

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,  
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - [psic26@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic26@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri George Subh  
S/o Shri Rustam Masih,  
R/o Ward No.12, Begowal,  
Tehsil Bholath,  
District Kapurthala.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Addl. Superintending Engineer,  
Distribution Division,  
Punjab State Power Corpn. Ltd.,  
Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**  
Managing Director,  
Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.  
Ludhiana.

....Respondents

**AC No.3524 of 2020**

**Present:** (i) **Shri George Subh, Appellant**  
(ii) **Shri Jaspreet Singh, Assistant Executive Engineer/APIO,  
Distribution Division, POWERCOM, Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib,  
on behalf of the PIO.**

**ORDER:**

**(Heard through Cisco Webex)**

1. The RTI application is dated 17.02.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 11.03.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 13.11.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. The notice for the hearing was issued to the parties for 06.01.2021 through Cisco Webex. Shri George Subh, Appellant attends the hearing through Cisco Webex and states that on 18.11.2019, he was supplied information in which the S.C. Certificate of Pooja Rani was reported to be enclosed but it was not found enclosed therewith. Accordingly, he has filed a fresh RTI application.
3. Shri Jaspreet Singh, Assistant Executive Engineer, O/o Additional Superintending Engineer, Distribution Division, POWERCOM, Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib attends the hearing through Cisco Webex. He states that the concerned employee Ms. Pooja Rani has refused permission to share her personal information with the appellant.



4. On the perusal of the case file, the Bench observes that vide letter dated 11.03.2020, the appellant has already been informed by the PIO that the information being personal of Mrs. Pooja Rani, cannot be supplied as the concerned employee has not given her consent to share her information.

5. The Bench agrees with the contention of the PIO and observes that the information sought in respect of Mrs. Pooja Rani by the appellant is personal. Moreover, it is third party information and no public interest is served by providing it. As such, the appellant has rightly been denied this information.

6. In view of the above, **the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh  
06.01.2021**

**Sd/-  
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**