

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
Opposite Water Tank Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDO, Provincial Sub Division No-6,  
PWD B&R, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SE,  
PWD B&R Construction Circle-1,  
Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 234 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Navin Mittal, Xen for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on **01.05.2019**. The appellant vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information and be present on the next date of hearing. The PIO was also directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided. The appellant vide email has informed that he has received the information from the APIO till date.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 01.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
Opposite Water Tank Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.  
Versus

... Appellant

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDO, Construction Sub Division,  
PWD B&R, Doraha, Distt Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SE,  
PWD B&R, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 235 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 01.05.2019. The respondent was absent. The Commission received a letter diary No.7619 on 10.04.2019 from the PIO stating that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 15.01.2019 and they have got acknowledgement of the appellant having received the information.

The appellant was absent nor had pointed out any discrepancies. The appellant was granted one more opportunity to point out the discrepancies, if any and the case was adjourned.

The case has come up for hearing today. The appellant vide email has informed that he has received the information from the APIO till date.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 01.07.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocompunjab.com](http://www.infocompunjab.com)**



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
Opposite Water Tank Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDO, Provincial Sub Division No-4,  
PWD B&R, Rajpura Colony, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SE,  
PWD B&R, Construction Circle No-1,  
Patiala .

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 241 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Navin Mittal, Xen, PWD(B&R), Patiala for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on **01.05.2019**. The appellant was absent and vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information and be present on the next date of hearing. The PIO was also directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided. The appellant vide email has informed that he has received the information from the APIO till date.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh  
Dated 01.07.2019

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
Opposite Water Tank Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o XEN, Provincial Division No-1,  
PWD B&R, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SE,  
PWD B&R, Construction Circle No-1,  
Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 244 of 2019**

**Present:       None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Navin Mittal, Xen-PWD(B&R) Patiala for the Respondent**

**Order:**

The case was last heard on **01.05.2019**. The appellant vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The appellant further informed that the PIO demanded Rs.5000/- for information vide letter dated 16.10.2018 but the said letter was received by the him on 13.11.2018 and also the PIO has not provided the break-up of the fee.

The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to send break-up of the fee for the information that the appellant has sought as per the RTI Act. and provide the information within 10 days after the deposit of fee.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided. The appellant vide email has informed that he has received the information from the APIO till date.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 01.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
Opposite Water Tank Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDO, Electrical Sub Division No-2,  
PWD B&R, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SE,  
PWD B&R Circle, Electrical ,  
Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 245 of 2019**

**Present:       None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Pardeep Kumar, JE for the Respondent**

**Order:**

The case was last heard on **01.05.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 29.03.2019. The appellant was absent nor had pointed out any discrepancies. The appellant was granted one more opportunity to point out the discrepancies, if any and the case was adjourned.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided. The appellant vide email has informed that he has received the information from the APIO till date.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 01.07.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
Opposite Water Tank Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDO, Provincial, Sub Division No-2,  
PWD B&R, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SE,  
PWD B&R Construction Circle-1,  
Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 246 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Navin Mittal, Xen for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on **01.05.2019**. The appellant vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information and be present on the next date of hearing. The PIO was also directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided. The appellant vide email has informed that he has received the information from the APIO till date.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 01.07.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
Opposite Water Tank Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDO, Provincial, Sub Division No-1,  
PWD B&R, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SE,  
PWD B&R Construction Circle-1,  
Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 247 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Navin Mittal, Xen, PWD(B&R), Patiala for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on **01.05.2019**. The appellant vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information and be present on the next date of hearing. The PIO was also directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided. The appellant vide email has informed that he has received the information from the APIO till date.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 01.07.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. R C Tandon  
# 146, Urban Estate, Phagwara,  
Distt Kapurthala.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Jalandhar Development Authority,  
Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Estate Officer,  
Jalandhar Development Authority,  
Jalandhar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 252 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Sanjiv Sharma, PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on 01.05.2019. The appellant was absent and vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the complete information. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant. The PIO was also directed to be present on the next date of hearing.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that some of the information has been sent to the appellant. The appellant vide email has informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent further pleaded that the information lies with the APIO (Estate Officer) and the APIO has been asked to provide the information.

The PIO is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information point-wise. The PIO is also directed to not create the information but send the information available on file. If there is some more information which lies with the APIO, the APIO is impleaded in the case under section 5(4) of the RTI Act and directed to assist the PIO to provide the complete information.

The case is adjourned. To come up on **23.10.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further hearing.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 01.07.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Harpal Singh, S/o Sh Arjan Singh,  
Village Zahadpur, P.O Jaito Sarja,  
Tehsil Batala, Distt Gurdaspur.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Gurdaspur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Gurdaspur

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 254 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Harpal Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Manjinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary, O/o BDPO Gurdaspur for the**  
**Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on **01.05.2019**. The appellant informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The Commission received a letter diary No.8332 on 23.04.2019 from the PIO-DRO, O/o DC Gurdaspur stating that the RTI application has been transferred to BDPO Gurdaspur under section 6(3) of the RTI Act vide letter dated 30.10.2018.

The PIO-BDPO was absent. The PIO-BDPO Gurdaspur was directed to provide the information as per RTI application which was transferred by the PIO-DRO on 30.10.2018 within 10 days. The PIO-BDPO was also directed to be present on the next date of hearing and explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the office of Deputy Commissioner has wrongly transferred the RTI application to the DDPO/BDPO since the appellant has sought information regarding action taken on his complaint against the BDPO and the action has to be taken by the DC office.

The PIO-DC Gurdaspur is impleaded in the case and directed to provide the information on action taken report.

The case is adjourned. To come up on **16.10.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further hearing.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 01.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**CC to PIO-BDPO, Gurdaspur.**



Sh. Tejinder Singh,  
R/o Village Bholapur, P.O Ramgarh,  
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

....Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
SDM, Licensing Authority & Registering,  
Malerkotla.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
DC,  
Sangrur

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1328 of 2018**

**Present: Sh.Tejinder Singh as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

This order is to be read in continuation to the earlier order.

**Facts of the Case-**

- 1) That the appellant had filed an RTI application on 22/11/2017 seeking information regarding licenses issued from August 2017 to Nov.22, 2017 alongwith other information from the office of SDM (Licensing Authority & Registering, Malerkotla.
- 2) That information was not provided to the appellant after which he filed the first appeal with Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur on 06.01.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.
- 3) That the appellant on not being provided the information filed the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal with the state information commission, which first came up for hearing 25.06.2018.
- 4) That on 25.06.2018, Sh.Jagpreet Singh, clerk from the office of SDM Malerkotla appeared and informed that the information regarding points 1,2,7,8&9 had been provided and for remaining information relating to points 3,4,5 & 6,, the RTI application has been transferred to DTO Sangrur. The appellant was not satisfied with the information relating to point-9. The appellant was directed to inspect the record and get the information he wanted.
- 5) That on the next date of hearing which was held on 01.08.2018, the appellant informed that he visited the office of SDM Malerkotla but the information was not provided. The respondent Sh.Jagpreet Singh Clerk O/o SDM Malerkotla informed that the information in CD cannot be provided since it includes personal information of the applicants. The PIO was directed to provide a list of successful applicants with application numbers. The PIO-RTA Sangrur was absent and neither provided the information concerning them (regarding points 3,4,5 & 6).
- 6) That on the next date of hearing on 05.09.2018, the respondent present from the office of SDM Malekrotla informed that the information regarding point-9 has been provided. The PIO-RTA Sangrur was again absent and neither provided the information. The PIO RTA Sangrur was directed to be present on the next date of hearing which was fixed for 09.10.2018.

## Appeal Case No. 1328 of 2018

- 7) That on 09.10.2018, the PIO-RTA Sangrur was again absent. The PIO-RTA Sangrur was given one last opportunity to provide the information and be present personally on the next date of hearing with solid reasons for not complying with the order of the Commission. The case was adjourned for further hearing on 21.11.2018.
- 8) That on 21.11.2018, the PIO-RTA Sangrur was again absent and neither provided the information as directed by the Commission. On the same date, he was **show caused under Section 20 of the RTI Act** as to why a penalty should not be imposed on the PIO for not supplying information within the statutorily prescribed period of time under section 7 and for not complying with the orders of the commission. He was further directed to provide the reply on an affidavit and appear before the commission on 15.01.2019.
- 9) The PIO-RTA Sangrur did not appear on the given date (15.01.2019), nor did the PIO file any reply to the Show Cause issued to him. The appellant present on the date pleaded that no information has been sent to him as per the Commissions directions.
- 10) That the Commission on the same date of the hearing provided one last opportunity to the PIO-RTA Sangrur to be present personally or through a representative and reply to the Show Cause. The PIO was also directed to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days and send a compliance report to the Commission. The case was adjourned for 11.03.2019.
- 11) That on 11.03.2019, the PIO-RTA Sangrur was again absent. The appellant pleaded that the PIO is not providing the information and he is being harassed for trying to obtain the requisite information under the RTI Act, which is his right. The appellant pleaded that strict action against the erring PIO.

The PIO RTA-Sangrur was granted one last opportunity to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing, which was fixed for 01.05.2019 and bring a reply to the show cause notice, otherwise the Commission will be constrained to issue warrants Under Section 18(3) of the RTI Act.

- 12) That on 01.05.2019, the appellant was absent and vide letter received in the Commission informed that he has received the information but with a delay of one year and four months and pleaded for strict action against the erring PIO. The PIO was again absent nor had sent any reply to the show cause. The PIO-RTA Sangrur was given one last opportunity to be present on the next date of hearing and submit reply to the show cause on an affidavit otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action under the RTI Act.

The case has come up for hearing today. The appellant pleaded that though he has received the information but there has been a delay of one year and four months. The appellant claims that he has been harassed a lot for not supplying the information by the PIO in time, and hence the PIO be penalized and he be compensated.

This is the 6<sup>th</sup> consecutive hearing that the PIO-RTA is absent despite many orders of the Commission nor has sent any reply to the show cause. It is abundantly clear that the PIO is flouting the spirit of the RTI Act continuously. The PIO has not only shown utter disregard for the Commission's repeated orders to provide the information but has shown willful stubbornness in not replying to the Show Cause and not appearing before the commission despite various orders.

**Appeal Case No. 1328 of 2018**

Since the responsibility to ensure the timely transmission of the information to the appellant lies on the PIO, the PIO-RTA Sangrur is hereby held guilty for not providing the information on time as prescribed under section 7, which is within 30 days of the receipt of the request, and for repeated and willful defiance of the Punjab State Information Commission's orders.

A penalty of **Rs.25,000/-** is hereby imposed upon the PIO, RTA Sangrur which be deposited in the Govt. Treasury. The PIO, RTA Sangrur is directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the orders by producing a copy of the challan justifying the deposition of the penalty in the Govt Treasury.

Further, the Commission is of the view that since the appellant has had to suffer undue inconvenience to get the information, it is a fit case for awarding compensation to the appellant u/s 19(8)(b) of the RTI Act.

The PIO-RTA Sangrur is directed to pay an amount of **Rs.2500/-** via demand draft drawn through Govt. Treasury as compensation to the appellant for the loss and detriment suffered by him of having to file the appeals and not getting information in time. The PIO is directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the order and submit proof of having compensated the appellant.

Further, since the PIO has shown grave indiscipline and misconduct in discharging of public duties, the PIO is also rendered liable under section 20(2) of the RTI Act. While exercising my powers, I hereby recommend to the Disciplinary Authority to issue a charge sheet to the PIO-RTA Sangrur for violation as mentioned in the above stated act and send a copy to the Commission within one month from the receipt of this order.

To come up on **16.10.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further hearing.

Chandigarh  
Dated: 01.07.2019

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

CC to PIO- RTA Sangrur

2. PIO-STC, Punjab, Chandigarh

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [sicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:sicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh.Abhishek Garg, S/o Sh. Rakesh Kumar,  
# 49/13, Street No-1, Lalheri Road, Gurbachan Colony, Khanna,  
Distt Ludhiana

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
Labour Welfare Commissioner,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
Labour Welfare Commissioner,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1717 of 2018**

**Present:       None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.S.K.Bhoriwal, Asstt.Labour Commissioner, Ludhiana-6 for the**  
**Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was first heard on **30.08.2018**. The respondent Sh.Deepak Kumar from the office of ALC Khanna pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant on 19.06.2018 relating to their office. The appellant pleaded that he has not received the same. The respondent provided a copy of the same information, which however, was not a certified copy. The respondent was directed to provide.

The respondent Ms.Neelam from Labour Welfare Board, Chandigarh, pleaded that the information is not available with them and the same is available and has to be provided by ALC, Circle-6, Ludhiana.

The respondent Ms.Harpreet Kaur from ALC-Ludhiana-6 informed that the reply has been sent to the appellant on 29.05.2018. The appellant however informed that he has not received the same. The respondent again handed over the information to the appellant at the hearing.

The appellant pleaded that the information has been delayed as it was required by them for claiming labour welfare fund under Factories Act. The delay in information may cause a hindrance in availing the benefits.

The PIO was directed to explain the reasons for delay in providing the information and be present on the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on **25.09.2018**: The respondent Ms.Harpreet Kaur pleaded that the certified copy of the information that was sent to the appellant on 19.06.2018, was again provided to the appellant at the last hearing. The appellant was absent and vide email informed that he was not provided the certified copy of the information. The PIO was directed to send the certified copy of the information through registered post to the appellant within 3 days.

The respondent present from ALC-Ludhiana-6 pleaded that the information was sent to the appellant in time on 29.05.2018. However, the PIO did not explain the reasons for delay in providing the information. The PIO ALC-Ludhiana-6 was given last opportunity to be personally present on the next date of hearing and explain the reasons for delay in providing the information within the prescribed time under the RTI Act. The reply be filed on an affidavit.

## Appeal Case No. 1717 of 2018

The case again came up for hearing on **06.11.2018**. The appellant was absent and vide email informed that he has not received the certified copy of the information. The PIO was directed to send certified copy of the information as per directions of the Commission.

The respondent was absent. The PIO was issued **show cause notice for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time** and for not complying with the orders of the Commission, and was directed to file an affidavit in this regard.

The case was again heard on **08.01.2019**. The appellant was absent and vide email informed that he has not received the certified copy of the information.

The PIO was also absent and neither sent any reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was afforded one more opportunity to reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was also directed to send certified copy of the information to the appellant as well as bring the same on the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on **25.02.2019**: Sh.Sunil Kumar Bhorawal, Labour Commissioner, Ludhiana was present who informed that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant stated that the information is not certified. The PIO was directed to resend certified copy of the information by registered post to the appellant.

Regarding reply to the show cause notice, the respondent submitted an affidavit stating that the appellant had filed RTI application to the Labour Welfare Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh which transferred it to ALC Circle-6 Ludhiana on 10.05.2018, and Sh.S.S. Randhawa who was the PIO-cum-ALC at that time further sent the RTI application to Labour Enforcement Officer Samrala on 22.05.2018. The Labour Enforcement Officer Samrala has already sent the information to the appellant on 29.05.2018.

The respondent further pleaded that he has only joined as ALC on 01.02.2019 and previously Sh.Swaran Singh was the ALC Circle -6 Ludhiana who has been transferred and now posted as Labour Commissioner-cum-Conciliation Officer Fatehgarh Sahib and its office is at Mandi Gobindgarh. The information stands provided. The previous PIO, Sh.Swaran Singh, Labour Commissioner-cum-Conciliation Officer was directed to appear personally and explain the reasons for not providing the information within the statutory prescribed period of time and for not complying with the order of the Commission. He was directed to file an affidavit in this regard.

The case was last heard on **30.04.2019**: Sh.Shalender Singh Negi, Clerk O/o Labour Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib was present who pleaded that Sh.Swaran Singh, Labour Commissioner-cum-Conciliation Officer is going to retire today and the respondent has been deputed to attend the hearing. The appellant pleaded that even though the information has been provided, it is not accurate since regular deduction was taking place as per norms and there is no reasons that the record is not existing. The appellant requested to reconsider to relook at the RTI and get the information that is sought in the RTI application.

The Commission accepted the plea of the appellant and directed the public authority to relook the RTI application and go through all the files to dig out all the available information regarding the RTI and send the information to the appellant within 10 days. It was found that there is some missing link in the information that has been provided and the information that the appellant has sought and the Commission was determined to go through and investigate the entire case again in the interest of justice. It was directed that if the information is not provided, and the PIO takes the same plea as before, the entire record pertaining to this case be brought to the Commission.

**Appeal Case No. 1717 of 2018**

A copy of the order was sent to Labour Commissioner and the Secretary, Labour Welfare Board, Punjab Chandigarh for perusal of this case as there seems to be some anomaly in the information being sought and the information being provided.

**Hearing dated 01.07.2019:**

The appellant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The appellant further informed that the information provided is incorrect. The respondent present pleaded that they have rechecked the entire record and also called the record from the concerned industry M/s Nav Bharat Brick Works which has given an affidavit that Sh.Rakesh Kumar was working as Manager in the establishment and could not be termed as labour and that the labour welfare fund is to be deposited only in the case of a worker as per provisions of the labour welfare fund. The available information regarding point-1 has been resent to the appellant vide letter dated 27.05.2019 and the information regarding point-2 is available on their website. The respondent further pleaded that the information on points-3 & 4 is not available in their record.

I have gone through the reply of the PIO and find that the RTI application has been addressed by the PIO to the best possible extent and no further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 01.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**CC to: 1. Assistant Labour Commissioner,**  
**Circle-6, Ludhiana.**

**2. The Secretary,**  
**Labour Welfare Board, Punjab,**  
**Chandigarh.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh Harbhajan Singh,  
Village Gilian, P.O Miani,  
Distt Hoshiarpur.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o IGP, (Administration Intelligence),  
Village Sohana, Near Eyes Hospital,  
Sector-77, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o ADGP, Intelligence,  
Village Sohana Sector-77, SAS Nagar,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1455 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Harbhajan Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Rajinder Singh, ASI O/o IGP Intelligence, for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.02.2019 has sought information regarding investigation report on the investigation done from 01.01.2015 to 12.06.2018 against the persons using vehicle No.PB-07-AH-6014, PB-07-AV-0951 and PB-07-AD-7344 and other information concerning the office of IGP (Admn intelligence) Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 14.03.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that since the information sought is from the intelligence wing, it is exempted under the RTI Act vide notification No.2/27/05.R/191 dated 23.02.2006 and section 24 of the Act, and it cannot be provided, for which the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 29.03.2019.

During hearing, the Commission observed that the appellant is under the impression that some-one has filed a complaint against him with the police and wants clarification on that matter via the RTI Act. The PIO is directed that if any such complaint has been filed in the Department, the same be provided, but without any report on investigation conducted or any other observation made on the complaint.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 01.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**