellant Case No. 3950 of 2018

Present: Sh.Hemant Gupta as Appellant
Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO, GMADA and Sh.Rattan Chopra, APIO, CTP for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant through RTI application dated 29.03.2018 has sought information regarding daily progress report on the application dated 05.12.2017 on the objections raised against layout drawing No.EM-MP/SUB/MH/1.01A and EM.MP/SUB/MH/1.01B (Emaar MGF Land Ltd. and other information concerning the office Establishment Administrative Officer (Coordination), PUDA, , Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal .

The respondent present from the office of CTP Punjab pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 25.02.2019. The appellant is not satisfied and stated that the copy of CLU has not been provided. The respondent informed that after getting inspection by the appellant, the information was provided to the appellant.

The Commission observed that there has been enormous delay in providing the information. The respondent pleaded the appellant had filed RTI application in the office of GMADA which was received by them on 09.05.2018. Thereafter, the appellant was asked to deposit requisite fee of Rs.160/- vide letter dated 18.05.2018 which the appellant did not deposit. The appellant was again asked to appear before the First Appellate Authority on 20.02.2019. Sh.Rajiv Gupta a representative of the appellant appeared and after inspecting the record, deposited the requisite fee and the information was provided to him. The plea of the respondent is accepted.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.03.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - sicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ankit Jain, S/o Sh Yashal Jain,
1006, Morni Wala Khoo,
Dera Bassi, Distt Mohali.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Administrator, GMADA,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Administrator, GMADA,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Appellant Case No. 3966 of 2018

Present: None for the Appellant
Sh.Gulshan Kumar PIO-GMADA for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant through RTI application dated 11.05..2018 has sought information regarding FIR No.62 dated 05.04.2013 filed against Madhukar Sharma in police station Dera Bassi alongwith the documents submitted at the time of filing FIR and other information concerning the office of Chief Administrative, GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant by hand on 28.11.2018. However, the respondent could not clear that which information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant is absent.

The PIO is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information within 7 days as per the RTI application.

Both the parties to be present on **30.04.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further hearing.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.03.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Kamaljit Singh,
H No-2226/12, Street No-2,
Opposite Royal Motor, Chander Nagar, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appellant Case No. 3982 of 2018

Present: Sh.Karamjit Singh as Appellant
Sh.Santosh Kumar Bains, PIO-GLADA for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant through RTI application dated 29.05.2018 has sought information on 10 points regarding allotment letter dated 07.02.1995, lease deed dated 30.03.1995 of land measuring 6.20 acre allotted for construction of MCM Senior Secondary School, Sector 32 Ludhiana and other information concerning the office of Chief Administrator, GLADA Ludhiana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 20.07.2018 vide which the PIO denied the information stating that the concerned allottee has not given consent for disclosure of information. On being denied the information, the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that since the information is third party information, it cannot be provided. The appellant pleaded that the land was allotted by the GMADA on leasehold basis and the GMADA has the ownership right on the land.

Having gone through the case, I find that the PIO's claim of 3rd party is untenable as the 3rd party is not executing any commercial activities, the disclosure of which will harm its competitive position. I see that revealing of this information will lead to transparency and accountability, hence stand of the PIO that the information is 3rd party, is not maintainable. The information be provided within 15 days.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **24.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.03.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner