

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Tejinder Singh (Advocate),
Vill: Bholapur,
P.O: Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road,
Distt: Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
Sub Divisional Magistrate Cum Licensing Authority,
(East), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
Sub Divisional Magistrate (East),
District- Ludhiana.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case .No. 2790 of 2019

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 11.04.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 18.05.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.08.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, none is present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents, without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondents seriously, the PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing,

Contd.....p/2

failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

3. The case is adjourned to be heard on **01.10.2019** at **3.30 P.M.** to be heard through Video Conference Facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Dated : 05.09.2019

(**Suresh Arora**)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sukhwinder Singh,
Secretary, Parents Association Regd. Machhiwara,
Distt: Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
Sub Divisional Magistrate, Samrala,
District- Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
Sub Divisional Magistrate, Samrala,
District- Ludhiana.

The Public Information Officer,
o/o District Education Officer (Secondary),
Ludhiana

The Public Information Officer,
o/o District Education Officer (Elementary),
Ludhiana.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case .No. 2829 of 2019

Present:- Shri Sukhwinder Singh, appellant.

Ms. Meenu Sharma, Clerk o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Samrala on behalf
of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 24.05.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 10.06.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 06.08.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. The appellant states that he may be intimated about action taken on letter dated 26.02.2019 given to the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Samrala by the parents

Contd.....p/2

association against private schools.

3. The representative of the respondents states that they have transferred application for seeking information vide their letter dated 03.02.2019 to the District Education Officer (Elementary), Ludhiana and District Education Officer (Secondary), Ludhiana under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 as action has to be taken by them.

4. The appellant states that they have no knowledge regarding transfer of application for seeking information and requests for supplying a copy of the same. The respondents are directed to give copy of each letters to the appellant. . The representative of the respondents assures that she will provide copies of the same.

5. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on the case file, it is revealed that the information is to be supplied by the District Education Officer (Elementary), Ludhiana and District Education Officer (Secondary), Ludhiana, so they are made necessary parties in this case with the direction to supply the requisite information before the next date of hearing.

6. To come up on **1.10.2019** at **3.30 P.M.** to be heard through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Dated : 05.09.2019

(**Suresh Arora**)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Suresh Aggarwal,
S/o Sh. Prem Chand,
2585/2A, Jagat Nagar, Basti Jodhwal,
Distt: Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
District & Session Judge,
District- Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
District & Session Judge,
District- Ludhiana.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case .No. 2840 of 2019

Present:- Shri Suresh Aggarwal, appellant.

Shri Om Parkash, Superintendent-cum-PIO on behalf of the
respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 07.01.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 07.03.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 06.08.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. The appellant states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondents till date. On the other hand the representative of the respondents states that

Contd....p/2

-2-

they have replied from time to time and asked the appellant to deposit requisite fee under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 but the appellant failed to deposit the same and information could not be supplied to him.

3. After perusing the record available on the case file, it is ascertained that application dated 7.1.2019 was received in their office for seeking information with application fee of Rs.10/- in the shape of postal order. The respondent-PIO returned the same to the appellant with the request to deposit either in cash or in adhesive court fee. The PIO had again sent a letter vide their letter dated 11.02.2019 stating that the appellant can inspect/take photocopy of any document as per rules and orders framed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court. He further stated that information regarding inquiry report cannot be supplied as investigation has not been concluded/finalized. He filed appeal to the First Appellate Authority on 7.3.2019 against the order of the PIO. The First Appellate Authority replied to the appellant to appear before him on 27.03.2019 at 02.00 P.M. and passed speaking order on 27.3.2019 stating that copy of 'case filing register' form 15.05.2012 to 15.06.2012 of the Court of Ms. Vanita Kumari, the then JMIC, Ludhiana and decided by Shri Manmohan Bhatti, JMIC on 3.12.2018 be provided by PIO/Chief Judicial Magistrate Ludhiana, if available, to the appellant within a week positively. He further stated in the order that information regarding point at Sr. No. 2 and 3 should not be provided as per the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Girish Ramachandra Deshpande Vs. Central Information Commissioner decided on 03.10.2012, R.K. Jain vs. Union of India and nr (2013) 14 SCC and Canara Bank Rep. Vs. C.S. Shyam and Anr. In Civil Appeal No.22 of

Contd....p/3

-3-

2019 decided on 31.09.2017. In compliance to the order of FAA, the PIO has requested the appellant again to deposit Rs.348/- for supplying the information consisting of 174 pages @ Rs.2/- per page as per the provisions of RTI Act, 2005, in the shape of adhesive court fee or Indian Court Fee Stamp Papers. The appellant wrote a letter to the PIO stating that information be provided to him free of cost as statutory period of 30 days has already been elapsed. The PIO replied to the appellant stating that Rule 6 of Section 7 of the Act has already been omitted as per Punjab Subordinate Courts (RTI) Rules, 2007 vide Correction Slip dated 31.03.2014 published in Punjab Govt. Gaz. (Extra) April 4, 2014 and, therefore, the same is of no help to him. It was further mentioned that FAA has not ordered to supply him the requisite information free of cost.

4. From the above, it is revealed that the PIO has complied with all necessary provisions of RTI Act, 2005. He never refused to supply the information to the appellant but for supply of the same, he requested the appellant to deposit Rs.348/- with the Court. The PIO is right, in not supplying the information, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 without fee. There is no order of FAA to supply the information to the appellant free of cost. The appellant is advised to deposit Rs.348/- and get the information consisting of 174 pages. On receipt of the fee, from the appellant, the respondents are directed to provide the information within 10 days.

5. With these observations, the present case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties

Dated : 05.09.2019

(**Suresh Arora**)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Jasbir Singh

Vill: Bolapur, Jhabewal,

P.O: Ramgarh,

Distt: Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
Deputy Commissioner,
District- Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
Deputy Commissioner,
District Ludhiana.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case .No. 2852 of 2019

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant

Shri Gagandeep Singh, clerk, Complaint Branch o/o Deputy
Commissioner, Ludhiana.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 25.03.2019 which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 17.05.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.08.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. The appellant is absent but he has sent an e-mail stating that he has not received inquiry report from the respondents.

Contd.....p/2

3. The representative of the respondent states that a copy of inquiry report has been sent through registered post to the appellant vide their letter dated 4.9.2019, which might have not been received by him. Copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission through e-mail.

4. After hearing the representative of the respondents and going through the record available on the case file, it is ascertained that copy of inquiry report of Lodhi Club, Ludhiana has been sent to the appellant and a copy of the same has also been received by the Commission, which has been taken on the record of the case file. With the supply of inquiry report, nothing has been left which could be supplied to the appellant as per his RTI application dated 25.03.2019. Hence, the present case is **disposed of** and **closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated : 05.09.2019

(**Suresh Arora**)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Gurdip Singh,
S/o Sh. Bhajan Singh,
Vill: Taggar, P.O: Pasla,
Tehsil: Phillaur,
Distt: Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat,
Taggar, Teshil: Phillaur,
District- Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
District Development and Panchayat Officer,
District- Jalandhar.

PIO/Naib Tehsildar,
Goraya.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2804 of 2019

Present:- Shri Gurdip Singh, appellant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 20.03.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 20.04.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.06.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

Contd.....p/2

-2-

2. The appellant states that no response, regarding his application dated 20.3.2019 for seeking information, has been supplied by the respondents till date.

3. None is present on behalf of the respondents without intimation.

4. After hearing the appellant and going through the record available on the case file, it is ascertained that appellant has filed application for information with the Gram Panchayat, Taggar on 20.3.2019 and first appeal with the District Development and Panchayats Officer, Jalandhar who has transferred the same to the Block Development and Panchayats Officer, Rurka Kalan vide his letter dated 8.5.2019 under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005. The PIO/Gram Panchayat, Taggar has informed the appellant that at present no demarcation is available on the path. On this, panchayat has now decided vide its resolution No.1 dated 31.05.2019 to get the demarcation done again. The Block Development and Panchayats Officer, Rurka Kalan wrote a letter to Naib Tehsildar, Goraya to get the demarcation done from the Patwari enclosing Resolution of Panchayat. Naib Tehsildar, Goraya is made necessary party in this case with the direction to file his reply to the letter dated 12.6.2019 written by the Block Development and Panchayats Officer, Rurka Kalan on or before the next date of hearing under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005.

5. To come up on **1.10.2019** at **3.30 P.M.** through Video Conference Facility available in the Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar.

Dated : 05.09.2019

(Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Hardev Singh,
S/o Sh. Sadhu Singh,
Vill: Ramuwal,
Sub Tehsil: Mehatpur,
Distt: Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Mehatpur,
District- Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
District Development and Panchayat Officer,
District- Jalandhar.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case .No. 2813 of 2019

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Sewa Singh, Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Mehatpur on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 21.02.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 14.07.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 30.07.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation.

Contd....p/2

-2-

3. Shri Sewa Singh, BDPO, Mehatpur appearing on behalf of the respondents states that information has been provided except point at Sr. No.2 of application for seeking information.

4. The respondents are directed to take action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 and send reply regarding point at Sr. No.2 of RTI application to the appellant with a copy to the Commission. The appellant is advised to appear on the next date of hearing and pursue his case otherwise the case will be decided exparte.

5. To come up on **1.10.2019** at **3.30 P.M.** through Video Conference Facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar.

Dated : 05.09.2019

(Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sahib Singh,
S/o Sh. Raja Ram,
Vill: Tandi,
P.O: Laroya,
Distt: Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Bhogpur,
District- Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
District Development and Panchayat Officer,
District- Jalandhar.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case .No. 2833 of 2019

Present:- Shri Sahib Singh alongwith Shri Manjit Singh on behalf of the
appellant.

Shri Paramjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary, Bhogpur on behalf of the
respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 30.04.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 10.02.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 06.08.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

Contd....p/2

-2-

2. The appellant states that he sought information vide his application dated 30.04.2018 and the respondents wrote to him on 1.6.2018 for deposit of Rs.2580/- as requisite fee @ 2/- per page. He states the information should be supplied free of cost to him as the statutory period of 30 days has elapsed.

3. The representative of the respondents states that the appellant has sought information from the BDPO, Bhogpur vide their letter dated 30.4.2018 and the information was to be provided by Gram Panchayat Tandi. BDPO, Bhogpur. BDPO, Bhogpur transferred the letter to Panchayat Secretary, Bhogpur under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 for providing the information. They wrote a letter dated 01.06.2018 to the appellant with the request to deposit Rs. 2580/- so that information can be supplied.

4. After hearing the parties and going through the record available on the case file, it is revealed that the appellant has not sought information from the custodian of the record. It was the duty of the appellant to seek information from the right person, who is having the same. The appellant is advised to deposit Rs.2580/- and get the information from the respondents. On receipt of the fee, the respondents are directed to supply the same. With these observations, the present case is **disposed of** and **closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated : 05.09.2019

(Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sahib Singh,
S/o Sh. Raja Ram,
Vill: Tandi,
P.O: Laroya,
Distt: Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat,
Vill: Tandi, P.O: Laroya,
District- Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Bhogpur,
District- Jalandhar.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case .No. 2836 of 2019

Present:- Shri Sahib Singh, appellant.

Shri Paramjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 12.04.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 10.06.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 06.08.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

Contd.....p/2

2. The appellant states that till date no information has been provided to him by the respondents. He further states that he has sent a registered letter, which was refused by the Sarpanch. Sarpanch is neither present nor intimation has been received regarding his absence. He further states that First Appellate Authority has also not taken any action on his first appeal

3. The representative of the respondents states that ten days time be given to him for providing the information as per its availability in their record under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

4. None is present on behalf of the Sarpanch without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO is directed to take appropriate action on his application for seeking information and send reply under intimation to the appellant with a copy to the Commission before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

5. To come up on **1.10.2019** at **3.30 P.M.** through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar.

Dated : 05.09.2019

(Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Smt. Varinder Kaur Dhanoa,
H.No. 490, Urban Estate Phase 1,
Jalandhar 9501456703

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer
Sub Registrar-II,
Amritsar

First Appellate Authority
o/o Deputy Commissioner,
Amritsar.

.....Respondents.

Appeal Case No. 1829 of 2019

Present:- Smt. Varinder Kaur Dhanoa appellant in person.

None on behalf of the Respondents

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.08.2019 vide which the respondents were is directed to provide certified copies of the documents attached for issuing marriage certificate before the next date of hearing.

2. None is present on behalf of the respondents without intimation.

3. The appellant states no information has been provided to her. She submits written statement and enquiry report finalized by Assistant Commission (Grievances), Amritsar, which are taken on the record of the case file. The necessary contents of her statement are as under:-

1. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਅਪੀਲ ਵਿਚ ਰਜਿਸਟਰਾਰ ਆਫ ਮੈਰਿਜ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ 2 ਨੂੰ ਪਾਰਟੀ ਬਣਾਇਆ ਸੀ ਨਾਂ ਕਿ ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟਰੇਟ 2 ਨੂੰ।

Contd.....p/2

2. ਮੈਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੇ ਤਹਿਤ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਮਿਤੀ ਦਸੀ ਗਈ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਾਂ ਮਿਲਣ ਤੇ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਵਿਚਾਰ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੈ। ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਮਿਤੀ 5.9.23009 ਨੂੰ ਨਿਸ਼ਚਿਤ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ।

3. ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟਰੇਟ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਨੇ ਮਿਤੀ 22.8.2019 ਨੂੰ ਪੇਸ਼ ਹੋ ਕਿ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਉਲਟ ਤੱਥ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੇ ਹਨ ਜੋ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੇ ਉਲਟ ਹਨ। ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਧਿਆਨ ਵਿਚ ਲਿਆਉਣਾ ਚਾਹੁੰਦੀ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਰਿਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰਡ ਕਰਦੇ ਸਮੇਂ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਪੂਰੀ ਪ੍ਰੀਕ੍ਰਿਆ ਜਿਵੇਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਦਸਖਤ ਫਾਰਮ ਨੂੰ 'ਏ' ਅਤੇ ਦੋਹਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਵਲੋਂ ਬਿਆਨ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੇ ਸਬੂਤ, ਗਵਾਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨ, ਪਹਿਲੇ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੇ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੇ ਪੇਪਰ, ਅਤੇ ਦੋਹਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਵਲੋਂ 2-2 ਗਵਾਹ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਫੋਟੋਆਂ ਸਬ ਫਾਇਲ ਤਿਆਰ ਹੋਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਫੀਸ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਹੋਣ ਤੇ ਰਜਿਸਟਰਾਰ ਮੈਰਿਜ ਵਲੋਂ ਗਵਾਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨ ਅਤੇ ਦੋਹਾਂ ਪਾਰਟੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕਰਨ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਰਜਿਸਟਰਾਰ ਆਫ ਮੈਰਿਜ ਵਲੋਂ ਅੰਤਿਮ ਫੈਸਲਾ ਲੈਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਫਾਰਮ ਨੂੰ 'ਡੀ' ਮੈਰਿਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰਡ ਕਰਕੇ ਦੋਹਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਰਟੀਫਿਕੇਟ ਦਿਤੇ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਉਪਰ ਆਪਣੇ ਸਾਈਨ ਕਰਕੇ ਪੂਰੀ ਤਿਆਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਫਾਈਲ ਆਪਣੇ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਸਬੂਤ ਵਜੋਂ ਰਖ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਇਕ ਮੈਰਿਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਤੋਂ ਐਂਟਰੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ। ਜੋ ਹਰ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਦੀ ਮੈਰਿਜ ਫਾਈਲ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਨਿਯਮ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਅਤੇ ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਸਰਟੀਫਾਈਡ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਥਰੂ ਮੁਹਈਆਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ। ਪਰ ਮੇਰੇ ਕੇਸ ਵਿਚ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿਤਾ ਜਾ ਰਹੀ। ਸਗੋਂ ਇਕ ਉਚਿਤ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੇਰੇ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਤੱਥਾਂ ਦੇ ਉਲਟ ਬਿਆਨ ਬਾਜ਼ੀ ਕਰਕੇ ਮੇਰੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਦੇ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਉਲਜਾਇਆ ਜਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ। ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੇ ਨਾਲ ਸਰਾ ਸਰ ਧੰਕਾ ਅਤੇ ਬੇਇਨਸਾਫੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਦਫ਼ਤਰੀ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਨੂੰ ਖੁਰਦ ਬੁਰਦ ਕਰਨ ਦੀਆਂ ਚਾਲਾਂ ਹਨ।

ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਪਾਸ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦੀ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੇ ਕੇਸ ਵਿਚ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਾਂ ਦੇਣ ਤੇ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀਆਂ, ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀਆਂ ਦੇ ਖਿਲਾਫ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਖੁਰਦ ਬੁਰਦ ਕਰਨ ਦੇ ਖਿਲਾਫ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀਆਂ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀਆਂ ਦੇ ਖਿਲਾਫ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਅਤੇ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਨੂੰ ਲਿਖਣ ਦੀ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਾਲਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜਾਂ ਮੇਰੇ ਵਲੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ ਦੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਮੇਰੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਿਵਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਾਲਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

ਮੈਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਾਂ ਮਿਲਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਕੋਈ ਬਨਲੀਫਿਟਸ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲ ਰਹੇ, ਨਾਂ ਕੋਈ ਪੈਨਸ਼ਨ ਅਤੇ ਨਾਂ ਹੀ ਮੇਰੇ ਘਰ ਦੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਨੂੰ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਨੋਕਰੀ। ਮੇਰੇ ਕੋਲ ਤਿੰਨ ਬਚੇ ਹਨ, ਇਕ ਬੇਟੀ 27 ਸਾਲ, ਇਕ 90 ਪ੍ਰਤੀਸ਼ਤ ਡਿਸਐਬਲਿਟੀ ਬਚਾ ਅਤੇ ਇਕ ਮਾਇਨਰ ਬਚਾ ਮੇਹਰ ਬਾਨੀ ਕਰਕੇ ਸਾਡੀ ਹਕ ਰਸੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ। ਸਾਨੂੰ ਇਨਸਾਫ ਲੈਣ ਲਈ ਉਹ ਫਾਈਲ ਬੇਹਦ ਜਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ ਅਸੀਂ ਇਹ ਫਾਈਲ ਬਾਰ ਬਾਰ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਪਾ ਕੇ ਖੁਦ ਜਾ ਕੇ 2017 ਤੋਂ ਲਗਾਤਾਰ ਕੋਸ਼ਿਸ਼ ਕਰ ਰਹੇ ਹਾਂ। ਜੋ ਇਹ ਦੇ ਨਹੀਂ ਰਹੇ। ਇਹ ਕਿਸੇ ਇਨਕੁਆਰੀ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਫਾਈਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿਖਾ ਰਹੇ। ਕਹਿ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਹਨ ਕਿ ਲਭ ਨਹੀਂ ਰਹੀ। ਇਹ ਫਾਈਲ ਤਹਿਸੀਲਦਾਰ ਮਨਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਸਿਦੂ ਅਤੇ ਅਰਵਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਕਲਰਕ ਲੇ ਮਿਲ ਕੇ ਆਸੇ ਪਾਸੇ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ। ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੇ ਸਹੁਰਿਆਂ ਦੇ ਬਹੁਤ ਨੇੜੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਨਹੀਂ ਲੈਣ ਦੇ ਰਹੇ। ਇਹ ਵਾਰ ਵਾਰ ਕਹਿੰਦੇ ਹਨ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਦੇਖ ਲਓ। ਪਰ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਤੋਂ ਸਾਡਾ ਮਸਲਾ ਹਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੁੰਦਾ ਮੇਹਰ ਬਾਨੀ ਕਰਕੇ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਸਾਰੀ ਫਾਈਲ ਦੀਆਂ ਸਰਟੀਫਾਈਡ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿਵਾਈਆਂ ਜਾਣ। ਸਾਰੇ ਸਬੂਤ ਗਵਾਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨ ਪੂਰੀ ਫਾਈਲ। ਅਸੀਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਆ ਕੇ ਲੈ ਜਾਵਾਂਗੇ। ਸਾਨੂੰ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕੁਝ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲਣਾ ਸਗੋਂ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਉਲਟ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਦੇ ਦੇਣਗੇ। ਸਾਡੇ ਤੋਂ ਤਰਸ ਦੇ ਆਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਮਦਦ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ। ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਬਹੁਤ ਮੇਹਰਬਾਨੀ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ।

4. After hearing the appellant and going through the record available on the case file, it is observed that the appellant is suffering a lot due to non supply of information by the respondents. The public authority is directed to take compassionate view and decide the matter, when the inquiry clearly established that some wrong has been done.

5. To come up for further proceedings of the case on **01.10.2019 at 12.00 noon at Chandigarh.**

Chandigarh
Dated 05.09.2019

(Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

CC

The Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar for sympathetically considering the matter and helping the appellant in getting the information.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sukhwinder Singh,
S/o Sh. Daljit Singh,
Vill: Majra, Tehsil: Kharar,
Distt: Mohali.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Majri,
Distt: SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,
District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Mohali.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case .No. 2763 of 2019

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Yadvinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.08.2019 vide which the representative of the respondents was directed to provide the information as assured by him within three days positively and intimate the Commission after providing the information through e-mail.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation.

3. The representative of the respondents places on record a copy of the information said to have been sent to the appellant through registered post. He further states that he has brought one more copy to be handed over to the appellant

Contd.....p/2

-2-

during the hearing. He further states that complete information has been sent to the appellant nothing has been kept pending which could be supplied to him as per his application for seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005.

4. After hearing the representative of the respondents and perusing copy of information said to have been sent to the appellant. On receipt of the information from the respondents, the appellant is advised to go through the same and revert back to the authorities, in case of deficiencies in the information, with a copy to the Commission at the earliest. On receipt of the deficiencies from the appellant, the respondents are directed to remove the same before the next date of hearing, so that the matter could be decided.

5. To come up on **1.10.2019** at **11.00 A.M.** at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh.
05.09.2019

(Suresh Arora),
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Paramjit Singh,
S/o Sh. Ram Singh,
Vill: Majra Manna Singh Wala,
Tehsil:Amlah,
Distt: Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Tehsildar, Khanna,
Distt: Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
Additional Deputy Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case .No. 2762 of 2019

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.08.2019 vide which the respondents were directed to provide the information after charging the fees under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

2. None is present on behalf of the appellant.

3. The respondents are absent but he has sent in writing that as per the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal Case No.6434 of 2011 it

Contd.....p/2

is clearly mentioned that it is not obligatory on the PIO to create an information as per the proforma devised by the appellant under Section 6 of the RTI Act, 2005.

4. After going through the record available in the case file and observations of the respondents, the Commission is satisfied with plea taken by the respondents. The appellant is advised to get copies of the documents as are available in the record of the respondents by filing a fresh application under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Hence, the present case is **disposed of** and **closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh.
05.09.2019

(Suresh Arora),
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Krishan Kumar Singla,
House No. 195/2, Sector-45 A,
Chandigarh

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
General Administration Department (Secretariat Establishment-1 Branch),
Punjab Civil Secretariat,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
General Administration Department (Secretariat Establishment-1 Branch),
Punjab Civil Secretariat,
Chandigarh.Respondents.

Appeal Case .No. 2501 of 2019

Present:- Shri Krishan Kumar Singla, appellant, in person.

Shri Kamal Sharma, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.08.2019 vide which the respondents were directed to send their submissions relating to third party information to the Commission with a copy to the appellant. On receipt of the same from the respondents, the appellant was advised to file his observations after going through the same and letter dated 27.8.2019 handed over to him during the hearing by the respondents within week's time.

Contd.....p/2

-2-

2. In compliance to the previous order, the respondents have sent their reply vide their letter dated 29.08.2019 to the Commission with a copy to the appellant. In response to that the appellant submits his observations during the hearing, which is taken on record of the case file with a copy to the respondents.

3. The respondents are directed to peruse the same and file submission in the Commission with a copy to the appellant. on or before the next date of hearing i.e.1.10.2019.

4. To come up on **1.10.2019** at **12.30 P.M.** for further proceedings in the matter.

Chandigarh.
05.09.2019

(Suresh Arora),
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864100-101, Fax 0172 2864110

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Hardev Singh,
S/o Sh. Sadhu Singh,
Village- Ramuwal,
Tehsil: Mehatpur,
District- Jalandhar.

.....Appellant
Vs

Public Information Officer,
DDPO, Jalandhar.

PIO/Executive Engineer,
Panchayati Raj, Jalandhar.

.....Respondents

Complaint Case No. 666 of 2019

Present:- Shri Hardev Singh complainant in person.
None on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.08.2019 vide which the Executive Engineer, Panchayati Raj, Jalandhar was made necessary party and Block Development and Panchayats Officer, Mehatpur was also directed to his knowledge of him to appear with original record.

2. The complainant states that no information has been received till date.
3. None is present on behalf of the respondents without intimation. Accordingly, viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, one last opportunity is afforded to the PIO to take appropriate action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 and inform the complainant with a copy to the Commission. failing which punitive action under the relevant provisions will be initiated against him.
4. To come up on 1.10.2019 at 3.30 P.M. to be heard through Video Conference Facility available in the Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar's office.

Dated : 05.09.2019

(**Suresh Arora**)
Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab