

Sukhdev Singh,  
House Number 223, Street Number 4,  
Guru Gobind Singh Nagar,  
Near Mair Di Chakki, P. O. - Daba,  
Ludhiana -141003 (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana (Punjab)

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2214 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Chander Parkash, Draftsman, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 31.10.2019 and the representative of the respondent PIO stated that the requisite information was sent to the appellant through registered post and the appellant was is directed to point out deficiencies, if any, in writing to the respondent PIO through registered post with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Chander Parkash, Draftsman, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a copy of reply vide letter no. 266 dated 21.10.2019, showing that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Sukhdev Singh vide letter no. 110 dated 30.07.2019 and again vide letter no. 137 dated 05.08.2019 through registered post. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Sukhdev Singh, was not present on the last date of hearing, held on 31.10.2019. He is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He has neither pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to him, to the respondent-PIO, nor approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard.

In view of the above, it is assumed that the appellant is satisfied with the information supplied to him and does not wish to pursue this case further and hence the case announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

**Sukhdev Singh,**  
House Number 223,  
Street Number 4, Guru Gobind  
Singh Nagar, Near Mair Di Chakki,  
P.O. - Daba, Ludhiana -141003 (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana (Punjab)

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2215 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Chander Parkash, Draftsman, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 31.10.2019 and the representative of the respondent PIO stated that the requisite information was sent to the appellant through registered post and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in writing, if any, to the respondent PIO through registered post with a copy to the Commission and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information after removing the deficiencies, if any, pointed out by the Appellant.

Sh. Chander Parkash, Draftsman, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a copy of reply vide letter no. 270 dated 22.10.2019, showing that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Sukhdev Singh vide letter no. 110 dated 30.07.2019. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Sukhdev Singh, was not present on the last date of hearing, held on 31.10.2019. He is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He has neither pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to him, to the respondent-PIO, nor approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard.

In view of the above, it is assumed that the appellant is satisfied with the information supplied to him and does not wish to pursue this case further and hence the case announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

Sukhdev Singh,  
House Number 223, Street Number 4,  
Guru Gobind Singh Nagar,  
Near Mair Di Chakki, P.O. - Daba,  
Ludhiana -141003 (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana (Punjab)

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2216 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Raman Kaushal, S. D. O., on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 31.10.2019 and an opportunity was given to the appellant to visit the concerned PIO's office for inspection of relevant official record on 27.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

Sh. Raman Kaushal, S. D. O., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a copy of reply vide letter no. 1123 dated 03.01.2020, intimating the Commission that the appellant, Sh. Sukhdev Singh did not visit the office of the respondent PIO on 27.11.2019 as directed vide orders dated 31.10.2019 to inspect the relevant official record despite the fact that other staff members of the office were very much present on that day (attendance-sheet is attached herewith) and a reminder was also sent to the appellant to visit the office and in response to the reminder, the appellant has asked the respondent to contact one, Sh. Amarjeet Singh. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Sukhdev Singh, was not present on the last date of hearing, held on 31.10.2019. He is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He has never sent any letter to the Commission intimating that he has authorized Sh. Amarjeet Singh to pursue the instant appeal case, moreover, he has also not visited the office of the respondent-PIO on 27.11.2019 to inspect the relevant official record and also not approached the Commission with any claim .

In view of the above, it is assumed that the appellant does not wish to pursue this case further and hence the case announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Brish Bhan  
S/o Sh. Saroop Chand,  
House Number 33,  
Kahangarh Road,  
Patran, Distt. Patiala(Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Sangrur(Punjab)

... Respondents

### **Complaint Case No. 557 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Brish Bhan, the complainant in person.  
Sh. Gurcharan Singh Sandhu, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 31.10.2019 and a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to PIO office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

The complainant, Sh. Brish Bhan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far.

Sh. Gurcharan Singh Sandhu, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing seeks an adjournment in this case so that the required information could be supplied to the complainant.

The request is granted.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Manjinder Singh,  
# 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sector -125, Kharar,  
District Mohali-140301(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2143 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that inspection of the official record has been done by the appellant.

The appellant, Sh. Manjinder Singh, through a letter dated 06.01.2020, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that he has inspected the relevant record on 03.01.2020 and requested to close the case as he is satisfied with the same. It is taken on record.

But through that letter, the appellant has not intimated the Commission that after inspecting the relevant record, whether he has received the information or not.

Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer has also failed to submit any document, which shows that after inspection, what information has been given to the appellant.

Accordingly, both the parties are directed to represent this case by appearing in person before the Commission on the next date of hearing so that the facts of this case could be cleared or action would be initiated against the concerned party, who has been trying to mislead the Bench.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Jasbir Singh,  
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,  
P. O.- Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana -123455(Punjab)

...Appellant

(Regd. Post) V/s.  
Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Amritsar -143001(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2147 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission. A show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to PIO office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Amritsar under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Neither the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh nor the respondent is present in today's hearing.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 5443 dated 31.12.2019 signed by Public Information Officer of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Amritsar, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 215 dated 03.01.2020, has intimated the Commission that the requisite information has been given to the appellant. It is taken on record.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO also through another reply vide letter no. 11 dated 06.01.2020 signed by Public Information Officer of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Amritsar, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that the deputed person is not able to appear before Hon'ble Court due to illness and sought an adjournment in this case. It is taken on record.

An opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to submit a copy of the information (which has already been supplied to the appellant) on the next date of hearing positively.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing.

Another opportunity is given to the appellant to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing or it would be assumed that the appellant has received the required information and is satisfied with the information supplied to him by the respondent PIO and the case would be decided on merits.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Jasbir Singh,  
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,  
P. O.- Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana -123455(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Amritsar -143001(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2148 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

Neither the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh nor the respondent is present in today's hearing.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that in response to the RTI request, replies have already been given to the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh vide letter no. 475 dated 11.04.2019, through which, he was intimated that the information sought for by him is 'third party' information. It is placed in the case-file.

After going through the queries raised by the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh through his RTI request, it emerges that the information sought for by the appellant is not 'third party' information.

Accordingly, the respondent-PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information through registered post to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request before the next date of hearing and submit a copy of the postal receipt, (through which information would be sent to the Appellant) on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be supplied by respondent PIO) or not. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**



Jasbir Singh,  
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,  
P. O.- Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana -123455(Punjab)

...Appellant

(Regd. Post) V/s.  
Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2149 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission. A show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to PIO office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Neither the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh nor the respondent is present in today's hearing.

The appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh, through a letter dated 23.12.2019, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 24859 dated 30.12.2019, has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. Through that letter, he has also intimated the Commission that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far. It is taken on record.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 2449 dated 03.01.2020 signed by Public Information Officer of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that fee was demanded from the appellant vide letter no. 460 dated 22.03.2019, which was deposited by the appellant less than the required amount and a reminder vide letter no. 895 dated 08.05.2019 was sent to the appellant to intimate him that he has deposited only Rs. 100/- through Indian Postal Order and the required amount is Rs. 1120/- Through that letter, he has also intimated the Commission that he is not able to appear before Hon'ble Court and sought an adjournment in this case. It is taken on record.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the RTI request dated 11.03.2019, has been sent by the appellant through registered post on 12.03.2019 and the respondent PIO has demanded the required fee from the appellant vide letter vide letter no. 460 dated 22.03.2019, which is within the stipulated time as per rules of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Accordingly, an opportunity is given to the appellant to deposit the remaining fee amounting to Rs. 1020/- (1120 - 100 = 1020/-) in the office of the respondent PIO concerned to get the required information, by the next date of hearing.

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the required information to the appellant only after collecting the remaining fee from him.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**



Jasbir Singh,  
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,  
P. O.- Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana -123455(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana (Punjab)

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2150 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Chander Parkash, Draftsman, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Chander Parkash, Draftsman, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 268 dated 22.10.2019, showing that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh. On that reply, the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh has put his signatures on 08.11.2019 as an acknowledgement of having received the requisite information. It is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh, through a letter dated 23.12.2019, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 24693 dated 24.12.2019 has intimated the Commission that he has received the requisite information. It is taken on record

Since the information stands supplied and no cause of action is left in this case, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Jasbir Singh,  
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,  
P. O.- Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana -123455(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

- i) Public Information Officer,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh
- ii) Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2156 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.

