

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Manjit Singh, S/o Sh.Sohan Singh,
H no-388/3, Bahera Road,
Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Finance Minister,
Pb, Chandigarh..

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Minister,
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3316 of 2018

Present: Sh.Manjit Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Payara Singh, PIO-STC and Sh.Dharmender Singh, Steno O/o RTA
Mohai for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **18.12.2018**. The respondent present pleaded that the appellant had filed RTI application with the office of Finance Minister, Punjab and they received the application from the office of Finance Minister on 25.07.2018. The respondent further pleaded that the appellant was asked vide letter dated 03.08.2018 to deposit requisite fee for getting information but the appellant has not deposited the fee. The appellant had denied having received the reply of the PIO.

Having gone through the file, it was observed that the RTI application was attended by the PIO well within the time but the appellant had not filed first appeal with the appropriate authority. The appellant was directed to deposit the requisite fee and get the information.

During further scrutiny of the case, it was observed that the appellant had filed two similar applications seeking exactly the same information vide appeal case No.3317/2018 & appeal case No.3318/2018 from the office of Chief Secretary, Punjab, Chandigarh. The Commission found it clearly a violation of the spirit of the RTI Act as it diverts the resources of the department/public authority unnecessarily. Keeping this fact in mind, all these three cases were clubbed together. The Commission made Ms.Simranpreet Kaur O/o of Principal Secretary, Govt of Punjab, Department of Transport as deemed PIO and directed the PIO to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days after receipt of requisite fee. The PIO was also directed to submit proof of dispatch of letter dated 03.08.2018.

The case was again heard on **12.02.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the appellant has not deposited the requisite fee. The respondent also submitted proof of dispatch of letter dated 03.08.2018.

The respondent further pleaded that since part of the information sought pertains to the office of STC Punjab, they have already sent a request letter to the STC asking them to provide the information but the same is still awaited.

The PIO-STC, Punjab, Chandigarh was made a party and was directed to handover the information to Ms.Simranpreet Kaur immediately enabling her to send the information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO was directed to provide the information after taking requisite applicable fee from the appellant.

Appeal Case No. 3316 of 2018

The case again came up for hearing on **02.04.2019**. The respondents present from CM Office and Finance Department pleaded that since the information relates to the office of State Transport Commissioner, they should be exempted. The respondent present from the office of Principal Secretary, Transport, Punjab pleaded that the information concerning them relating to points 1 & 2 has been provided to the appellant and since the remaining information relates to STC Punjab, Chandigarh, they have corresponded many times with the office of State Transport Commissioner but they have not provided the information.

The PIO-STC was absent. The PIO-STC was directed to provide the information in all three appeal cases No.3316/2018, 3317/2018 & 3318/2018 which were clubbed together in the last hearing. The PIO-STC was also directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing. Ms.Simranpreet Kaur was exempted from deemed PIO. The respondent from Finance Department and CM office are also exempted.

The case was again heard on **21.05.2019**. The appellant was absent. The respondent present from the office of STC pleaded that the enquiry has been marked to RTA Mohali and whatever the investigation, is being done by them. They have sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 20.05.2019. The PIO-Regional Transport Authority, SAS Nagar, Mohali was directed to look at the RTI application and send reply to the appellant as applicable under the RTI Act.

The case was last heard on **23.07.2019**. The respondent present from the office of RTA Mohali sought adjournment stating that the enquiry is still pending and will take some more time to complete. Adjournment was granted and the PIO-RTA Mohali was directed to complete the enquiry and provide the information to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 05.11.2019:

The respondent present from the office of STC informed that they have already marked the enquiry to RTA Mohali. The respondent present from the office of RTA Mohali brought the enquiry report and other information in the appeal case 3318/2018. The respondent further pleaded that since the complaint of the appellant in this appeal case and appeal case No.3316/2018 is of the same vehicle No.PB-11-BN-0525 for which enquiry has been conducted, the enquiry report in both the case is also same.

From the perusal of the file in appeal case No.3316/2018 and 3318/2018, it was found that the appellant has filed complaint of the same vehicle number in both the cases, hence it is concluded that the enquiry for appeal case No.3318/2018 is applicable in appeal case No.3316/2018. The PIO is directed to send a copy of the enquiry report to the appellant through registered post.

The respondent has also submitted the information in the Commission. I have gone through the information and find that the information is being supplied to the best possible extent. No further course of action is required. A copy of the information is being attached with the order for the appellant.

The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 05.11.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: 1. The PIO, State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Chandigarh.
2. PIO-Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
SAS Nagar, Mohali

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Manjit Singh, S/o Sh.Sohan Singh,
H no-388/3, Bahera Road,
Patiala.

Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Chief Secretary,
Pb, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Secretary,
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3317 of 2018

Present: Sh.Manjit Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Sham Lal, ATO-cum-PIO O/o RTA Patiala for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **18.12.2018**. The respondent present pleaded that the appellant had filed RTI application with the office of Chief Secretary, Punjab and they received the application from the office of Chief Secretary on 06.07.2018. The respondent further pleaded that the appellant was asked vide letter dated 18.07.2018 to deposit requisite fee for getting the information but the appellant had not deposited the fee. The appellant had denied having received the reply of the PIO.

Having gone through the file, it was observed that the RTI application was attended by the PIO well within the time. The appellant was directed to deposit the requisite fee and get the information.

During further scrutiny of the case, it was observed that the appellant had filed two similar applications seeking exactly the same information vide appeal case No.3316/2018 & appeal case No.3318/2018 from the office of Finance Minister, Punjab and the office of Chief Secretary, Punjab, Chandigarh. The Commission found it clearly a violation of the spirit of the RTI Act as it diverts the resources of the department/public authority unnecessarily. Keeping this fact in mind, all these three cases were clubbed together. The Commission made Ms. Simranpreet Kaur O/o of Principal Secretary, Govt of Punjab, Department of Transport as deemed PIO and directed the PIO to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days after receipt of requisite fee. The PIO was also directed to submit proof of dispatch of letter dated 18.07.2018.

The case was again heard on **12.02.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the appellant has not deposited the requisite fee. The respondent also submitted proof of dispatch of letter dated 18.07.2018. The respondent further pleaded that since part of the information sought pertains to the office of STC Punjab, they have already sent a request letter to the STC asking them to provide the information but the same is still awaited.

The PIO-STC, Punjab, Chandigarh was made a party and directed to handover the information to Ms. Simranpreet Kaur immediately enabling her to send the information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO was directed to provide the information after taking requisite applicable fee from the appellant.

Appeal Case No. 3317 of 2018

The case came up for hearing again on **02.04.2019**. The respondents present from CM Office and Finance Department pleaded that since the information relates to the office of State Transport Commissioner, they should be exempted. The respondent present from the office of Principal Secretary, Transport, Punjab pleaded that the information concerning them relating to points 1 & 2 has been provided to the appellant and since the remaining information relates to STC Punjab, Chandigarh, they have corresponded many times with the office of State Transport Commissioner but they have not provided the information.

The PIO-STC was absent. The PIO-STC was directed to provide the information in all three appeal cases No.3316/2018, 3317/2018 & 3318/2018 which were clubbed together in the last hearing. The PIO-STC was also directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing. Ms.Simranpreet Kaur was exempted from the deemed PIO. The respondent from Finance Department and CM office were also exempted.

The case was again heard on **21.05.2019**. The appellant was absent. The respondent present from the office of STC pleaded that the enquiry has been marked to RTA Patiala and whatever the investigation, is being done by them. They have sent following reply to the appellant vide letter dated 20.05.2019. The PIO-Regional Transport Authority, Patiala was directed to look at the RTI application and send reply to the appellant as applicable under the RTI Act.

The case was last heard on **23.07.2019**. The respondent present brought the enquiry report and handed over to the appellant. The appellant pleaded that the PIO has not provided the communication letters and statement of both the parties regarding points 3 & 4. The PIO-RTA Patiala was directed to relook at the RTI application and address the same.

Hearing dated 05.11.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant on 25.10.2019.

Having gone through the information, I find that the points-3 & 4 have not been adequately responded to. The PIO is directed to reply to points-3 & 4 appropriately.

To come up further hearing on **15.01.2020 at 11.00 AM**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 05.11.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

**CC to: 1. The PIO, State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Chandigarh.**
**2. PIO-Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocompunjab.com



Sh.Manjit Singh, S/o Sh.Sohan Singh,
H no-388/3, Bahera Road,
Patiala.

Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Chief Secretary,
Pb, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Secretary,
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3318 of 2018

Present: Sh.Manjit Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Payara Singh, PIO-STC and Sh.Dharmender Singh, Steno O/o RTA
Mohai for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **18.12.2018**. The respondent present pleaded that the appellant had filed RTI application with the office of Chief Secretary, Punjab and they received the application from the office of Chief Secretary on 12.07.2018. The respondent further pleaded that the appellant was asked vide letter dated 18.07.2018 to deposit requisite fee for getting the information but the appellant had not deposited the fee. The appellant had denied having received the reply of the PIO.

