**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta (Advocate)**

8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

District Ludhiana-14110. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o EO, Municipal Council,

Mullanpur Dakha,

District Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director,

Local Bodies, Mini Secretariat,

Ludhiana. Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 1472 of 2016**

**Present:** Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Amarjeet Singh (Inspector).

 **ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta states that he had visited the respondent’s office but clerk was not present in the evening so official record was not inspected.
2. Respondent, Sh. Amarjeet Singh states that applicant visited the respondent’s office at the time of closing and inspection will take nearly 3-4 hours. He assures that he will provide the official record for inspection on the applicant’s visit.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that despite of several opportunities applicant, he is not mutually coordinating with the respondent’s office. One more opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta to visit the respondent’s office tomorrow i.e. **03.11.2017 at 10:00 am** and inspect the official record as per his RTI application, failing to which case would be closed on the next date of hearing. Both the parties are advised to co-ordinate.
4. Both the parties mutually agreed on it and matter is adjourned for further hearing on **21.11.2017 at 11:30 A.M.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta (Advocate)**

8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

District Ludhiana-14110. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o EO, Municipal Council,

Mullanpur Dakha,

District Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director,

Local Bodies, Mini Secretariat,

Ludhiana. Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 1473 of 2016**

**Present:** Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Amarjeet Singh (Inspector).

 **ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta states that he had visited the respondent’s office but clerk was not present in the evening so official record was not inspected.
2. Respondent, Sh. Amarjeet Singh states that applicant visited the respondent’s office at the time of closing and inspection will take nearly 3-4 hours. He assures that he will provide the official record for inspection on the applicant’s visit.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that despite of several opportunities applicant, he is not mutually coordinating with the respondent’s office. One more opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta to visit the respondent’s office tomorrow i.e. **03.11.2017 at 10:00 am** and inspect the official record as per his RTI application, failing to which case would be closed on the next date of hearing. Both the parties are advised to co-ordinate.
4. Both the parties mutually agreed on it and matter is adjourned for further hearing on **21.11.2017 at 11:30 A.M.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Ms. Updesh Kanta C/o Sh. Amit Salaria,**

Mundian kalan, GTB Nagar, H.No. 83 – B,

Chandigarh Road, Near Sunrise Play-way School,

Ludhiana 141008 (94172-81578). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Technical Education

And Industrial Training Punjab,

Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Technical Education

And Industrial Training Punjab,

Chandigarh. Respondent

 **APPEAL CASE NO. 1739 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 29.06.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.11.2017**

**Present:** Ms. Updesh kanta, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Rashpal Singh, Senior Assistant.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Rashpal Singh submitted a written reply letter no. 1572 dated 02.11.2017 signed by PIO, O/o Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training Punjab along with a copy of letter no. 4896 dated 01.11.2017 (signed by Assistant Director) and copy of self declaration (signed by Ms. Jaspal Kaur, Senior Assistant), which are taken on record.
2. Applicant, Ms. Updesh Kanta is present for today’s hearing states that she is satisfied with the supplied information/reply.
3. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Ashish, S/o Sh. Nand Lal,**

C/o Sh. Lalit Rishi, K. No. 120, Sector-4,

Mansa Devi Complex,

Panchkula Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director Technical Education and Industrial

Training Plot No. 1 A, Sector-36-A,

Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director Technical Education and Industrial

Training Plot No. 1 A, Sector-36-A,

Chandigarh Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1886 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 29.06.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.11.2017**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Rashpal Singh, Senior Assistant.

 **ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Rashpal Singh states that no deficiency has been pointed out by the applicant till date.
2. Applicant, Sh. Ashish is not present for today’s hearing but a letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 24626 dated 31.10.2017 stating that information comprising 196 pages have been supplied to him by the respondent PIO and requested for an adjournment. It is taken on record.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that on the hearing held on 09.10.2017 applicant intimated the Commission through letter that he has received the information comprising more than 100 pages and requested for an adjournment.

On the request of applicant, matter was adjourned for further hearing **31.10.2017 at 11:00 AM** with the advice to the applicant to go through the supplied information and point out deficiency, if any, in the supplied information, in written to the respondent under the intimation to the Commission. He was also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant.

1/2

**Appeal Case No. 1886 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 29.06.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.11.2017**

Hearing which was fixed for 31.10.2017 was postponed and fixed for hearing on 02.11.2017 at 11:30 A.M. i.e. today but the applicant, Sh. Ashish failed to point out any specific/particular deficiency in the supplied information.

1. In wake of above, no cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Terjinder Singh**

Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141010 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Municipal Corporation,

Moga

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Municipal Corporation,

Moga Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1908 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Gurcahran Singh, Tax Inspector.

 **ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Gurcahran Singh states that whatever information was available in the official record has already been supplied to him. He also mentioned that RTI application of the applicant was received in the office dated 08.08.2016 and information/reply was sent to the applicant dated 22.08.2016.
2. Applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh is not present for today’s hearing but a letter and an email have been received in the Commission vide diary no. 24776 dated 01.11.2017 and vide diary no. 24774 dated 01.11.2017 regarding the deficiencies in the supplied information. It is taken on record.
3. After discussing with the respondent regarding the deficiencies pointed out by the applicant, respondent Sh. Gurcharan Singh states that pending information relates with Building Branch.
4. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, respondent PIO is directed to collect the pending information from the concerned branch and supply it to the applicant by the next date of hearing positively.
5. Applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh is advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant.
6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **21.11.2017 at 11:30 A.M.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Karnail Singh**

**S/o Sh. Gurdeep Singh**

R/o Village Farid Kera, Tehsil Malout,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Divisional Engineer, Water Supply

and Sanitation, Malout,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o XEN, Water Supply

and Sanitation, Malout,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1998 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 24.07.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.11.2017**

**Present:** Sh. Karnail Singh, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Harpreet Singh, Junior Engineer.

 **ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Karnail Singh pointed out deficiencies in the supplied information during the hearing. He also states that material was given by the Sarpanch.
2. On this, respondent, Sh. Harpreet Singh clarify point wise regarding the supplied information to the applicant during the hearing. He also states that department cannot do advance payment to the beneficiary. He further added that process is going on as per the Central’s Process note. He handed over a copy of process note to the applicant during the hearing.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that all the perspectives are fulfilled as per the RTI application with the supplied information and no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Jiwan Goyal**

House No. 1193, Street No. 7,

Vedant Nagar, Moga-142001 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o I.K.Gujral Punjab Tehcnical University,

Near Science City, Kapurthala Road,

Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o I.K.Gujral Punjab Tehcnical University,

Near Science City, Kapurthala Road,

Jalandhar Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2003 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jiwan Goyal, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Advocate Piyush Khanna along with Sh. Gagandeep Singh, Senior Assistant.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Advocate Piyush Khanna handed over a copy of notification regarding salary to the applicant during the hearing. He also handed over copies of the following:
* A copy of letter no. 4053 dated 27.10.2017 signed by Sh. Gaganjot Singh, State Public Information Officer (College Development) cum Assistant Registrar.
* A copy of an affidavit by Sh. Amit Sharma, Chairman of Amritsar International Foundation Trust, Amritsar dated 21.07.2016.
* A copy of an affidavit by Sh. Vijay Kumar Gupta, Chairman of Ludhiana College of Engineering & Technology, Katani Kalan, Ludhiana dated 22.07.2016.

Copies of above mentioned documents taken on record except notification regarding salary.

1. After going through the supplied information/documents, applicant, Sh. Jiwan Goyal demanded the original affidavits and letter with attestation.
2. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, respondent PIO is directed either to supply the original affidavits or copies of affidavits with attestation by the concerned respondent PIO.

 Respondent PIO is also directed to provide the attested copy of letter no. 4053 dated 27.10.2017 by the next date of hearing positively.

1. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.11.2017 at 11:30 A.M.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Sunil Mallan (Adv)**

Booth No. 14- B,

Sector-47-C,

Chandigarh Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Nodal Officer, I.K.Gujral Punjab Tehcnical University,

Near Science City, Kapurthala Road,

Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Registrar, I.K.Gujral Punjab Tehcnical University,

Near Science City, Kapurthala Road,

Jalandhar Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2021 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Kamal Kant on behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Advocate Piyush Khanna along with Sh. Hardeep Singh, Assistant Registrar and Sh. Surjeet Singh, Senior Assistant.

 **ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Kamal Kant states that partial information has been received from the respondent PIO.

He mentioned that information in connection with point no. 1 and 2 has been received from the respondent.

He further added that information in connection with point no. 3 is major information and information in connection with point no. 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 is also still pending form the respondent.

1. On this, respondent Sh. Hardeep Singh states that information in connection with point no. 3 is maintained in the office record manually from the year 2005 to 2011 and from the year 2011 it is available online. He further added that it relates with 45 lakh students. He also mentioned that applicant can inspect or specify the required information.
2. Advocate Piyush Khanna states that applicant has not exhausted the channel of First Appellate Authority.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that applicant has exhausted the channel of First Appeal and it is on record. It is also observed that RTI application of the applicant is not specific as applicant has not demanded the information specifically. Applicant, Sh. Sunil Mallan is advised to send in written to the respondent PIO within one week along with a copy to the Commission regarding the specific/particular information he needs with the time period.

1/2

**Appeal Case No. 2021 of 2017**

1. After discussing with Respondent, respondent Sh. Hardeep Singh is agreed to supply the information regarding third point from the current year i.e. 2017 and afterwards 2016 and so on.
2. Both the parties are mutually agreed on it and matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.11.2017 at 11.30 A.M.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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