**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Jaswinder Singh,**

R/o 22, Flower Dale Colony,

Barewal Raod, Ludhiana (98760-64751) Complainant

Versus

**Assistant Public Information Officer**

O/o SDE, W/Sand Sanitation,

Sub-Division Chowgawan at Amritsar.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, W/Sand Sanitation,

Sub-Division, No. -1, Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o SE, W/Sand Sanitation,

Circle Amritsar. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 929 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswinder Singh, the complainant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Ashish Tonk APIO cum SDO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Harpal Singh Sodhi, the complainant states that no affidavit has been provided till date as directed in previous order. He further added that the respondent PIO has deliberately delayed in providing information with mala fide intension. He also requested the Commission that for compensating him against delays in determinants for getting information in time. He also submitted a written submission in the form of affidavit showing his dissatisfaction, which is taken on record.
2. Sh. Ashish Tonk APIO cum SDO states that reply/information has been given on 16.03.2018 and submitted a written reply which is taken on record.
3. On the request of complainant for compensation delays in providing information, it is bring into his notice that compensation is not awarded in complaint case (Refer Judgment of Hon’ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 (arising out of SLP(C) No.32768-32769/2018) – Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that neither Sh. Ashish Tonk APIO cum SDO is aware of subject matter of this case nor did the respondent PIO has filed an affidavit as directed in previous order dated 19.03.2018, therefore the respondent PIO is one more opportunity to provided to the respondent PIO with directions to provide affidavit as directed in previous order dated 19.03.2018 and **also to appear in person before the imposition of such penalty under section 20(1) of RTI act on next date of hearing**.
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**Complaint Case No. 929 of 2017**

1. The first Appellate Authority SE, W/Sand Sanitation, Circle Amritsar is also advised to make sure for
2. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.05.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Ms. Harjeet Kaur, W/o Sh. Gurdeep Singh**

R/o Ward No. 12, House No. 107,

Anaj Mandi, Kurali, Mohali (90410-62481) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDE, W/S and Sanitation,

Sub-Division Bassi Pathana,

At Fatehgarh Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o SE, W/Sand Sanitation,

Patiala. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 939 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 11.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.05.2018**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of complainant.

Sh. Maninder Pal Singh, SDO present as respondent.

**ORDER**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from email id triveniphotostates@gmail.com along with letter signed by the complainant Ms. Harjeet Kaur requesting therein for her exemption in today’s hearing and also requesting therein for an adjournment.
2. Sh. Maninder Pal Singh, SDO states that requisite information has already been provided to the complainant on 19.01.2018 and also submits a letter signed by SDE, W/S and Sanitation, Sub-Division Bassi Pathana, At Fatehgarh Sahib dated 19.01.2018 mentioning therein that requisite information has been inspected by the complainant and respondent has also provided information specified by the complainant during inspection. This letter is also signed by the complainant. This letter along with photographs of complainant during inspection submitted by complainant is taken on record. He further added that the complainant has not pointed out any deficiencies the information provided till date as directed in previous order dated 19.03.2018.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, complainant, it is found that Ms. Harjeet Kaur was advised to go through the supplied information and point out deficiencies in written along with particulars about the pending information to the respondent PIO within one week along with a copy to the Commission but the complainant failed to do it so.
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**Complaint Case No. 939 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 11.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.05.2018**

1. In the view of above, it is assumed that either the complainant is satisfied with the information received or has lost interest in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Yogesh Mahajan**

S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,

President of Anti Corruption Council,

Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market,

Mission Road, Pathankot Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDO, W/S& Sanitation,

Sub Division Ghanour,

District Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE, W/Sand Sanitation Circle,

Patiala Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 136 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 28.012.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.05.2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the appellant.

 For the respondent: Sh. Gurmeet Singh, JA (94634-22874).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Gurmeet Singh states and submits a letter signed by SDE, W/S& Sanitation Sub Division Ghanour, District Patiala with their office reference no. 1138 dated 01.05.2018 along with point-wise reply and copy of receipt of registered post dated 03.04.3018.
2. Applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan is absent for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he file any reply in this regard or pointed out deficiencies in information sent by the respondent as said above in Para 1 of this order. He was also advised to represent his case in person or through any of his representative(s) on today’s hearing, but he failed to do so.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information stands supplied and appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in it, therefore it is assumed that appellant is satisfied with it and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Harish Chander Goyal,**

Ayurvedic Store, Chena Bazaar,

Jaiton. (98035-23535) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Secretary, Local Govt.,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Secretary, Local Govt.,

Punjab, Chandigarh. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2351 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal (98723-67068) on behalf of the appellant.

Nobody present on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Madan Lal, the representative of the appellant states that no information has been supplied to the applicant by the respondent.
2. Respondent PIO is absent continuously forth time without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing and nor did he file any reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case and examining the case file, I found that the respondent was also absent on previous hearings dated 11.12.2017, 16.01.2018 and 19.03.2018. Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to appear in person along with reply to Show Cause notice issued to him vide order dated 16.01.2018 and to bring the requisite information as per the RTI application, failing to which action under Section 20 (1) will be initiated against him but he failed to do so. A copy of this order was also sent him through **registered post**.
4. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Harish Chander Goyal.
5. Hence, I award a compensation of Rs. 3,000/- (Three Thousand) to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of Harish Chander Goyal from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual’s account.
6. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.
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**Appeal Case No. 2351 of 2017**

1. **Respondent PIO is directed again to appear in person** along with reply to Show Cause notice issued to him vide order dated 16.01.2018 and to bring the requisite information as per the RTI application filed failing to which further action under Section 20 (1) will be taken against him.
2. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.05.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
3. The First Appellate Authority O/o Secretary, Local Govt., Punjab, Chandigarh is directed to make sure for the compliance of this order has made.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Jaswinder Singh,**

R/o 22, Flower Dale Colony,

Barewal Raod, Ludhiana (98760-64751) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Sub-Division, No. -1, Amritsar.

**Encl. RTI Request.**

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o SE, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Circle Amritsar. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2391 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswinder Singh, the appellant in person.

Nobody present on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Jaswinder Singh, the appellant states that he has already pointed out deficiencies to the respondent in the information supplied to him but no deficiencies have been removed by the respondent till date. He also submitted a copy of deficiencies pointed out to the Commission which is taken on record.
2. Respondent PIO is absent continuously second time for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor he/she file any reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, the appellant is advised to send a copy of deficiencies pointed out again to the respondent PIO through registered post by the next date of hearing along with the advice to appear in person or through his representative(s) on next date of hearing. The respondent PIO O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation, Sub-Division, No. -1, Amritsar will **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him/her for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

In addition to his/her submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on him/her on the next date of hearing. He/she may note that in case he does not file his/her submission and does not avail himself/herself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he/she has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.
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**Appeal Case No. 2391 of 2017**

1. **The respondent PIO is also directed to appear in person on next dated of hearing along with directions to remove the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant.**
2. A copy of this order to be sent to PIO, Office of O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation, Sub-Division, No. -1, Amritsar through **registered post**.
3. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.05.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri Advocate**

Opposite Nehru Park,

Jaiton (94176-12036). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate

Sub Divisional Complex, Jaiton.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate

Sub Divisional Complex, Jaiton. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2419 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri, the appellant in person in person.

Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri, appellant is present for today’s hearing states that that information regarding point no.1 has not been provided to him as directed in previous orders dated 07.02.2018. He further added that the DC office Ludhiana has transferred back the RTI application to the O/o Tehsildar, Jaiton which was further transferred to O/o Kanoongo (Revenue Inspector).
2. Respondent PIO is absent continuously second time for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor he/she file any reply in this regard.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, last opportunity is given to respondent PIO along with directions to retrieve the information that relates to point no. 1 of RTI application and provide it to appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) would be initiated against the concerned PIO.
4. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.05.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner,

Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana

**Encl. RTI Request.**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2554 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Naveen Sharma, SA (81467-93557), Shivani Gupta, Building Inspector, Zone C and Sh. Dilip Soni, Junior Draftsman.

**ORDER**

1. Appellant is absent for today’s hearing but a letter along with supporting documents have received in the Commission vide diary no. 6710 dated 02/04/2018 mentioning therein complete requisite information has not been received and/or irrelevant information has been received PIO till date. This reply is taken on record.
2. Sh. Sh. Dilip Soni, Junior Draftsman on the behalf of respondent PIO states and submits a reply file by ATP, Zone C, Ludhiana mentioning therein that action against complaint is in process. This reply is taken on record.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the respondent PIO O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana is deliberately delaying the information.
4. In the view of above, the respondent PIO O/o Commissioner**,** Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana will **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him/her for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
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**Appeal Case No. 2554 of 2017**

In addition to his/her submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on him/her on the next date of hearing. He/she may note that in case he does not file his/her submission and does not avail himself/herself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he/she has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

1. A copy of this order to be sent to PIO, Office of O/o Commissioner**,** Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana through **registered post**.
2. **Respondent PIO O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana is also directed to appear in person** **along with pending requisite information as directed on previous hearing dated 21.03.2018,** failing to which further action under Section 20 (1) will be taken against him.
3. The appellant is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) by the next date of hearing.
4. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.05.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2556 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 15.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.05.2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar (98764-25935) on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Naveen Sharma, SA (81467-93557), along with Sh. D.P Verma Sharma, SA

**ORDER**

1. A letter along with point-wise reply within has been received in the Commission vide dairy no. 8092 dated 19/04/2018 from the respondent mentioning therein that requisite information has been provided to the appellant.
2. Sh. D.P Verma Sharma, SA on the behalf of PIO O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies Punjab, Chandigarh states presented the reply before the Commission and as above in Para 1 of this order. He further added that the appellant has not pointed out any deficiencies in the information provided till date and assures that no other information is pending as per RTI request.
3. Appellant is absent for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he file any reply in this regard.
4. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it has been found that requisite information stands supplied and appellant has not pointed out any deficiencies in it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner,

Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana

**Encl. RTI Request.**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2592 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Naveen Sharma, SA (81467-93557), Shivani Gupta, Building Inspector, Zone C and Sh. Dilip Soni, Junior Draftsman.

**ORDER**

1. Appellant is absent for today’s hearing but a letter along with supporting documents have received in the Commission vide diary no. 6709 dated 02/04/2018 mentioning therein complete requisite information has not been received and/or irrelevant information has been received PIO till date. This reply is taken on record.
2. Sh. Sh. Dilip Soni, Junior Draftsman on the behalf of respondent PIO states and submits a reply file by ATP, Zone C, Ludhiana mentioning therein that action against complaint is in process. This reply is taken on record.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the respondent PIO O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana is deliberately delaying the information.
4. In the view of above, the respondent PIO O/o Commissioner**,** Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana will **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him/her for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
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**Appeal Case No. 2592 of 2017**

In addition to his/her submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on him/her on the next date of hearing. He/she may note that in case he does not file his/her submission and does not avail himself/herself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he/she has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

1. A copy of this order to be sent to PIO, Office of O/o Commissioner**,** Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana through **registered post**.
2. **Respondent PIO O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana is also directed to appear in person** **along with requisite information as directed on previous hearing dated 21.03.2018,** failing to which further action under Section 20 (1) will be taken against him.
3. The appellant is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) by the next date of hearing.
4. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.05.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**
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**Sh. Karandeep Singh Kairon**

7 – South Model Gram, (94641-00001)

Sampuran Colony, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner,

Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana

**Encl. RTI Request.**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Govt. of

Local Bodies, Punjab Govt. Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies

Punjab Govt. Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2593 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Naveen Sharma, SA (81467-93557), Shivani Gupta, Building Inspector, Zone C and Sh. Dilip Soni, Junior Draftsman.

**ORDER**

1. Appellant is absent for today’s hearing but a letter along with supporting documents have received in the Commission vide diary no. 6708 dated 02/04/2018 mentioning therein complete requisite information has not been received and/or irrelevant information has been received PIO till date. This reply is taken on record.
2. Sh. Sh. Dilip Soni, Junior Draftsman on the behalf of respondent PIO states and submits a reply file by ATP, Zone C, Ludhiana mentioning therein that action against complaint is in process. This reply is taken on record.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the respondent PIO O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana is deliberately delaying the information.
4. In the view of above, the respondent PIO O/o Commissioner**,** Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana will **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him/her for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
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**Appeal Case No. 2593 of 2017**

In addition to his/her submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on him/her on the next date of hearing. He/she may note that in case he does not file his/her submission and does not avail himself/herself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he/she has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

1. A copy of this order to be sent to PIO, Office of O/o Commissioner**,** Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana through **registered post**.
2. **Respondent PIO O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana is also directed to appear in person** **along with requisite information as directed on previous hearing dated 21.03.2018,** failing to which further action under Section 20 (1) will be taken against him.
3. The appellant is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) by the next date of hearing.
4. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.05.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Mohan Chandra Tewari,**

**S/o Sh. Sansari Lal,** H. No. 8 Sector 15,

Panchcula (94172-61441). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o PSBTE & IT, Plot No. 1

Sector 36-A Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o PSBTE & IT, Plot No. 1

Sector 36-A Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2671 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Mohan Chander Tewari, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Navjot Singh, SA (97818-44554)

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Mohan Chander Tewari, the appellant states that the respondent cannot deny the information if enquiry is under process as respondent filed reply in affidavit.
2. Sh. Navjot Singh, SA handed states and submits a written reply (along with supporting document) signed by Sh. Navjodh Singh (on the behalf of respondent PIO) dated 01.05.2018 to the Commission, mentioning therein that requisite information is supplied to the information seeker. This letter is taken on record.
3. Sh. Sh. Mohan Chander Tewari, the appellant pointed out deficiencies in point 2 & 3 as mentioned in Para 1 of this order and also stated that point no. 4 is still pending as noting sheets and/or correspondence sheets are still pending. He also submitted a written reply in this regard during the hearing mentioning therein that only point no. 1 of pending information has been received and rest information regarding point no. 2, 3, & 4 is still pending. This reply is taken on record.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the appellant is advised to send the copy of deficiencies point out as mentioned above to the respondent PIO through registered post by the next date of hearing positively. The respondent PIO is given last opportunity to remove the deficiencies pointed out that relates to point no. 2, 3 & 4 of RTI application without any delay and send it through registered post to the appellant, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
5. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.05.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. P.K. Gupta (94173-42111)**

#277, Industrial Area A,

Ludhiana-141003 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Commissioner,

Patiala Division, Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner,

Patiala Division, Patiala Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3138 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 06.11.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.05.2017**

**Present:** Sh. P.K. Gupta, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Harmesh Singh, JA (99142-77700)

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Harmesh Singh, JA states that requisite information has been provided to the appellant.
2. Sh. P.K. Gupta, the appellant states that he is satisfied with the information received.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it has been found that requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Sant Ram (95305-83588)**

S/o Sh. Jyoti Ram,

R/o Village Tepla,

Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Divisional Engineer,

Water Supply & Sanitation,

Sub Division, Ghanaur, Rajpura

District Patiala

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sarpanch,

GPWSC, Tepla.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Sub Divisional Engineer,

Water Supply & Sanitation,

Sub Division, Ghanaur, Rajpura

District Patiala Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3586 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 06.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 02.05.2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sant Ram, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Gurmeet Singh, JA along with Sh. Pardep Kumar, Sarpanch (98553-28201)

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Pardep Kumar, Sarpanch handed over the requisite information to the appellant during the hearing.
2. Sh. Sant Ram, the appellant pointed out the deficiency stating that copy of bills are pending.
3. Sh. Pardep Kumar, Sarpanch removed the deficiency point out during the hearing.
4. Sh. Sant Ram, the appellant is satisfied with the information received.
5. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it has been found that requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh (98724-86992)**

S/o Sh. Davinder Singh, Ms. Ranbir

Electronics, Sh. Guru Nanak

Dev Ji Market, Behind Singh Studio,

Bhucho Mandi, District Batinda -151101 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Registrar,

Adesh University, VPO Bhuchi Kalan,

Tehsil Nathana, District Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Registrar, Adesh University,

VPO Bhuchi Kalan, Tehsil Nathana,

District Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3738 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Advocate S.S. Brar (98144-27660).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh the appellant point out 3 deficiencies
2. Medical reports of his mother as requisite in RTI application is pending.
3. X-rays report received is of some other person and not his mother as requisite in RTI application.
4. CCTV footage as requisite in RTI application is also pending.
5. Advocate S.S. Brar on the behalf of respondent handed over
6. Copy of medical reports during hearing.
7. X-rays report is of appellant’s mother as requisite in RTI application.
8. CCTV footage record of consecutive one only week is maintained/kept and not more than that. He further added that the appellant’s mother was admitted on 17.07.2017 and expired on 20.07.2017 and the appellant filled a written application on 08.08.2017 for CCTV footage for the time period when the appellant’s mother was admitted in the hospital which is beyond consecutive one week after the appellant’s mother expired. He further added that hospital maintains CCTV footage for their internal purpose and nowhere in norms or regulations is it mentioned that the hospital should maintain the CCTV footage records.
9. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is observed that:
10. Medical reports are provided to the appellant during hearing.
11. Hospital is private in nature but as the hospital is associated with the Adesh University (respondent), therefore it is comes under RTI Act.
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**Appeal Case No. 3738 of 2017**

1. In the view of above, the respondent PIO is directed to:
2. File an affidavit that the X-rays report supplied to the appellant is of appellant’s mother as requisite in RTI application.
3. Either he/she submit any evidence/proof in their records that the CCTV footage is kept for one consecutive week only or file an affidavit mentioning therein that CCTV footage of one consecutive week only in the concerned hospital.
4. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.05.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 02.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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