Having gone through the file, it was observed that the RTI application was attended by the PIO well within the time. The appellant was directed to deposit the requisite fee and get the information.

During further scrutiny of the case, it was observed that the appellant had filed two similar applications seeking exactly the same information vide appeal case No.3316/2018 & appeal case No.3317/2018 from the office of Finance Minister, Punjab and the office of Chief Secretary, Punjab, Chandigarh. The Commission found it clearly a violation of the spirit of the RTI Act as it diverts the resources of the department/public authority unnecessarily. Keeping this fact in mind, all these three cases were clubbed together. The Commission made Ms.Simranpreet Kaur O/o of Principal Secretary, Govt of Punjab, Department of Transport as deemed PIO and directed the PIO to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days after receipt of requisite fee. The PIO was also directed to submit proof of dispatch of letter dated 18.07.2018.

The case was again heard on **12.02.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the appellant has not deposited the requisite fee. The respondent also submitted proof of dispatch of letter dated 18.07.2018. The respondent further pleaded that since part of the information sought pertains to the office of STC Punjab, they have already sent a request letter to the STC asking them to provide the information but the same is still awaited.

The PIO-STC, Punjab, Chandigarh was made a party and was directed to handover the information to Ms.Simranpreet Kaur immediately enabling her to send the information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO was directed to provide the information after taking requisite applicable fee from the appellant.

Appeal Case No. 3318 of 2018

The case again came up for hearing on **02.04.2019**. The respondents present from CM Office and Finance Department pleaded that since the information relates to the office of State Transport Commissioner, they should be exempted. The respondent present from the office of Principal Secretary, Transport, Punjab pleaded that the information concerning them relating to points 1 & 2 has been provided to the appellant, and since the remaining information relates to STC Punjab, Chandigarh, they have corresponded many times with the office of State Transport Commissioner but they have not provided the information.

The PIO-STC was absent . The PIO-STC was directed to provide the information in all three appeal cases No.3316/2018, 3317/2018 7 3318/2018 which were clubbed together in the last hearing. The PIO-STC was also directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing Ms.Simranpreet Kaur was exempted from deemed PIO. The respondent from Finance Department and CM office were also exempted.

The case was again heard on **21.05.2019**. The appellant was absent. The respondent present from the office of STC pleaded that the enquiry has been marked to RTA Mohali and whatever the investigation, is being done by them. They have sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 20.05.2019. The PIO-Regional Transport Authority, SAS Nagar, Mohali was directed to look at the RTI application and send reply to the appellant as applicable under the RTI Act.

The case was last heard on **23.07.2019**. The respondent present from the office of RTA Mohali submitted a letter dated 19.07.2019 whereby the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the enquiry is pending and will take some more time to complete. The adjournment was granted and the PIO-RTA Mohali was directed to complete the enquiry and provide the information to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 05.11.2019:

The respondent present from the office of STC pleaded that they have already marked the enquiry to RTA Mohali. The respondent present from the office of RTA Mohali has brought the information.

I have gone through the reply of the PIO and find that the information is being provided to the best possible extent. The respondent is directed to send the information to the appellant through registered post.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**. A copy of the information is being attached with the order for the appellant.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 05.11.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

**CC to: 1. The PIO, State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Chandigarh.**
**2. PIO-Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
SAS Nagar, Mohali**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Balwinder Singh, S/o Sh Karam Singh,
VPO Nurpura, Tehsil Raikot,
Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Addl, SE, Operational Division,
PSPCL, Addaa Dakha, Distt Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer, Central Zone,
PSPCL, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2303 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Balwinder Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Maninder Kumar, Sr. Xen-PSPCL Addaa Dakha for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 29.10.2018 has sought information regarding status report of the instructions to SDO vide your office letter dated 27.09.2018 and its implementation and other information concerning the office of Addl, SE, Operational Division, PSPCL, Addaa Dakha, Distt Ludhiana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 26.11.2018 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.12.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present has brought the information. The appellant stated that the information is not certified. The respondent is directed to send certified copies of the information to the appellant within a week through registered post.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 05.11.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Nipun Ummat S/o Sh Naresh Kumar,
H No-H.I.G 802, Phase-2,
Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2306 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Nipun Ummat as the Appellant
Sh.Gulshan Kumar-PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 12.04.2019 has sought information regarding present status of the action being taken against Sh.Harsaran Singh the owner of HIG No.801 Sector 54, SAS Nagar for unauthorized construction and and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.05.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that necessary action has been taken and the allotment of the house has been cancelled vide letter dated 04.09.2019. The information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 05.11.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ravipal Singh, S/o Sh Kuldeep Singh,
Ground Floor, SCO-50,
New Sunny Enclave, Backside KFC,
Sector 125, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o MC,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Department of Local Govt,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2295 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman and Sh.Amit Kumar, SDO-MC Kharar for
the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.03.2019 has sought information regarding New Green Market Area-1133.22 Sq.yds, Sunny Enclave, Rakha Jhungian, Kharar comprising CLU, total fee, NOC and other information concerning the office of MC Kharar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.05.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.06.2019. However, the appellant was not satisfied. The respondent has again brought the complete information and has submitted a copy of the same to the Commission. The appellant is absent.

I have gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO and find that the information has been provided to the best possible extent. A copy of the information is being enclosed with the order for the appellant.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 05.11.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ravipal Singh, S/o Sh Kuldeep Singh,
Ground Floor, SCO-50,
New Sunny Enclave, Backside KFC,
Sector 125, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o MC,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Department of Local Govt,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2296 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman and Sh.Amit Kumar, SDO-MC Kharar for
the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.03.2019 has sought information regarding Naval Market-2 Area-933.3 Sq.yds, Sunny Enclave, Rakha Fatehullapur, Kharar comprising CLU, total fee, NOC and other information concerning the office of MC Kharar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.05.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.06.2019. However, the appellant was not satisfied. The respondent has again brought the complete information and has submitted a copy of the same to the Commission. The appellant is absent.

I have gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO and find that the information has been provided to the best possible extent. A copy of the information is being enclosed with the order for the appellant.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 05.11.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ravipal Singh, S/o Sh Kuldeep Singh,
Ground Floor, SCO-50,
New Sunny Enclave, Backside KFC,
Sector 125, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o MC,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Department of Local Govt,
Patiala.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2297 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman and Sh.Amit Kumar, SDO-MC Kharar for
the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.03.2019 has sought information regarding Hill View Area-3.8625 acres, Sunny Enclave, Rakha Jhungian, Kharar comprising CLU, total fee, NOC and other information concerning the office of MC Kharar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.05.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 27.05.2019. However, the appellant was not satisfied. The respondent has again brought the complete information and has submitted a copy of the same to the Commission. The appellant is absent.

I have gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO and find that the information has been provided to the best possible extent. A copy of the information is being enclosed with the order for the appellant.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 05.11.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ravipal Singh, S/o Sh Kuldeep Singh,
Ground Floor, SCO-50,
New Sunny Enclave, Backside KFC,
Sector 125, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o MC,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Department of Local Govt,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2298 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman and Sh.Amit Kumar, SDO-MC Kharar for
the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.03.2019 has sought information regarding Hayat Market-2 Area-2053.26 Sq.yds, Sunny Enclave, Rakha Jhungian, Kharar comprising CLU, total fee, NOC and other information concerning the office of MC Kharar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.05.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.06.2019. However, the appellant was not satisfied. The respondent has again brought the complete information and has submitted a copy of the same to the Commission. The appellant is absent.

I have gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO and find that the information has been provided to the best possible extent. A copy of the information is being enclosed with the order for the appellant.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 05.11.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ravipal Singh, S/o Sh Kuldeep Singh,
Ground Floor, SCO-50,
New Sunny Enclave, Backside KFC,
Sector 125, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o MC,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Department of Local Govt,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2299 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman and Sh.Amit Kumar, SDO-MC Kharar for
the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.03.2019 has sought information regarding Hill View-3 Area-0.33 Acre, Sunny Enclave, Rakha Jhungian, Kharar comprising CLU, total fee, NOC and other information concerning the office of MC Kharar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.05.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 27.05.2019. However, the appellant was not satisfied. The respondent has again brought the complete information and has submitted a copy of the same to the Commission. The appellant is absent.

I have gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO and find that the information has been provided to the best possible extent. A copy of the information is being enclosed with the order for the appellant.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 05.11.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Madan Lal, S/o Sh Om Parkash,
Jain Niwas, MCB Zone-2,
H No 10803, Street No-18,
Parinda Road 18,
Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar,
Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District & Session Judge,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District & Session Judge,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib..

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2354 of 2019

PRESENT: None for he Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.05.2019 has sought information regarding certified copy of FIR u/s 406, 498-A Number 09 dated 25.01.2019 at Gidderbaha and other information concerning the office of District & Session Judge, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 21.05.2019 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.05.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

Both the parties are absent. The respondent vide email has informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant and the appellant has withdrawn his appeal. The appellant vide letter received in the Commission diary No.14485 on 30.07.2019 has informed that he has received the information and wants to withdraw the case.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated 05.11.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner