**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o ACP Sahnewal

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner of Police

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 608 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Devraj Singh (Inspector) along with Sh. Baldev Singh, ASI, Sahnewal (98786-50433)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Devraj Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant. He also mentioned that applicant filing same applications again and again due to this, working of the department is suffering.

He submits a written reply letter no. 608 dated 28.02.2018 signed by PIO cum Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana along with a copy of letter no. 259-A, dated 29.01.2018 signed by PIO cum Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana stating that requisite information has been sent to the applicant dated 29.01.2018 through ordinary post, which are taken on record.

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
3. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3670 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
4. Email: Dated 27.02.2018 addressed to the Deputy Registrar of the Commission, in connection with 13 Cases (AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 608 of 2018**

passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 but order dated 27.02.2018 is not attached by him. In that email 13 separate letters relates with 13 cases are attached. (Subject of the email is 1000-272-13 appeal cases, AC- No. 580, AC: No. 613 of 2018 memorandum dated 27.02.2018).

1. Email: Dated 28.02.2018 from the Deputy Registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant but printout of this email has not been taken as similar email has been received by the undersigned bench by the applicant dated 27.02.2018, which is mentioned in point no. II.
2. Email: Dated 01.03.2018 to the bench of undersigned in connection with 13 Cases (AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018. (Subject of the email is POR-316-13 appeal cases, AC- No. 580, AC: No. 613 of 2018 Application dated 01.03.2018)
3. Email: Dated 01.03.2018 to the bench of undersigned in connection with 13 cases (AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) stating that to adjourn appeal cases along with a copy of letter Endst. No. 345 dated 22.02.2018 signed by Sh. Inder Singh, Joint Registrar (Rules) cum Public Information Officer in connection with appeal case no. 583 of 2018 (Subject of the email is AFA-321-12 application dated 01.03.2018 to SIC for adjournment-Copy).
4. Email: Dated 01.03.2018 received from the Deputy Registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant along with copies of order dated 25.02.2018 and 27.02.2018 (Subject of the email is POR-316-13 appeal cases, AC- No. 580, AC: No. 613 of 2018 Application dated 01.03.2018).
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 608 of 2018**

1. Email: Dated 01.03.2018 from the Deputy Registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant which is similar email has been received by the undersigned bench by the applicant dated 01.03.2018, which is mentioned in point no. V (Subject of the email is AFA-321-12 application dated 01.03.2018 to SIC for adjournment-Copy).
2. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o ACP Sahnewal

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner of Police

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 607 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Devraj Singh (Inspector) along with Sh. Baldev Singh, ASI, Sahnewal (98786-50433)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Devraj Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant. He also mentioned that applicant filing same applications again and again due to this, working of the department is suffering.

He submits a written reply letter no. 607 dated 28.02.2018 signed by PIO cum Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana along with a copy of letter no. 260-A, dated 29.01.2018 signed by PIO cum Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana stating that requisite information has been sent to the applicant dated 29.01.2018, which are taken on record.

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
3. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3667 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
4. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18,
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 607 of 2018**

AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.

1. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
2. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SHO, Police Station,

Focal Point,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner of Police

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 598 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Omkar Singh (Head Constable)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Omkar Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant.

He submits a written reply signed by PIO cum SHO, Police Station, Focal Point, Ludhiana stating therein point wise reply as per the RTI application of the applicant. In that letter he further added that requisite information has already been sent to the applicant through post. It is taken on record.

An email has received from the respondent dated 28.02.2018 from the email ID [inchargep.p846@gmail.com](mailto:inchargep.p846@gmail.com) along with attachment but attachment could not be downloaded due to some technical issues. Covering page of the email is taken on record.

After discussing with the respondent regarding email, respondent clarified that submitted letter as explained in point no. 1 (Para no. 2) was also sent through email to the Commission.

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
3. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3660 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 598 of 2018**

1. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.
2. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
3. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o District and Session Judge

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o District and Session Judge

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 613 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Om Parkash (Superintendent cum PIO).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Om Parkash states that reply regarding the RTI application has already been sent to the applicant in time.

He submits a written reply Letter No. 898/G dated 28.02.2018 signed by Hon’ble District Session Judge, Ludhiana along with copy of letter no. 2551 dated 12.02.2018, copy of order passed by Sh. Gurbir Singh, District & Session Judge cum First Appellate Authority, Ludhiana dated 23.02.2018 and letter no. 3771 dated 27.02.2018.

In written reply PIO, O/o District & Session Judge, Ludhiana and District and Session Judge cum O/o First Appellate Authority stating that appellant has been filing RTI applications repeatedly in this office under Section 7 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 due to which functioning of this office has been hampered to the great extent. Application dated 07.02.2018 of the appellant under section 7(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 seeking information within 48 hours was received in this office vide receipt no. 1871 dated 07.02.2018. The appellant did not disclose sufficient cause/reasons in his RTI application that his life and liberty is endangered due to which he filed RTI application under section 7 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 but despite that his application was disposed of within 48 hours.

The appellant filed the present second appeal under RTI act, 2005 dated 13.02.2018 before the Hon’ble Commission before the disposal of First Appeal as first appeal was disposed of on 23.02.2018.

Application as well as First Appeal of the appellant have been disposed of within prescribed time and without any delay and necessary information had alaredy been supplied to the appellant.

1/2

**APPEAL CASE NO. 613 of 2018**

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
3. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3668 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
4. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.
5. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
6. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File)** 2/2

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o The Chief Minister of Punjab,

Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o The Chief Minister of Punjab,

Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 587 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Gurpreet Singh (Superintendent) along with Sh. Balvir Singh (Assistant)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Gurpreet Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant twice through post and email. He also mentioned that a similar case (Appeal Case 3300 of 2017) is pending under the bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan.

He submits a copy of letter signed by him as Superintendent cum PIO dated 09.01.2018 along with supporting documents, which are taken on record

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
3. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3661 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
4. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18,
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 587 of 2018**

1. AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.
2. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
3. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o EO Wing, Police Lines,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 606 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Jagdev Singh (ASI) along with Sh. Sukhdev Singh (ASI).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Jagdev Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant through registered post dated 09.08.2017. He also mentioned that two similar cases (Appeal Case No. 1849 of 2017 and Appeal Case no. 1795 of 2017) were disposed of and closed by the bench of Hon’ble CIC dated 17.08.2017.

He submits a written reply signed by Incharge, EO wing, Ludhiana stating that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan demanded information relates with Sr. No. 4, 4(1) and 4 (12) dated 04.08.2017. Requisite information was sent to the applicant dated 09.08.2017 through registered post as per applicant’s letter dated 08.08.2017 along with copy of postal receipt, which are taken on record.

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
3. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3659 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 606 of 2018**

1. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.
2. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
3. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**

2/2

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Incharge Police Chownki,

Division No. 5, Kochar Market,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SHO, Police Station,

Division No. 5,

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 609 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 13.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 01.03.2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Bhupinder Singh (Head Constable, PP Kochar Market)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Bhupinder Singh states that applicant; Sh. Naresh devgan withdraw RTI application in their office.

He submits a written reply dated 22.02.2018 signed by Incharge, Police Chownki, Kochar Market, Division No. 5, Ludhiana stating that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant dated 04.08.2017. In that letter he further added that applicant signed acknowledgement that he has received the requisite information and nothing is pending along with acknowledgment signed by the applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan stating that he has received the demanded information relates with FIR No. 83 dated 28.03.2017 U/s 466, 467, 468, 469, 471, 472, 120-B IPC, nothing is pending and requested to close this case, which is taken on record.

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
3. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3669 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 609 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 13.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 01.03.2018**

1. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.
2. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
3. After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that applicant; Sh. Naresh Devgan received the requisite information and satisfied with the supplied information. On the request of the applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

2/2

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Incharge Police Chownki,

Division No. 5, Kochar Market,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o ACP (West),

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 580 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Bhupinder Singh (Head Constable, PP Kochar Market)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Bhupinder Singh states that applicant; Sh. Naresh devgan withdraw RTI application in their office. He submits a written reply dated 28.02.2018 signed by Incharge, Police Chownki, Kochar Market, Division No. 5, Ludhiana stating that requisite information letter no. 3879 was sent by hand through Constable Sh. Deepak at the residence of the applicant but applicant was not there. In that letter he further added that Constable, Sh. Deepak had telephonic conversation with the applicant and requisite information was handed over to the wife of the applicant, who is Smt. Geetika dated 26.07.2017 along with a copy of supplied information with the acknowledgement signed by Ms. Geetika (W/o Sh. Naresh Devgan) with remarks “Information received”. It is taken on record.
2. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
4. Letter: Dated 19.02.2018 diary no. 3518 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 580 of 2018**

1. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.
2. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
3. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 581 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Devraj Singh (Inspector) along with Sh. Baldev Singh, ASI, Sahnewal (98786-50433)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Devraj Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant. He also mentioned that applicant filing same applications again and again due to this, working of the department is suffering. He further added that applicant withdraw his RTI application in the O/o concerned respondent PIO.

He submits a written reply letter no. 581 dated 28.02.2018 signed by PIO cum Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana along with a copy of letter no. 263-A, dated 29.01.2018 signed by PIO cum Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana stating that partial information was supplied to the applicant and documentation fee was demanded from the applicant regarding the remaining information along with envelope with his address and same was intimated to the applicant dated 29.01.2018 but applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan has not deposited fee till date, which are taken on record.

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission

I Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3664 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 581 of 2018**

II Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.

1. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
2. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o District and Session Judge

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o District and Session Judge

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 582 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Om Parkash (Superintendent cum PIO).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Om Parkash states that reply regarding the RTI application has already been sent to the applicant in time.

He submits a written reply Letter No. 893/G dated 28.02.2018 signed by Hon’ble District and Session Judge, Ludhiana along with copy of letter no. 702 dated 11.01.2018, copy of order passed by Sh. Gurbir Singh, District & Session Judge cum First Appellate Authority, Ludhiana dated 18.01.2018, letter no. 1226 dated 19.01.2018 and letter no. 2551 dated 12.02.2018.

In written reply PIO, O/o District & Session Judge, Ludhiana and District and Session Judge cum O/o First Appellate Authority stated that appellant has been filing RTI applications repeatedly in this office under Section 7 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 due to which functioning of this office has been hampered to the great extent. Application dated 09.01.2018 of the appellant under section 7(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 seeking information within 48 hours was received in this office vide receipt no. 460 dated 09.01.2018. The appellant did not disclose sufficient cause/reasons in his RTI application that his life and liberty is endangered due to which he filed RTI application under section 7 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 but despite that his application was disposed of within 48 hours.

The appellant filed the present second appeal under RTI act, 2005 dated 09.02.2018 before the Hon’ble Commission and same is not liable as desired information has already been supplied to the appellant.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 582 of 2018**

Application as well as First Appeal of the appellant have been disposed of within prescribed time and without any delay.

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
3. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3668 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
4. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.
5. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
6. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**

2/2

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Registrar,

Punjab & Haryana High Court,

Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Registrar,

Punjab & Haryana High Court,

Chandigarh Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 583 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. Respondent PIO is not present or today’s hearing but a letter no. 344 dated 22.02.2018 has been received from the respondent in the Commission vide diary no. 3914 dated 23.02.2018 signed by Sh. Inder Singh, Joint Registrar (Rules) cum Public Information Officer stating that he has been deputed on special duty to conduct interview for the recruitment of Class-IV employees on the establishment of Punjab and Haryana High Court to be held from 17.02.2018 to 05.03.2018 daily without any break. In that letter he requested to adjourn this case. It is taken on record.

Another letter no. 355 dated 22.02.2018 has been received from the respondent in the Commission vide diary no. 4049 dated 26.02.2018 signed by Sh. Inder Singh, Joint Registrar (Rules) cum Public Information Officer along with written reply stating that

“That the applicant submitted application dated 20.01.2018 (bearing ID No. 136/PIO dated 22.01.2018 under Section 7 of the RTI Act, 2005 to supply the information within 48hours. After perusal of the application, the undersigned was of the considered opinion that the request of the applicant/appellant to supply the information within 48 hours was not made out for the facts of his application, as it was not supported in this regard.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 583 of 2018**

That in the meanwhile when his application dated 20.01.2018 was under process, the applicant submitted another application dated 09.02.2018 (bearing ID No. 247/PIO dated 09.02.2018) to supply the information whatever was ready for supply as on 09.02.2018 out of the information sought vide application dated 20.01.2018. In response thereto, the information at points No. (A) & (C) available as on 09.02.2018 was supplied to the applicant vide this Court’s letter no. 249/PIO/HC dated 09.02.2018 as sought by him.

That, thereafter, vide this Court’s letter No. 274/PIO/HC dated 15.02.2018, the applicant was asked to send balance fee of Rs. 14/- (Rs. 2/- per page) to obtain the remaining information at points No. (B), (D) & (E) of the application dated 20.01.2018. Since, the applicant in response to this Court’s aforesaid letter deposited the requisite fee vide his application dated 20.02.2018 (bearing ID No. 316/PIO dated 20.02.2018), the remaining information at points No. (B), (D) & (E) has been supplied to the applicant, by-hand, vide this court’s letter No. 333/PIO/HC dated 21.02.2018.

That the applicant had also filed First Appeal No. RTI/AA/12 before the First Appellate Authority on 05.02.2018 which has been disposed of vide order dated 21.02.2018 with the observations that partly information at point No. (A) & (C) of the application dated 20.01.2018 in response to his interim application dated 09.02.2018 has been supplied to the applicant on 09.02.2018 and since the applicant has been asked vide this Court’s letter No. 274/PIO/HC dated 15.02.2018 to send balance fee to obtain remaining information at points No. (B), (D) & (E), therefore, the information on all points stands supplied within stipulated period.”

1. Another email has been received from the respondent in the Commission dated 22.02.2018 with the attachment of letter no. 344 dated 344 already explained in point no. 2 (para 1) of this order. It is also taken on record.
2. After going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
3. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3665 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
4. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18,
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 583 of 2018**

AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by

Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.

1. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
2. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SHO, Police Station Daba,

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner of Police

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 584 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
2. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing which shows no regard to the Notice of the Commission.
3. After going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
4. Letter: Dated 21.02.2018 diary no. 3663 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
5. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by

Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 584 of 2018**

1. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
2. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Naresh Devgan (Advocate)**

**(Regd. Post)** Chamber No. 7022/2,

District Courts

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SHO, Police Station

Division No.-5, Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner of Police

Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 585 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Bhupinder Singh (Head Constable, PP Kochar Market)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Bhupinder Singh states that applicant; Sh. Naresh devgan withdraw RTI application in their office.

He submits a written reply dated 28.02.2018 signed by Incharge, Police Chownki, Kochar Market, Division No. 5, Ludhiana stating that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan through IPO No. 39 F 207976 dated 08.08.2017 demanded information relates with serial number 4, 4 (A to Q) to 4(9). In that letter he also mentioned that he is deputed as Incharge at Police Station, division No. 5 Kochar Market, Ludhiana and he is not PIO but he supplied the requisite information after inspected by the applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan dated 10.08.2017. He further mentioned that nothing is pending as requisite information as per the RTI application of the applicant has already been supplied to the applicant.

He also submits acknowledgment signed by the applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan stating that he has received the demanded information relates with FIR No. 83 dated 28.03.2017 U/s 466, 467, 468, 469, 471, 472, 120-B IPC, nothing is pending and requested to close this case, which is taken on record.

1. Applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan is not present or today’s hearing. He intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as he is busy in High Court. He also mentioned that he sent an email in this regard to the Commission.

1/2

**APPEAL CASE NO. 585 of 2018**

1. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that following emails/letters have been received from the applicant in the Commission
2. Letter: Dated 19.02.2018 diary no. 3662 stating that PIO failed to supply the specific information.
3. Emails received from the applicant by the undersigned bench and from the Deputy registrar of the Commission in connection with 13 cases of the applicant ( AC:580/18, AC:581/18, AC:582/18, AC:583/18, AC:584/18, AC:585/18, AC:587/18, AC:598/18, AC:606/18, AC:607/18, AC:608/18, AC:609/18, AC:613/18) along with copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018.
4. All original emails are taken on record in Appeal Case no. 608 of 2018. A copy of order dated 27.02.2018 passed by Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM No. M-2385 of 2017 O & M) and copy of order passed by the Hon’ble Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana in case no. D.C.E. 75-17 dated 25.02.2018 is taken on record in this case as all the emails are same.
5. I am of the view that applicant, Sh. Naresh Devgan filed this case under **Life and Liberty** clause and an important issue is involved in this case. Therefore I am of the considered view that this issue involved in this case requires adjudication by a Larger Bench. Accordingly, the **case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 01.03.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl.**

**The Deputy Registrar**

**(Alongwith Case File).**
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