- i) Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, in person ;
- ii) Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and Sh. Gurpal Singh, APIO of office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, stated that this case was transferred to the Public Information Officer office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 and the same was informed to the Appellant. Accordingly, Public Information Officer office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala was impleaded as a party in this case with the directions to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was advised to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh, through a letter dated 23.12.2019, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 24695 dated 24.12.2019 has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. It is taken on record.

Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, appeared in person in today's hearing.

Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that he has come to represent other cases before the Bench of the undersigned and the order dated 30.10.2019, through which PIO of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala, was impleaded as a party in this case, has not been received in the office till date and seeks an adjournment in this case.

Another opportunity is given to the PIO office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request through registered post by or on the next date of hearing. A copy of the RTI request is handed over to him during the hearing in the Commission today for ready reference.

The appellant is advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information (which would be) supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Manjit Singh  
S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
H. No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

- i) Public Information Officer,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh
- ii) Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2158 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO office of State Transport  
Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, in person.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and Sh. Guralp Singh, APIO of office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, stated that in the instant appeal case, an enquiry is marked to Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) and the same was informed to the Appellant. Accordingly, Public Information Officer office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali was impleaded as a party in this case with the directions to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was advised to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far.

Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, appeared in person in today's hearing. But neither the respondent PIO of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing despite the fact that vide orders dated 30.10.2019 Public Information Officer office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) was impleaded as a necessary party in this case with the directions to supply the information to the appellant. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the respondent PIO, moreover, the requisite information has not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after the directions were given by the Commission on 30.10.2019.

**In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) , as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.**

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post .

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address before the next date of hearing and produce a copy of the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the appellant, before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**



Jasbir Singh,  
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,  
P. O.- Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana -123455(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

- i) Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Principal Secretary  
to Government of Punjab,  
Department of Transport, Punjab,  
Chandigarh
- ii) Public Information Officer,  
(Regd. Post) O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Principal Secretary  
to Government of Punjab,  
Department of Transport, Punjab,  
Pb. Mini Sectt., Sector 9, Chandigarh

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2160 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO office of State Transport  
Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, in person

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and Sh. Gurpal Singh, APIO of office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, stated that the demanded information relates with office of Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana and a letter was sent to the Public Information Officer office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana to supply the information. Accordingly, Public Information Officer office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana was impleaded as a party in this case with the directions to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was advised to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh, through a letter dated 23.12.2019, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 24696 dated 24.12.2019, has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. Through that letter, he has also intimated the Commission that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far. It is taken on record.

Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, appeared in person in today's hearing. But neither the respondent PIO of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana nor any of his



representative is present in today's hearing despite the fact that vide orders dated 30.10.2019, Public Information Officer office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana was impleaded as a necessary party in this case with the directions to supply the information to the appellant. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the respondent PIO, moreover, the requisite information has not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after the directions were given by the Commission on 30.10.2019.

**In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.**

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post .

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address before the next date of hearing and produce a copy of the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the appellant, before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**



Manjit Singh  
S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
H. No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2163 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that in response to the RTI request, he has brought a reply vide letter no. 12 dated 03.01.2020 in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh during the hearing. He also handed over the same to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission today. It (copy of letter no. 12 dated 03.01.2020) is taken on record.

After going through the reply given, the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, intimates the Commission that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO as information at point no. 08, 10, 11 and 12 of the RTI request is still pending.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the remaining information at point no. 08, 10, 11 and 12 of the RTI request to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing positively. If any information is not available in the official record in connection with above mentioned points, he/she (the respondent-PIO concerned) will file a written reply in the shape of an affidavit to that particular above mentioned query/queries on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Manjit Singh S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
H. No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondent

### **Appeal Case No. 2164 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that in response to the RTI request, he has brought a reply vide letter no. 06 dated 03.01.2020 in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh during the hearing. He also handed over the same to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission today. It (copy of letter no. 06 dated 03.01.2020) is taken on record.

After going through the reply given, the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, intimates the Commission that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO as information at point no. 01, 02, 03, 05 and 06 of the RTI request is still pending.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the remaining information at point no. 01, 02, 03, 05 and 06 of the RTI request to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing positively.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Manjit Singh S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
H. No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2165 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has brought the requisite information vide letter no. 11 dated 03.01.2020 in the Commission in the Form of CD so that the same could be handed over to the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh during the hearing. He also handed over the same to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission today. It (copy of letter no. 11 dated 03.01.2020) is taken on record.

After going through the information supplied, the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, intimates the Commission that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO as information at point no. 05 and 07 of the RTI request is still pending.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the remaining information at point no. 05 and 07 of the RTI request to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing positively.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Manjit Singh S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
H. No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2166 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has brought the requisite information vide letter no. 10 dated 03.01.2020 in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh during the hearing. He also handed over the same to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission today. It (copy of letter no. 10 dated 03.01.2020) is taken on record.

After going through the information supplied, the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, intimates the Commission that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO as information at point no. 05 and 07 of the RTI request is still pending.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the remaining information at point no. 05 and 07 of the RTI request to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing positively.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Manjit Singh S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
H. No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2167 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has brought the requisite information vide letter no. 09 dated 03.01.2020 in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh during the hearing. He also handed over the same to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission today. It (copy of letter no. 09 dated 03.01.2020) is taken on record.

After going through the information supplied, the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, intimates the Commission that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO as information at point no. 05 and 07 of the RTI request is still pending.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the remaining information at point no. 05 and 07 of the RTI request to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing positively.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Manjit Singh S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
H. No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2168 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the PIO respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies in the same with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has brought the requisite information vide letter no. 08 dated 03.01.2020 in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh during the hearing. He also handed over the same to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission today. It (copy of letter no. 08 dated 03.01.2020) is taken on record.

After going through the information supplied, the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, intimates the Commission that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO as information at point no. 02, 04,05, 06, 07 and 08 of the RTI request is still pending.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the remaining information at point no. 02, 04,05, 06, 07 and 08 of the RTI request to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing positively.

The case is **adjourned to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January,2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Manjit Singh  
S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
H. No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2169 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and the respondent PIO was directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on the fixed date of hearing.

Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has brought the requisite information, vide letter no. 249 dated 03.01.2020, in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh during the hearing. He also handed over the same to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission today. It (letter no. 249 dated 03.01.2020), alongwith a copy of supplied information, is taken on record.

After going through the information supplied, the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, through a letter dated 06.01.2020, has acknowledged that he has received the requisite information and satisfied with the information supplied and asked for filing of his case. It is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab





Daya Singh  
S/o Sh. Pritam Singh,  
R/o Village Majher (Nard),  
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,  
Distt. Roopnagar (Punjab)

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development &  
Panchayat Officer, Anandpur Sahib,  
Distt. Roopnagar (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Addl. Deputy Commissioner(Dev.),  
Roopnagar (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 1392 of 2018**

Present : Sh. Daya Singh, the appellant in person with Sh. Hanek Singh, Advocate.  
i) Sh. Darshan Singh, Block Development Panchayat Officer-cum-  
PIO, in person ;  
ii) Sh. Tejinder Pal Singh, Panchayat Secretary ;  
iii) Sh. Jagtar Singh, Panchayat Officer, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 30.10.2019 and last and final opportunity was given to the concerned B.D.P.O., Sh. Darshan Singh to ensure that the requisite information be supplied to the appellant.

Sh. Darshan Singh, Block Development Panchayat Officer-cum- PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing with Sh. Tejinder Pal Singh, Panchayat Secretary and Sh. Jagtar Singh, Panchayat Officer, brought the requisite information in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant, Sh. Daya Singh during the hearing.

The appellant, Sh. Daya Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing with Sh. Harnek Singh, Advocate, refuses to take the information during the hearing, which reflects that he does not wish to pursue this case further, hence, the case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab