**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Manjeet Singh S/o Late Amar Singh**

R/o 4780, Shiva Ji Nagar

Ludhiana – (9855302896) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Local Bodies Minister

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 875 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh on behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Gagandeep Singh (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Gagandeep Singh states that RTI application has not been received in their office.
2. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh is present for today’s hearing discussed with the respondent regarding the RTI application.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that RTI application was with the respondent, Sh. Gagandeep Singh during the hearing and on the last hearing held on 04.12.2017, RTI application was handed over to the respondents (Sh. Jasvir Singh, Superintendent and Sh. Abhishek, Senior Assistant) during the hearing. It is observed that respondent PIO is wasting the time and resources of the Commission and Public. Last opportunity is given to the respondent to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing.
4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Tejinder Singh**

Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh

Chd. Road, Ludhiana. (9041004313). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Superintendent Municipal Services Cell,

Municipal Bhawan, Block 3,

Sector 35 A, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Directorate, Local Bodies

Sector 35 A Punjab Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2499 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. D. P. Verma (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
2. On this, respondent, Sh. D. P. Verma states that requisite information relates with Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Hoshiarpur A letter was sent to the concerned office to retrieve the requisite information but no response has been received from the concerned office. He also states that a reminder letter was also sent to the Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Hoshiarpur but no response till date.

He submits a copy of reminder letter vide memo no. 78292 dated 22.12.2017 addressed to “The Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Hoshiarpur” signed by PIO cum Superintendent, which is taken on record.

1. After examining the case file and hearing the respondent concerned, it is found that a letter is on record which has been received in the Commission from the respondent PIO vide diary no. 27866 dated 06.12.2017 addressed to The Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Hoshiapur signed by PIO cum Superintendent to collect the requisite information. I am of the view that **PIO, Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Hoshiarpur** be impleaded as necessary party in this case. A copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana along with RTI request through registered post for his ready reference.

1/2

**Appeal Case No. 2499 of 2017**

1. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)**

**Public Information Officer (By Name)**

O/o Commissioner,

Nagar Nigam,

Hoshiarpur

**Encl:** RTI request.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2553 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Manjeet Singh (Superintendent cum PIO) along with Sh. Mandeep Singh (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
2. Respondent, Sh. Manjeet Singh states that RTI application has already been transferred to the respondent PIO, O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana.

He submits a written reply memo no. 2212 dated 27.10.2017 signed by him as Public Information Officer stating that RTI application of the applicant has transferred under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana dated 17.07.2017, which is taken on record.

He also submits a copy of a letter no.1450 dated 17.07.2017 signed by him as Public Information Officer cum Superintendent addressed to The Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana, which is also taken on record.

1. After examining the case file and hearing the respondent concerned I am of the view that **PIO, Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana** be impleaded as necessary party in this case. A copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana along with RTI request through registered post for his ready reference.
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**Appeal Case No. 2553 of 2017**

1. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)**

**Public Information Officer (By Name)**

O/o Commissioner,

Nagar Nigam,

Ludhiana

**Encl:** RTI request.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2554 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Manjeet Singh (Superintendent) along with Sh. Mandeep Singh (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
2. Respondent, Sh. Manjeet Singh states that RTI application has already been transferred to the respondent PIO, O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana.

He submits a written reply memo no. 2213 dated 27.10.2017 signed by him as Public Information Officer stating that RTI application of the applicant has transferred under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana dated 17.07.2017, which is taken on record.

He also submits a copy of a letter no.1446 dated 17.07.2017 signed by him as Public Information Officer cum Superintendent addressed to The Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana, which is also taken on record.

1. After examining the case file and hearing the respondent concerned I am of the view that **PIO, Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana** be impleaded as necessary party in this case. A copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana along with RTI request through registered post for his ready reference.
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**Appeal Case No. 2554 of 2017**

1. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)**

**Public Information Officer (By Name)**

O/o Commissioner,

Nagar Nigam,

Ludhiana

**Encl:** RTI request.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2555 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 15.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 03.01.2018**

**Present:** Advocate, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Manjeet Singh (Superintendent) along with Sh. Mandeep Singh (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Manjeet Singh handed over an affidavit to the representative of the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar during the hearing as per the last order of the Commission dated 04.12.2017.
2. After going through the affidavit, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar discussed with the respondent regarding the requisite information.
3. On this, respondent, Sh. Manjeet Singh states that file relates with requisite information not found in the official record and no FIR has been filed for it.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, I am of the view that affidavit fulfill all the aspects of the RTI application and no cause of action is required in this case further. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2556 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. D.P. Verma (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. D. P. Verma states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant and on the last date of hearing, representative of the applicant requested to file reply.
2. On this, representative of the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar requested for an adjournment in this case to file reply.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that on the last hearing held on 04.12.2017, respondent Sh. D. P. Verma submits a copy of written reply addressed to the applicant, Sh. Karandeep Singh KAiron dated 04.10.2017 signed by PIO cum Superintendent along with postal receipt. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar stated that applicant has received information through registered post from the respondent and requested for an adjournment to file reply in this regard but the applicant failed to do so.
4. Last opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon to file reply on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which case would be closed on the next adte of hearing.
5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2592 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Manjeet Singh (Superintendent) along with Sh. Mandeep Singh (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
2. Respondent, Sh. Manjeet Singh states that RTI application has already been transferred to the respondent PIO, O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana.

He submits a written reply memo no. 2211 dated 27.10.2017 signed by him as Public Information Officer stating that RTI application of the applicant has transferred under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana dated 17.07.2017, which is taken on record.

He also submits a copy of a letter no.1448 dated 17.07.2017 signed by him as Public Information Officer cum Superintendent addressed to The Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana, which is also taken on record.

1. After examining the case file and hearing the respondent concerned I am of the view that **PIO, Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana** be impleaded as necessary party in this case. A copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana along with RTI request through registered post for his ready reference.
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**Appeal Case No. 2592 of 2017**

1. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)**

**Public Information Officer (By Name)**

O/o Commissioner,

Nagar Nigam,

Ludhiana

**Encl:** RTI request.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2593 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Manjeet Singh (Superintendent) along with Sh. Mandeep Singh (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
2. Respondent, Sh. Manjeet Singh states that RTI application has already been transferred to the respondent PIO, O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana.

He submits a written reply memo no. 2206 dated 26.10.2017 signed by him as Public Information Officer stating that RTI application of the applicant has transferred under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana dated 17.07.2017, which is taken on record.

He also submits a copy of a letter no.1444 dated 17.07.2017 signed by him as Public Information Officer cum Superintendent addressed to The Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana, which is also taken on record.

1. After examining the case file and hearing the respondent concerned I am of the view that **PIO, Office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana** be impleaded as necessary party in this case. A copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana along with RTI request through registered post for his ready reference.
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**Appeal Case No. 2593 of 2017**

1. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)**

**Public Information Officer (By Name)**

O/o Commissioner,

Nagar Nigam,

Ludhiana

**Encl:** RTI request.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri Advocate**

Opposite Nehru Park,

Jaiton (94176-12036). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate

Sub Divisional Complex, Jaiton.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate

Sub Divisional Complex, Jaiton. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2419 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Kulwinder Singh (Clerk).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Kulwinder Singh brought the dispatch register as per the last order of the Commission. He also submits a copy of letter no. 33 dated 25.04.2017 addressed to the applicant, Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri signed by PIO cum SDM, Jaiton regarding the documentation fee of Rs. 70/-, which is taken on record.
2. Applicant, Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri is present for today’s hearing states that no letter has been received from the respondent PIO regarding the documentation fee.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the applicant free of cost before the next adte of hearing.
4. Applicant, Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri is advised to go through the information, once he has received the requisite information from the respondent and point out deficiency, if any, in written to the respondent PIO along with a copy to the Commission.
5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri Advocate**

Opposite Nehru Park,

Jaiton (94176-12036). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate

Sub Divisional Complex, Jaiton.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate

Sub Divisional Complex, Jaiton. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2420 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Kulwinder Singh (Clerk).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Kulwinder Singh brought the dispatch register as per the last order of the Commission. He submits a copy of letter no. 39 dated 15.06.2017 addressed to the applicant, Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri signed by PIO cum SDM, Jaiton, which is taken on record.
2. On this, applicant, Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining, I am of the view that despite of various opportunities to the respondent PIO and due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the applicant has suffered a lot detriments for not getting the requisite information even after depositing the documentation fee. I am of view that compensation be awarded to the applicant, Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri.
4. Hence, I award a compensation of 2000/- (Two Thousand) to the applicant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque of demand draft in favour of Satish Kumar Bhiri from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual’s account.
5. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of cheque and demand draft on the next date of hearing.
6. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to appear in person, who is Sh. Sukhdev Singh, Superintendent as per the respondent, Sh. Kulwinder Singh on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case positively. A copy of this order be sent to him for his ready reference.
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**Appeal Case No. 2420 of 2017**

1. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)**

**Sh. Sukhdev Singh (Public Information Officer)**

O/o Superintendent

Sub Divisional Complex, Jaiton.
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg**

S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,

House no. B- 3/287, Roman Street,

Ward No. 12, Jaiton. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o EO, Nagar Council,

Jaiton.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director, Local Govt.,

Ferozepur Cantt. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2286 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Indergurpreet Singh (PIO).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Indergurpreet Singh states that documentation fee was demanded from the applicant of Rs. 6,000/- but applicant has not deposited the documentation fee till date.
2. Applicant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg states he has received the letter regarding the documentation fee and requested the Commission to allow him to inspect the official record.
3. After hearing and discussing with both the parties, respondent PIO states that may be he will not available in the office as he has additional charge with him. Respondent PIO is directed to depute an official an official so that applicant could inspect the official record on **08.01.2018 at 11.00 am**. Applicant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg is directed to visit the respondent’s office and inspect the official record as per his RTI application on the fixed date and time.
4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg**

S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,

House no. B- 3/287, Roman Street,

Ward No. 12, Jaiton. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o EO, Nagar Council,

Jaiton.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director, Local Govt.,

Ferozepur Cantt. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2287 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Indergurpreet Singh (PIO).

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg states that after depositing the documentation fee of Rs. 400/-, no information has been supplied to him by the respondent till date.
2. After querying from the respondent PIO, he admits that documentation fee was received from the applicant dated 27.02.2017.
3. I am of the view that despite of various opportunities to the respondent PIO and due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the applicant has suffered a lot detriments for not getting the requisite information even after depositing the documentation fee. I am of view that compensation be awarded to the applicant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg.
4. Hence, I award a compensation of 2,000/- (Two Thousand) to the applicant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque of demand draft in favour of Lajpat Rai Garg from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual’s account.
5. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of cheque and demand draft on the next date of hearing.
6. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to depute an official to supply the requisite information to the applicant on his visit on **08.01.2018 at 11:00 am** as respondent PIO informed that may be he will not available in the office due to additional charge.
7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
8. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg**

S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,

House no. B- 3/287, Roman Street,

Ward No. 12, Jaiton. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o EO, Nagar Council,

Jaiton.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director, Local Govt.,

Ferozepur Cantt. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2288 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Indergurpreet Singh (PIO).

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg states that after depositing the documentation fee of Rs. 200/-, no information has been supplied to him by the respondent till date.
2. After querying from the respondent PIO, he admits that documentation fee was received from the applicant.
3. I am of the view that despite of various opportunities to the respondent PIO and due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the applicant has suffered a lot detriments for not getting the requisite information even after depositing the documentation fee. I am of view that compensation be awarded to the applicant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg.
4. Hence, I award a compensation of 1500/- (Fifteen Hundred) to the applicant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque of demand draft in favour of Lajpat Rai Garg from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual’s account.
5. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of cheque and demand draft on the next date of hearing.
6. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to depute an official to supply the requisite information to the applicant on his visit on **08.01.2018 at 11:00 am** as respondent PIO informed that may be he will not available in the office due to additional charge.
7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
8. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg,**

Sh. Harbans Lal, House No. B-3/287,

Romana Street, Jaiton (98142-30616). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o EO, Municipal Corporation,

Jaiton.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director, Local,

Mini Secretariat, Ferozepur. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2490 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Indergurpreet Singh (PIO).

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO till date. He further states that he was marked absent on the hearing held on 13.11.2017 but he has sent a letter to the Commission.
2. Respondent, Sh. Indergurpreet Singh is present for today’s hearing.
3. After hearing and discussing with both the parties, respondent PIO states that may be he will not available in the office as he has additional charge with him. Respondent PIO is directed to depute an official so that applicant could inspect the official record on **08.01.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
4. Applicant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg is directed to visit the respondent’s office and inspect the official record as per his RTI application on the fixed date and time.
5. After examining the case file, it is found that a letter is on record which was received from the applicant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg in the Commission vide diary no. 25575 dated 09.11.2017 requesting to fix the present case on the 04.12.2017 through Video Conference as other appeals of the applicant were fixed for hearing through video conference on 04.12.2017 but it was not possible as notice was already issued for the present case for today’s hearing.
6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.02.2018 at 11:30 AM.**
7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 03.01.2018 State Information Commissioner**